## GROUPS AND RINGS

DAVID PIERCE

## Preface

These are lecture notes for the first semester of a one-year graduate course in algebra. The main reference for the course is Hungerford's Algebra [6]. The present notes are mostly derived from the notes I kept while teaching Math 503 at METU in the fall of 2008. However, $\S 2$ has a different source: a course called Non-standard Analysis, which I gave at the Nesin Mathematics Village, Şirince, in the summer of 2009. I have built up Part I around this section.

I edit these notes as I teach Math 503 in the fall of 2009. I do some reorganizing according to how I should like to do things in the future.
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## Part I. Foundations

## 1. Functions and relations

If $A$ and $B$ are sets, then their cartesian product, denoted by

$$
A \times B
$$

is the set $\{(x, y): x \in A \& y \in B\}$. Here the ordered pair $(x, y)$ is defined so that

$$
(a, b)=(x, y) \Longleftrightarrow a=x \& b=y
$$

One definition that accomplishes this is $(x, y)=\{\{x\},\{x, y\}\}$, but we never actually need the precise definition. An ordered triple $(x, y, z)$ can be defined as $((x, y), z)$, and so forth.

A function or map from $B$ to $A$ is a subset $f$ of $B \times A$ such that, for each $b$ in $B$, there is exactly one $a$ in $A$ such that $(b, a) \in f$. Then instead of $(b, a) \in f$, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(b)=a \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

I assume the reader is familiar with the kinds of functions from $B$ to $A$ : injective, surjective, and so forth.

A singulary operation ${ }^{1}$ on $A$ is a function from $A$ to itself; a binary operation on $A$ is a function from $A \times A$ to $A$. A binary relation on $A$ is a subset of $A \times A$; if $R$ is such, and $(a, b) \in R$, we often write

$$
a R b
$$

However, a singulary operation on $A$ is a particular kind of binary relation on $A$ : a kind of relation for which we already have the special notation in (i). I assume the reader is familiar with other kinds of binary relations, namely orderings.

## 2. An AXIOMATIC DEVELOPMENT OF THE NATURAL NUMBERS

The set of natural numbers, commonly denoted by

$$
\mathbb{N}
$$

can be understood as having
(1) a distinguished initial element, denoted by

$$
0
$$

and called zero, and
(2) a distinguished singulary operation of succession, denoted by

$$
n \mapsto n+1,
$$

where $n+1$ is called the successor of $n$.
I propose to refer to the ordered triple $(\mathbb{N}, 0, n \mapsto n+1)$ as an iterative structure.
In general, by an iterative structure, I mean any set that has a distinuished element and a distinguished singulary operation. Here the underlying set is sometimes called the universe of the structure. If one wants a simple notational distinction between a structure and its universe, and the universe is $A$, then the structure might be denoted by $\mathfrak{A}$. (Here $\mathfrak{A}$ is the Fraktur version of $A$. See Appendix A.)

[^0]The iterative structure ( $\mathbb{N}, 0, n \mapsto n+1$ ) is distinguished among iterative structures for satisfying the following axioms.
(1) 0 is not a successor: $0 \neq n+1$.
(2) Succession is injective: if $m+1=n+1$, then $m=n$.
(3) the structure admits proof by induction, in the sense that, of all subsets of the universe, the only subset $A$ with the following two closure properties is the whole universe:
(a) $0 \in A$;
(b) for all $n$, if $n \in A$, then $n+1 \in A$.

These axioms seem to have been discovered originally by Dedekind [1, II, VI (71), p. 67 ], although they were also written down by Peano [11] and are often known as the Peano axioms.

Theorem 1 (Recursion). For every iterative structure ( $A, b, f$ ), there is a unique homomorphism to this structure from $(\mathbb{N}, 0, n \mapsto n+1)$ : that is, there is a unique function $h$ from $\mathbb{N}$ to $A$ such that
(1) $h(0)=b$,
(2) $h(n+1)=f(h(n))$ for all $n$ in $\mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We seek $h$ as a particular subset of $\mathbb{N} \times A$. Let $B$ be the set whose elements are the subsets $C$ of $\mathbb{N} \times A$ such that, if $(x, y) \in C$, then either
(1) $(x, y)=(0, b)$ or else
(2) $C$ has an element $(u, v)$ such that $(x, y)=(u+1, f(v))$.

Let $R=\bigcup B$; so $R$ is a subset of $\mathbb{N} \times A$. We may say $R$ is a relation from $\mathbb{N}$ to $A$. If $(x, y) \in R$, we may write also

$$
x R y
$$

Since $(0, b) \in B$, we have $0 R b$. If $n R y$, then $(n, y) \in C$ for some $C$ in $B$, but then $C \cup\{(n+1, f(y))\} \in B$ by definition of $B$, so $(n+1) R f(y)$. Therefore $R$ is the desired function $h$, provided it is a function from $\mathbb{N}$ to $A$. Proving this has two stages.

1. For all $n$ in $\mathbb{N}$, there is $y$ in $A$ such that $n R y$. Indeed, let $D$ be the set of such $n$. Then we have just seen that $0 \in D$, and if $n \in D$, then $n+1 \in D$. By induction, $D=\mathbb{N}$.
2. For all $n$ in $\mathbb{N}$, if $n R y$ and $n R z$, then $y=z$. Indeed, let $E$ be the set of such $n$. Suppose $0 R y$. Then $(0, y) \in C$ for some $C$ in $B$. Since 0 is not a successor, we must have $y=b$, by definition of $B$. Therefore $0 \in E$. Suppose $n \in E$, and $(n+1) R y$. Then $(n+1, y) \in C$ for some $C$ in $B$. Again since 0 is not a successor, we must have $(n+1, y)=(m+1, f(v))$ for some $(m, v)$ in $C$. Since succession is injective, we must have $m=n$. Since $n \in E$, we know $v$ is unique such that $n R v$. Since $y=f(v)$, therefore $y$ is unique such that $(n+1) R y$. Thus $n+1 \in E$. By induction, $E=\mathbb{N}$.

So $R$ is the desired function $h$. Finally, $h$ is unique by induction.
Corollary. For every set $A$ with a distinguished element $b$, and for every function $F$ from $\mathbb{N} \times B$ to $B$, there is a unique function $H$ from $\mathbb{N}$ to $A$ such that
(1) $H(0)=b$,
(2) $H(n+1)=F(n, H(n))$ for all $n$ in $\mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $h$ be the unique homomorphism from $(\mathbb{N}, 0, n \mapsto n+1)$ to $(\mathbb{N} \times A,(0, b), f)$, where $f$ is the operation $(n, x) \mapsto(n+1, F(n, x)))$. In particular, $h(n)$ is always an
ordered pair. By induction, the first entry of $h(n)$ is always $n$; so there is a function $H$ from $\mathbb{N}$ to $A$ such that $h(n)=(n, H(n))$. Then $H$ is as desired. By induction, $H$ is unique.

We can now use recursion to define the binary operation $(x, y) \mapsto x+y$ of addition, along with the binary operation $(x, y) \mapsto x \cdot y$ or $(x, y) \mapsto x y$ of multiplication, on $\mathbb{N}$. The definitions are:

$$
n+0=n, \quad n+(m+1)=(n+m)+1, \quad n \cdot 0=0, \quad n \cdot(m+1)=n \cdot m+n
$$

Lemma 1. For all $n$ and $m$ in $\mathbb{N}$,

$$
0+n=n, \quad(m+1)+n=(m+n)+1
$$

Proof. Induction.
Theorem 2. Addition on $\mathbb{N}$ is
(1) commutative: $n+m=m+n$; and
(2) associative: $n+(m+k)=(n+m)+k$.

Proof. Induction and the lemma.
Theorem 3. Addition on $\mathbb{N}$ allows cancellation: if $n+x=n+y$, then $x=y$.
Proof. Induction, and injectivity of succession.
Lemma 2. For all $n$ and $m$ in $\mathbb{N}$,

$$
0 \cdot n=0, \quad(m+1) \cdot n=m \cdot n+n
$$

Proof. Induction.
Theorem 4. Multiplication on $\mathbb{N}$ is
(1) commutative: $n m=m n$;
(2) distributive over addition: $n(m+k)=n m+n k$; and
(3) associative: $n(m k)=(n m) k$.

Proof. Induction and the lemma.
Landau [8] proves using induction alone that + and $\cdot$ exist as given by the recursive definitions above. However, Theorem 3 needs more than induction. Also, the existence of exponentiation, as an operation $(x, y) \mapsto x^{y}$ such that

$$
n^{0}=1
$$

$$
n^{m+1}=n^{m} \cdot n
$$

requires more than induction.
The usual ordering $<$ of $\mathbb{N}$ is defined recursively as follows. First note that $m \leqslant n$ means simply $m<n$ or $m=n$. Then the definition of $<$ is:
(1) $m \nless 0$;
(2) $m<n+1$ if and only if $m \leqslant n$.

In particular, $n<n+1$. Really, it is the $\operatorname{sets}\{x \in \mathbb{N}: x<n\}$ that are defined by recursion:
(1) $\{x \in \mathbb{N}: x<0\}=\varnothing$;
(2) $\{x \in \mathbb{N}: x<n+1\}=\{x \in \mathbb{N}: x<n\} \cup\{n\}$.

We now have < as a binary relation on $\mathbb{N}$; we must prove that it is an ordering.
Theorem 5. The relation $<$ is transitive on $\mathbb{N}$, that is, if $k<m$ and $m<n$, then $k<n$.

Proof. Induction on $n$.
Lemma 3. $m \neq m+1$.
Proof. The claim is true when $m=0$, since 0 is not a successor. Suppose the claim is true when $m=k$, that is, $k \neq k+1$. Then $k+1 \neq(k+1)+1$, by injectivity of succession, so the claim is true when $m=k+1$. By induction, the claim is true for all $m$.

Theorem 6. The relation $<$ is irreflexive on $\mathbb{N}: m \nless m$.
Proof. The claim is true when $m=0$, since $m \nless 0$ by definition. Suppose the claim fails when $m=k+1$. This means $k+1<k+1$. Therefore $k+1 \leqslant k$ by definition. By the previous lemma, $k+1<k$. But $k \leqslant k$, so $k<k+1$ by definition. So $k<k+1$ and $k+1<k$; hence $k<k$ by Theorem 5 , that is, the claim fails when $m=k$. By induction, the claim holds for all $m$.
Lemma 4 . (1) $0 \leqslant m$.
(2) If $k<m$, then $k+1 \leqslant m$.

Proof. (1) Induction.
(2) The claim is vacuously true when $m=0$. Suppose it is true when $m=n$. Say $k<n+1$. Then $k \leqslant n$. If $k=n$, then $k+1=n+1<(n+1)+1$. If $k<n$, then $k+1<n+1$ by inductive hypothesis, so $k+1<(n+1)+1$ by transitivity. Thus the claim holds when $m=n+1$. By induction, the claim holds for all $m$.
Theorem 7. The relation $\leqslant$ is total on $\mathbb{N}$ : either $k \leqslant m$ or $m \leqslant k$.
Proof. Induction and the lemma.
Because of Theorems 5,6 , and 7 , the set $\mathbb{N}$ is (strictly) ordered by $<$.
Theorem 8. For all $m$ and $n$ in $\mathbb{N}$, we have $m \leqslant n$ if and only if the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
m+x=n \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

is soluble in $\mathbb{N}$.
Proof. By induction on $k$, if $m+k=n$, then $m \leqslant n$.
Conversely, if $m \leqslant 0$, then $m=0$ (why?), so $m+0=0$. Suppose the equation $m+x=r$ is soluble whenever $m \leqslant r$, but now $m \leqslant r+1$. If $m=r+1$, then $m+0=r+1$. If $m<r+1$, then $m \leqslant r$, so the equation $m+x=r$ has a solution $k$, and therefore $m+(k+1)=r+1$. Thus the equation $m+x=r+1$ is soluble whenever $m \leqslant r+1$. By induction, for all $n$ in $\mathbb{N}$, if $m \leqslant n$, then (ii) is soluble in $\mathbb{N}$.
Theorem 9. (1) If $k<\ell$, then $k+m<\ell+m$.
(2) If $k<\ell$ and $m \neq 0$, then $k m<\ell m$.

Here part 1 is a refinement of Theorem 3, and part 2 yields the following analogue of Theorem 3 for multiplication.
Corollary. If $k m=\ell m$ and $m \neq 0$, then $k=\ell$.

Theorem 10. $\mathbb{N}$ is well ordered by $<$ : every nonempty set of natural numbers has a least element.

Proof. Suppose $A$ is a set of natural numbers with no least element. Let $B$ be the set of natural numbers $n$ such that, if $m \leqslant n$, then $m \notin A$. Then $0 \in B$, by the last lemma, since otherwise 0 would be the least element of $A$. Suppose $m \in B$. Then $m+1 \in B$, since otherwise $m+1$ would be the least element of $A$. By induction, $B=\mathbb{N}$, so $A=\varnothing$.

## 3. A construction of the natural numbers

The Axiom of Infinity is that there is a set that contains $\varnothing$ and is closed under the operation $x \mapsto x^{\prime}$, where

$$
x^{\prime}=x \cup\{x\} .
$$

We assume this. Then the smallest such set is the intersection of the class of all such sets. This intersection is denoted by
$\omega$.
Immediately, the iterative structure ( $\omega, \varnothing,^{\prime}$ ) admits induction.
Lemma 5. On $\omega$, membership implies inclusion.
Proof. By induction on $n$, we prove that, for all $k$ in $\omega$, if $k \in n$, then $k \subseteq n$. The claim is vacuously true when $n=\varnothing$. Suppose it is true when $n=m$. If $k \in m^{\prime}$, then either $k \in m$ or else $k=m$. In the former case, by inductive hypothesis, $k \subseteq m \subseteq m^{\prime}$; in the latter case, $k=m \subseteq m^{\prime}$. Thus the claim is true when $n=m^{\prime}$. By induction, the claim is true for all $n$ in $\omega$.
Lemma 6. In $\omega$, if $k \subset n$, then $k^{\prime} \subseteq n$.
Proof. The claim is vacuously true when $n=\varnothing$. Suppose it is true when $n=m$. Say $k \subset m^{\prime}$. If $k \subseteq m$, then either $k \subset m$, in which case the inductive hypothesis implies, giving us $k^{\prime} \subseteq m \subseteq m^{\prime}$,—or else $k=m$, so that $k^{\prime}=m^{\prime}$. If $k \nsubseteq m$, then $m \in k$, so by Lemma 5 we have $m \subseteq k \subset m^{\prime}=m \cup\{m\}$, and therefore $m=k$, so again $k^{\prime}=m^{\prime}$. Thus the claim is true when $n=m^{\prime}$. Therefore the claim holds for all $n$ in $\omega$.

Lemma 7. Inclusion is a total ordering of $\omega$.
Proof. We have to show on $\omega$ that, if $k \nsubseteq n$, then $n \subseteq k$. The claim is trivially true when $n=\varnothing$. Suppose it is true when $n=m$. If $k \nsubseteq m^{\prime}$, then $k \nsubseteq m$, so $m \subseteq k$, but $m \neq k$, so $m \subset k$, and therefore $m^{\prime} \subseteq k$ by Lemma 6 .

Lemma 8. Elements of $\omega$ are distinct from their successors.
Proof. We prove that no element of $\omega$ has an element that is equal to its successor. This is trivially true for the empty set. Suppose it is true for $m$. If $k \in m^{\prime}$, then either $k \in m$, or else $k=m$. In the former case, by inductive hypothesis, $k \neq k^{\prime}$. In the latter case, if $k=k^{\prime}$, then $m=k \cup\{k\}$, and in particular $k \in m$, contary to inductive hypothesis. Therefore no element of $m^{\prime}$ is equal to its successor. This completes the induction. Since every element of $\omega$ is an element of its successor, which is in $\omega$, no element of $\omega$ is equal to its successor.

Theorem 11. The iterative structure $\left(\omega, \varnothing,{ }^{\prime}\right)$ satisfies the Peano Axioms.

Proof. We have observed that ( $\omega, \varnothing,{ }^{\prime}$ ) admits induction. Easily too, $\varnothing$ is not a successor. By Lemma 7 , if $m \neq n$, we may assume $m \subset n$. By Lemmas 6 and 8 , we then have $m^{\prime} \subseteq n \subset n^{\prime}$. Thus succession is injective.

The definition of $\mathbb{N}$ as $\omega$ is due to von Neumann [14]. Henceforth we write 0 for $\varnothing$, then 1 for $0^{\prime}$, and 2 for $1^{\prime}$, and so on. Thus

$$
0=\varnothing ; \quad 1=\{0\} ; \quad 2=\{0,1\} ; \quad 3=\{0,1,2\}, \quad \ldots
$$

If $n \in \omega$, then

$$
n=\{0, \ldots, n-1\} .
$$

## 4. Structures

For us, the point of using the von-Neumann definition is that, under this definition, a natural number $n$ is a set with $n$ elements. Since the set of functions from a set $B$ to a set $A$ can be denoted by

$$
A^{B},
$$

we have, in particular, that $A^{n}$ is the set of functions from $\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ into $A$. We can denote such a function by $\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$; that is,

$$
A^{n}=\left\{\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right): x_{i} \in A\right\} .
$$

Thus, $A^{2}$ can be identified with $A \times A$, and $A^{1}$ with $A$ itself. There is exactly one function from 0 to $A$, namely 0 ; so

$$
A^{0}=\{0\}=1 .
$$

An $n$-ary relation on $A$ is a subset of $A^{n}$; an $n$-ary operation on $A$ is a function from $A^{n}$ to $A$. Relations and operations that are 2-ary, 1-ary, or 0 -ary can be called binary, singulary, or nullary, respectively; after the appropriate identifications, this agrees with the terminology used in § 1. A nullary operation on $A$ can be identified with an element of $A$.

Generalizing the terminology used at the beginning of § 2, we define a structure as a set together with some distinguished relations and operations on the set; as before, the set is the universe of the structure. Again, if the universe is $A$, then the whole structure might be denoted by $\mathfrak{A}$; if $B$, then $\mathfrak{B}$.

The signature of a structure comprises a symbol for each distinguished relation and operation of the structure. For example, the signature of an ordered field like $\mathbb{R}$ is $\{<, 0,1,+,-, \cdot\}$. If $s$ is a symbol of the signature of $\mathfrak{A}$, then the corresponding relation or operation on $A$ can be denoted by $s^{\mathfrak{A}}$.

A homomorphism from a structure $\mathfrak{A}$ to a structure $\mathfrak{B}$ of the same signature is a function $h$ from $A$ to $B$ that preserves the distinguished relations and operations: this means

$$
\begin{gather*}
h\left(f^{\mathfrak{A}}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)\right)=f^{\mathfrak{B}}\left(h\left(x_{0}\right), \ldots, h\left(x_{n-1}\right)\right), \\
\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right) \in R^{\mathfrak{A}} \Longrightarrow\left(h\left(x_{0}\right), \ldots, h\left(x_{n-1}\right)\right) \in R^{\mathfrak{B}}, \tag{iii}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $n$-ary operation-symbols $f$ and relation-symbols $R$ of the signature, for all $n$ in $\omega$. A homomorphism is an embedding if it is injective and if the converse of (iii) also holds. A surjective embedding is an isomorphism. A substructure of $\mathfrak{B}$ is a structure
$\mathfrak{A}$ of the same signature such that $A \subseteq B$ and the inclusion of $A$ in $B$ is an embedding of $\mathfrak{A}$ in $\mathfrak{B}$.

## Part II. Groups

## 5. Groups

Given a set $A$, we may refer to a bijection from $A$ to itself as a symmetry or permutation of $A$. Let us denote the set of these symmetries by

$$
\operatorname{Sym}(A) .
$$

This set is equipped with:
(o) the element (or nullary operation ${ }^{2}$ ) $\mathrm{id}_{A}$ (the identity on $A$ );
(1) the singulary operation $f \mapsto f^{-1}$ (functional inversion);
(2) the binary operation $(f, g) \mapsto f \circ g$ (functional composition).

The structure $\left(\operatorname{Sym}(A), \mathrm{id}_{A},{ }^{-1}, \circ\right)$ is the complete group of symmetries of $A$; a substructure of this can be called simply a group of symmetries of $A$.

In general, a group is a structure that is isomorphic to a symmetry group. ${ }^{3}$ That is, $\left(G, \mathrm{e},{ }^{-1}, \cdot\right)$ is a group, provided that, for some set $A$, there is an injection $\varphi$ from $G$ to $\operatorname{Sym}(A)$ such that
(1) $\varphi(\mathrm{e})=\mathrm{id}_{A}$,
(2) $\varphi\left(x^{-1}\right)=\varphi(x)^{-1}$,
(3) $\varphi(x \cdot y)=\varphi(x) \circ \varphi(y)$.

Theorem 12. In every group, the following equations are identities:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x \mathrm{e}=x=\mathrm{e} x  \tag{iv}\\
x x^{-1}=\mathrm{e}=x^{-1} x  \tag{v}\\
(x y) z=x(y z) \tag{vi}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. With $\varphi$ as above, we have $\varphi(x \mathrm{e})=\varphi(x) \circ \varphi(\mathrm{e})=\varphi(x) \circ \mathrm{id}_{A}=\varphi(x)$, so $x \mathrm{e}=x$ since $\varphi$ is injective. The remaining identities are established likewise.

Any element $a$ of a group determines a singulary operation $\lambda_{a}$ on the group, given by

$$
\lambda_{a}(x)=a x .
$$

Theorem 13. The function $x \mapsto \lambda_{x}$ embeds a group in its symmetry group.
Proof. Let $G$ be a group, and $a \in G$. We have

$$
\lambda_{a^{-1}}\left(\lambda_{a}(x)\right)=a^{-1}(a x)=\left(a^{-1} a\right) x=\mathrm{e} x=x
$$

by Theorem 12, so $\lambda_{a^{-1}} \circ \lambda_{a}=\operatorname{id}_{G}$. Likewise

$$
\lambda_{a}\left(\lambda_{a^{-1}}(x)\right)=a\left(a^{-1} x\right)=\left(a a^{-1}\right) x=\mathrm{e} x=x,
$$

so $\lambda_{a} \circ \lambda_{a^{-1}}=\operatorname{id}_{G}$. Thus $\lambda_{a}$ is invertible and therefore belongs to $\operatorname{Sym}(G)$, and

$$
\lambda_{a}{ }^{-1}=\lambda_{a^{-1}}
$$

[^1]We have also

$$
\lambda_{\mathrm{e}}(x)=\mathrm{e} x=x=\operatorname{id}_{G}(x)
$$

so

$$
\lambda_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{id}_{G},
$$

and

$$
\lambda_{a b}(x)=(a b) x=a(b x)=\lambda_{a}\left(\lambda_{b}\right)(x)=\left(\lambda_{a} \circ \lambda_{b}\right)(x),
$$

so

$$
\lambda_{a b}=\lambda_{a} \circ \lambda_{b}
$$

Finally, if $\lambda_{a}=\lambda_{b}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
a x & =b x, \\
(a x) x^{-1} & =(b x) x^{-1}, \\
a\left(x x^{-1}\right) & =b\left(x x^{-1}\right), \\
a \mathrm{e} & =b \mathrm{e}, \\
a & =b .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following is known as Cayley's Theorem.
Porism. The converse of Theorem 12 holds.
The binary operation of a group is often referred to as multiplication; singulary, inversion; nullary, the identity or the neutral element. The identity is sometimes denoted by 1 rather than e.

## 6. Simplifications

A monoid is a structure ( $G, \mathrm{e}, \cdot$ ) satisfying (iv) and (vi) above; a semigroup is a structure $(G, \cdot)$ satisfying $(\mathrm{vi})$. Given a set $A$, let us denote by

$$
\mathrm{E}(A)
$$

the set of functions from $A$ to itself (that is, the set of singulary operations on $A$ ). Then $\left(\mathrm{E}(A), \mathrm{id}_{A}, \circ\right)$ is a monoid. If $(G, \mathrm{e}, \cdot)$ is a monoid, then by the proof of Theorem 13, $x \mapsto \lambda_{x}$ is a homomorphism from $(G, \mathrm{e}, \cdot)$ to $\left(\mathrm{E}(G), \mathrm{id}_{G}, \circ\right)$; however, it might not be an embedding.

The following will be used in Theorem 15 .
Theorem 14. Any structure that satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{e} x=x \\
x^{-1} x=\mathrm{e} \\
x(y z)=(x y) z
\end{gathered}
$$

is a group. In other words, any semigroup with a left-identity and with left-inverses is a group.

Proof. Using the given identies, we have

$$
\left(x x^{-1}\right)\left(x x^{-1}\right)=x\left(x^{-1} x\right) x^{-1}=x \mathrm{e}^{-1}=x x^{-1}
$$

and so

$$
x x^{-1}=\mathrm{e} x x^{-1}=\left(x x^{-1}\right)^{-1}\left(x x^{-1}\right)\left(x x^{-1}\right)=\left(x x^{-1}\right)^{-1}\left(x x^{-1}\right)=\mathrm{e} .
$$

Hence also

$$
x \mathrm{e}=x\left(x^{-1} x\right)=\left(x x^{-1}\right) x=\mathrm{e} x=x
$$

A semigroup expands to a group if it can be given an identity and an inversion so as to become a group (while the underlying set remains the same).
Theorem 15. Let $G$ be a nonempty semigroup. The following are equivalent.
(1) $G$ expands to a group.
(2) $G$ expands uniquely to a group.
(3) Each equation $a x=b$ and $y a=b$ with coefficients from $G$ has a solution in $G$.
(4) Each equation $a x=b$ and $y a=b$ with coefficients from $G$ has a unique solution in $G$.
Proof. In a group, the equation $b=a x$ implies $a^{-1} b=a^{-1}(a x)$, and

$$
a^{-1}(a x)=\left(a^{-1} a\right) x=\mathrm{e} x=x
$$

so the equation has at most one solution. It has at least one solution, since indeed $a\left(a^{-1} b\right)=\left(a a^{-1}\right) b=\mathrm{e} b=b$. Likewise for the equation $b=y a$.

Conversely, suppose $G$ is a nonempty semigroup in which all of the given equations have solutions. If $c \in G$, let e be a solution to $y c=c$. If $b \in G$, let $d$ be a solution to $c x=b$. Then

$$
\mathrm{e} b=\mathrm{e}(c d)=(\mathrm{e} c) d=c d=b
$$

Also the equation $y c=\mathrm{e}$ has a solution: call it $c^{-1}$. Now use Theorem 14.
By the theorem, we can characterize groups as those semigroups that satisfy the axiom

$$
\forall x \forall y \exists z \exists w(x z=y \& w x=y)
$$

More is true:
Theorem 16. A map from one group to another is a homomorphism, provided it is a homomorphism of semigroups.
Proof. In a group, if $a$ is an element, then the identity is the unique solution of $x a=a$, and $a^{-1}$ is the unique solution of $y a a=a$. A semigroup homomorphism $\varphi$, where $\varphi(a)=b$, takes solutions of these equations to solutions of $x b=b$ and $y b b=b$.

## 7. The integers

A group or monoid or semigroup is abelian if it satisfies the identity

$$
x y=y x .
$$

Multiplication on an abelian group is often (though not always) called addition and denoted by + ; in this case, the identity may be denoted by 0 .

Let

$$
\mathbb{N}^{+}=\omega \backslash\{0\}
$$

Theorem 17. $(\omega, 1, \cdot)$ and $\left(\mathbb{N}^{+}, 1, \cdot\right)$ are abelian monoids.
Proof. The claim follows from the definition of addition on $\omega$ and from Theorem 4.
If an abelian semigroup $(G,+)$ also has a total ordering such that

$$
x<y \Longrightarrow x+z<y+z,
$$

then $(G,+,<)$ is an ordered abelian semigroup.
Theorem 18. $\left(\mathbb{N}^{+},+,<\right)$is an ordered abelian semigroup satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
x<y \Longleftrightarrow \exists z x+z=y \tag{vii}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Theorems 2 and 9 and the definition of + on $\omega,(\omega, 0,+,<)$ is an ordered abelian monoid. Also $\mathbb{N}^{+}$is closed under addition, since the successors in $\omega$ are precisely the elements of $\mathbb{N}^{+}$, and $n+(m+1)=(n+m)+1$. Finally, (vii) is by Theorem 8 .
Theorem 19. Suppose $(S,+,<)$ is an ordered abelian semigroup in which (vii) always holds. Let $-S$ be a set disjoint from $S$ such that there is a bijection $x \mapsto-x$ from $S$ to $-S$, and let $0 \notin S \cup-S$. Then the set $S \cup\{0\} \cup-S$ can be made uniquely into an ordered abelian group that, considered as an ordered semigroup, has $S$ as a substructure.

Proof. Follow the definition of $\mathbb{Z}$ given in school.
We now have the ordered abelian group ( $\mathbb{Z}, 0,-,+,<$ ). We also have:
Theorem 20. ( $\mathbb{Z}, 1, \cdot)$ is an abelian monoid, and on $\mathbb{Z}$, multiplication distributes over addition.

Proof. Again, define multiplication on $\mathbb{Z}$ as in school; then use 4 .

## 8. Repeated multiplication

Suppose on a set $A$ there is a binary operation • or $(x, y) \mapsto x y$. For each $n$ in $\mathbb{N}^{+}$, there is a set $P_{n}$ of $n$-ary operations on $A$. The definition is recursive:
(1) $P_{1}=\left\{\mathrm{id}_{A}\right\}$;
(2) $P_{n+1}$ consists of the operations

$$
\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mapsto f\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right) \cdot g\left(x_{k}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

where $f \in P_{k}$ and $g \in P_{n+1-k}$, where $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$.
Each $P_{n}$ has a particular element $f_{n}$, where
(1) $f_{1}=\mathrm{id}_{A}$,
(2) $f_{n+1}$ is $\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mapsto f_{n}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right) \cdot x_{n}$.

So

$$
f_{n}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)=\left(\cdots\left(x_{0} x_{1}\right) x_{2} \cdots x_{n-1}\right) .
$$

But $P_{5}$, for example, also contains $(x, y, z, u, v) \mapsto(x(y z))(u v)$. In a semigroup, it is easy to show that this operation is the same as $f_{5}$. In general, we have:

Theorem 21. If $A$ is a semigroup, then $P_{n}=\left\{f_{n}\right\}$.

Proof. The claim is immediately true when $n=1$. Suppose it is true when $1 \leqslant n \leqslant s$. Each element $g$ of $P_{n+1}$ is therefore

$$
\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{s}\right) \mapsto f_{n}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right) \cdot f_{s+1-n}\left(x_{n}, \ldots x_{s}\right)
$$

for some $n$, where $1 \leqslant n \leqslant s$. If $n=s$, then $g=f_{n+1}$. If $n<s$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{s}\right) & =f_{n}\left(x_{0}, \ldots x_{n-1} \cdot\left(f_{s-n}\left(x_{n}, \ldots, x_{s-1}\right) \cdot x_{s}\right)\right. \\
& =\left(f_{n}\left(x_{0}, \ldots x_{n-1} \cdot f_{s-n}\left(x_{n}, \ldots, x_{s-1}\right)\right) \cdot x_{s}\right. \\
& =f_{s}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{s-1}\right) \cdot x_{s} \\
& =f_{s+1}\left(x_{0}, \ldots x_{s}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

so again $g=f_{s+1}$. By induction, the claim is true for all $n$ in $\mathbb{N}^{+}$.
It follows that, in a semigroup, the product $a_{0} \cdots a_{n-1}$ is unambiguous: it is just $g\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)$ for any element $g$ of $P_{n}$. We may write also

$$
a_{0} \cdots a_{n-1}=\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{k}=\prod_{k \in n} a_{k}
$$

In an abelian group, the product may be written as a sum:

$$
a_{0}+\cdots+a_{n-1}=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{k}=\sum_{k \in n} a_{k}
$$

We also use the notation

$$
\prod_{k \in n} a=a^{n}, \quad \sum_{k \in n} a=n a
$$

Theorem 22. Suppose $(G, \cdot)$ is a semigroup, and $m$ and $n$ range over $\mathbb{N}^{+}$.
(1) $O n G$,

$$
x^{m+n}=x^{m} x^{n}
$$

That is, if $a \in G$, then $x \mapsto a^{x}$ is a homomorphism from $\left(\mathbb{N}^{+},+\right)$to $(G, \cdot)$.
(2) $O n G$,

$$
x^{m n}=\left(x^{m}\right)^{n} ;
$$

that is, $x \mapsto\left(y \mapsto y^{x}\right)$ is a homomorphism from $\left(\mathbb{N}^{+}, 1, \cdot\right)$ to $\left(\mathrm{E}(G), \mathrm{id}_{A}, \circ\right)$.
Proof. Use induction: $a^{n+1}=a^{n} \cdot a=a^{n} \cdot a^{1}$, and if $a^{n+m}=a^{n} \cdot a^{m}$, then

$$
a^{n+(m+1)}=a^{(n+m)+1}=a^{n+m} \cdot a=a^{n} a^{m} a=a^{n} a^{m+1}
$$

Also, $a^{n \cdot 1}=a^{n}=\left(a^{n}\right)^{1}$, and if $a^{n m}=\left(a^{n}\right)^{m}$, then

$$
a^{n(m+1)}=a^{n m+n}=a^{n m} a^{n}=\left(a^{n}\right)^{m} a^{n}=\left(a^{n}\right)^{m+1}
$$

In a monoid, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{0}=e \tag{viii}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set $\mathrm{E}(G)$ in the following was defined in $\S 6$.
Theorem 23. Suppose $(G, \mathrm{e}, \cdot)$ is a monoid.
(1) If $a \in G$, then $x \mapsto a^{x}$ is a homomorphism from $(\omega, 0,+)$ to $(G, \mathrm{e}, \cdot)$.
(2) $x \mapsto\left(y \mapsto y^{x}\right)$ is a homomorphism from $(\omega, 1, \cdot)$ to $\left(\mathrm{E}(G), \mathrm{id}_{A}, \circ\right)$.

In a group, we define

$$
a^{-n}=\left(a^{n}\right)^{-1}
$$

Theorem 24. Suppose $\left(G, \mathrm{e},{ }^{-1}, \cdot\right)$ is a group.
(1) If $a \in G$, then $x \mapsto a^{x}$ is a homomorphism from $(\mathbb{Z}, 0,+)$ to $\left(G, \mathrm{e},{ }^{-1}, \cdot\right)$.
(2) $x \mapsto\left(y \mapsto y^{x}\right)$ is a homomorphism from $(\mathbb{Z}, 1, \cdot)$ to $\left(\mathrm{E}(G), \mathrm{id}_{A}, \circ\right)$.

Proof. . . .

## 9. Rings

A homomorphism from a structure to itself is an endomorphism. The set of endomorphisms of an abelian group can be made into an abelian group in which:
(1) the identity is the constant function $x \mapsto \mathrm{e}$;
(2) additive inversion converts $f$ to $x \mapsto-f(x)$;
(3) addition converts $(f, g)$ to $x \mapsto f(x)+g(x)$.

If $E$ is an abelian group, let the abelian group of its endomorphisms be denoted by

$$
\operatorname{End}(E)
$$

The set of endomorphisms of $E$ can also be made into a monoid in which the identity is the identity function $x \mapsto x$, and multiplication is functional composition. This multiplication distributes in both senses over addition:

$$
f(g+h)=f g+f h, \quad(f+g) h=f h+g h
$$

We may denote the two combined structures - abelian group and monoid-by

$$
(\operatorname{End}(E), \circ) ;
$$

this is the complete ring of endomorphisms of $E$. A substructure of $(\operatorname{End}(E), \circ)$ can be called simply a ring of endomorphisms of $E$.

A ring is an abelian group $E$ with a multiplication $\cdot \operatorname{such}$ that $(E, \cdot)$ is isomorphic to an endomorphism ring. ${ }^{4}$ In an arbitrary ring, the additive identity is usually denoted by 0 ; the multiplicative, by 1 .

As with a group, so with a ring: an element $a$ determines a singulary operation $\lambda_{a}$ on the ring, given by

$$
\lambda_{a}(x)=a x
$$

Theorem 25. The function $x \mapsto \lambda_{x}$ embeds a ring in the endomorphism ring of its underlying abelian group.

Porism. A structure is a ring if it has:
(1) an addition that makes it an abelian group, and
(2) a multiplication that makes it a monoid,
such that multiplication distributes in both senses over addition.
If, in a ring, the multiplication commutes-

$$
x y=y x
$$

-then the ring is a commutative ring.

[^2]Theorem 26. $\mathbb{Z}$ is a commutative ring.
In a ring, an element with both a left and a right inverse can be called simply invertible; it is also called a unit.

Theorem 27. In a ring, the units compose a group with respect to multiplication. In particular, a unit has a unique left inverse, which is also a right inverse.

The group of units of a ring $R$ is denoted by

$$
R^{\times}
$$

For example, $\mathbb{Z}^{\times}=\{1,-1\}$. Evidently all two-element groups are isomorphic to this one.
If $R$ is commutative, and $R^{\times}=R \backslash\{0\}$, then $R$ is a field. From $\mathbb{Z}$ can be constructed the field $\mathbb{Q}$ of rational numbers; from this can be constructed the field $\mathbb{R}$ of real numbers and then the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers. An example of a ring in which some elements have right but not left inverses will be given in $\S 19$.

## 10. GEnERal LINEAR GROUPS

Given a commutative ring $R$ and an element $n$ of $\omega$, we define

$$
\mathrm{M}_{n}(R)
$$

as the set of functions from $n \times n$ into $R$. A typical such function can be written as a matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{0}^{0} & \cdots & a_{n-1}^{0} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{0}^{n-1} & \cdots & a_{n-1}^{n-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

or as

$$
\left(a_{j}^{i}\right)_{j<n}^{i<n},
$$

or simply as $\left(a_{j}^{i}\right)_{j}^{i}$ if the set over which $i$ and $j$ range is clear. Addition on $\mathrm{M}_{n}(R)$ is defined by

$$
\left(a_{j}^{i}\right)_{j<n}^{i<n}+\left(b_{j}^{i}\right)_{j<n}^{i<n}=\left(a_{j}^{i}+b_{j}^{i}\right)_{j<n}^{i<n} .
$$

Multiplication on $\mathrm{M}_{n}(R)$ is defined by

$$
\left(a_{j}^{i}\right)_{j<n}^{i<n}\left(b_{k}^{j}\right)_{k<n}^{j<n}=\left(\sum_{j \in n} a_{j}^{i} b_{k}^{j}\right)_{k<n}^{i<n} .
$$

One particular element of $\mathrm{M}_{n}(R)$ is $\left(\delta_{j}^{i}\right)_{j<n}^{i<n}$, where

$$
\delta_{j}^{i}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } i=j \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 28. If $R$ is a commutative ring, then $\mathrm{M}_{n}(R)$ is a ring with multiplicative identity $\left(\delta_{j}^{i}\right)_{j<n}^{i<n}$.

The group $\mathrm{M}_{n}(R)^{\times}$is called the general linear group of degree $n$ over $R$; it is also denoted by

$$
\mathrm{GL}_{n}(R)
$$

We shall characterize the elements of this group in § 17. Meanwhile, since

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
d & -b \\
-c & a
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a d-b c & 0 \\
0 & a d-b c
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
d & -b \\
-c & a
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)
$$

we may observe that the element $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$ of $\mathrm{M}_{n}(R)$ is invertible if $a d-b c \in R^{\times}$.

## 11. New groups from old

If $G$ and $H$ are two groups, then we can define a multiplication on $G \times H$ termwise:

$$
\left(g_{0}, h_{0}\right)\left(g_{1}, h_{1}\right)=\left(g_{0} g_{1}, h_{0} h_{1}\right)
$$

(that is, $\left.\left(g_{0} \cdot{ }^{G} g_{1}, h_{0} \cdot{ }^{H} h_{1}\right)\right)$. The result is a group called the direct product of $G$ and $H$ and also denoted by

$$
G \times H
$$

If $G$ and $H$ are abelian, then their direct product is called a direct sum and is denoted by

$$
G \oplus H
$$

Suppose $\sim$ is an equivalence-relation on a set $G$, so that it partitions $G$ into equivalenceclasses

$$
\{x \in G: x \sim a\}
$$

such classes can be denoted by $[a]$ or $\bar{a}$. The quotient of $G$ by $\sim$, denoted by

$$
G / \sim,
$$

is the set of equivalence-classes with respect to $\sim$. Immediately, if $G$ is a semigroup, and $\sim$ is such that

$$
a \sim a^{\prime} \& b \sim b^{\prime} \Longrightarrow a b \sim a^{\prime} b^{\prime}
$$

then $G / \sim$ is a semigroup in which multiplication is given by

$$
[a][b]=[a b] .
$$

In this case, $\sim$ is called a congruence-relation with respect to the multiplication.
Theorem 29. If $G$ is a group, and $\sim$ is a congruence-relation on $G$, then $G / \sim$ is a group.

If $n \in \omega$, recall that two integers $a$ and $b$ are congruent modulo $n$ if $n \mid b-a$; in this case one writes

$$
a \equiv b \quad(\bmod n)
$$

Theorem 30. If $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, then congruence modulo $n$ is a congruence-relation on $\mathbb{Z}$ with respect to addition and multiplication, and the quotient is a commutative ring. If $n$ is prime, then this ring is a field.

The commutative ring in the theorem can be denoted by

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{n}
$$

though sometimes we may mean to denote the additive group. Note that $\mathbb{Z}_{0}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$. The direct sum $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ is the Klein four group, denoted by
(for 'Vierergruppe'). This is the smallest group containing two elements neither of which is a power of the other.

A congruence-relation on $\mathbb{R}$ with respect to addition can be defined by

$$
a \sim b \Longleftrightarrow a-b \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Then the function $a \mapsto \exp (2 \pi \mathrm{i} a)$ is an embedding of $\mathbb{R} / \sim$ in $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$.
A subgroup of a group is a subset containing the identity that is closed under multiplication and inversion. Every group has both itself and $\{\mathrm{e}\}$ as subgroups. Also $G \times\{\mathrm{e}\}$ and $\{\mathrm{e}\} \times H$ are subgroups of $G \times H$, while $G \times G$ has the subgroup $\{(x, x): x \in G\}$.

Theorem 31. A subset of a group is a subgroup if and only if it is non-empty and closed under the binary operation $(x, y) \mapsto x y^{-1}$.

If $H$ is a subgroup of $G$, we write ${ }^{5}$

$$
H<G
$$

Theorem 32. If $\sim$ is a congruence-relation on $G$, then the $\sim$-class of e is a subgroup of $G$.

It is important to note that the converse of the lemma is false in general: not every subgroup of a group determines a congruence-relation. (see Theorem 48.)

If $f$ is a homomorphism from $G$ to $H$, then its kernel is the set

$$
\{x \in G: f(x)=\mathrm{e}\}
$$

denoted by ker $f$. The image of $f$ is

$$
\{y \in H: y=f(x) \text { for some } x \text { in } G\}
$$

denoted by $\operatorname{im} f$.
A homomorphism is called: a monomorphism, if it is injective; an epimorphism, if it is surjective.

Theorem 33. Let $f$ be a homomorphism from $G$ to $H$.
(1) $\operatorname{ker} f<G$.
(2) $f$ is a monomorphism $\Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{ker} f=\{\mathrm{e}\}$.
(3) $\operatorname{im} f<H$.

There is a monomorphism from $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ into $\mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$, namely

$$
(x, y) \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x & y \\
-y & x
\end{array}\right)
$$

One can define $\mathbb{C}$ to be the image of this monomorphism. One shows that $\mathbb{C}$ then is a sub-ring of $\mathrm{M}_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ and is a field. The elements of $\mathbb{C}$ usually denoted by 1 and $i$ are given by

$$
1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \quad i=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

[^3]Then every element of $\mathbb{C}$ is $x+y$ i for some unique $x$ and $y$ in $\mathbb{R}$. The function $z \mapsto \bar{z}$ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}$, where

$$
\overline{x+y \mathrm{i}}=x-y \mathrm{i}
$$

There is then a monomorphism from $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ into $\mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$, namely

$$
(x, y) \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x & y \\
-\bar{y} & \bar{x}
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

its image is denoted by

## $\mathbb{H}$

in honor of its discoverer Hamilton: it consists of the quaternions. One shows that $\mathbb{H}$ is a sub-ring of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ and that all non-zero elements of $\mathbb{H}$ are invertible, although $\mathbb{H}$ is not commutative. The element of $\mathbb{H}$ usually denoted by j is given by

$$
j=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Theorem 34. An arbitrary intersection of subgroups is a subgroup.
Given a subset $A$ of (the universe of) a group $G$, we can 'close' under the three groupoperations, obtaining a subgroup, $\langle A\rangle$. For a formal definition, we let

$$
\langle A\rangle=\bigcap \mathcal{S},
$$

where $\mathcal{S}$ is the set of all subgroups of $G$ that include $A$. Note that $\langle\varnothing\rangle=\{\mathrm{e}\}$.
If $G=\langle A\rangle$, then $G$ is generated by $A$. If $A=\left\{a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right\}$, we may write

$$
\left\langle a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right\rangle
$$

for $\langle A\rangle$, and say that $G$ has the $n$ generators $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n-1}$. In particular, $G$ is finitely generated in this case. The subgroup $\langle\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}\rangle$ of $\mathbb{H}$ is the quaternion group, denoted by $\mathrm{Q}_{8}$;
it has eight elements: $\pm 1, \pm \mathrm{i}, \pm \mathrm{j}$, and $\pm \mathrm{k}$, where $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{ij}$.

## 12. Cyclic groups

The order of a group is its size (or cardinality). The order of $G$ is therefore denoted by

$$
|G|
$$

A group is called cyclic if generated by a single element. If $a$ is an element of a group $G$, then $\langle a\rangle$ is a cyclic subgroup of $G$, and the order of $a$, denoted by

$$
|a|
$$

is just the order of $\langle a\rangle$.
Theorem 35. If $a$ is an element of a group $G$, then

$$
\langle a\rangle=\operatorname{im}\left(n \mapsto a^{n}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $f$ be the homomorphism $n \mapsto a^{n}$ from $\mathbb{Z}$ to $G$. We have to show $\langle a\rangle=\operatorname{im} f$. Since $\langle a\rangle$ is a group, we know that $a^{0} \in\langle a\rangle$. If $a^{n} \in\langle a\rangle$, then $a^{n+1} \in\langle a\rangle$ and $a^{-n} \in\langle a\rangle$. Hence, by induction, $\operatorname{im} f \subseteq\langle a\rangle$. Since $a \in \operatorname{im} f$, we have $\langle a\rangle \subseteq \operatorname{im} f$ by definition of $\langle a\rangle$.

Theorem 36. If $a$ is a group-element of finite order, then $a^{|a|}=\mathrm{e}$.
Proof. The subset $\left\{\mathrm{e}, a, a^{2}, \ldots, a^{|a|}\right\}$ of $\langle a\rangle$ has size at most $|a|$. Hence we have $0 \leqslant i<$ $j \leqslant|a|$ but $a^{i}=a^{j}$ for some $i$ and $j$. Therefore $\mathrm{e}=a^{j-i}$, and $a^{k}=a^{n}$ as long as $k \equiv n$ $(\bmod j-i)$. This means $|a| \leqslant j-i$ and hence $|a|=j-i$.

Theorem 37. All subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}$ are cyclic. All nontrivial subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}$ are isomorphic.
Proof. Say $G<\mathbb{Z}$ and $G \neq\langle 0\rangle$. Let $m$ be the least positive element of $G$. If $n \in G$, then $n=k m+r$, where $0 \leqslant r<m$; but $r \in G$, so $r=0$. Thus $\langle m\rangle<G<\langle m\rangle$. The map $x \mapsto m x$ from $\mathbb{Z}$ to $G$ is an epimorphism, by Theorem 35 ; but its kernel is trivial; so it is an isomorphism, by Theorem 33 .

Theorem 38. Every cyclic group is isomorphic to some $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$.
Proof. Say $G=\langle a\rangle$. By Theorem 37, the epimorphism $x \mapsto a^{x}$ from $\mathbb{Z}$ to $G$ has kernel $\langle n\rangle$ for some $n$; therefore

$$
a^{r}=a^{s} \Longleftrightarrow a^{r-s}=\mathrm{e} \Longleftrightarrow r-s \in\langle m\rangle \Longleftrightarrow m \mid r-s .
$$

Hence the map $x \mapsto a^{x}$ is well-defined on $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ and has trivial kernel.
13. Cosets

Suppose $H<G$. If $a \in G$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
a H & =\lambda_{a}[H], \\
H a & =\rho_{a}[H] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Each of the sets $a H$ is a left coset of $H$, and the set of these is denoted by

$$
G / H
$$

Each of the sets $H a$ is a right coset of $H$, and the set of these is denoted by

$$
H \backslash G .
$$

Theorem 39. The left cosets of $H$ in $G$ are the classes determined by an equivalencerelation on $G$. Likewise for the right cosets. All cosets of $H$ have the same size; also, $G / H$ and $H \backslash G$ have the same size.

Proof. We have $a \in a H$. All cosets of $H$ have the same size as $H$, since the maps $\lambda_{a}$ and $\rho_{a}$ are bijections by Cayley's Theorem. If $a H \cap b H \neq \varnothing$, then $a h \in b H$ for some $h$ in $H$, so $a \in b H H^{-1} \subseteq b H$, whence $a H \subseteq b H$, so $a H=b H$. Hence the left cosets compose a partition of $G$, and therefore determine an equivalence-relation. Inversion is a permutation of $G$ taking $a H$ to $H a^{-1}$, so $G / H$ and $H \backslash G$ have the same size.

The size of $G / H$ ( or $H \backslash G$ ) is the index of $H$ in $G$ and can be denoted by

$$
[G: H] .
$$

Theorem 40. If $K<H<G$, then $[G: K]=[G: H][H: K]$.
Proof. The partition of $H$ into left cosets of $K$ is transformed, under each $X \mapsto \lambda_{a}[X]$, into a partition of a coset of $H$. Indeed, if $b K \cap a H \neq \varnothing$, then as in the proof of Theorem $39, b K \subseteq a H$.

Theorem 41. If $H$ and $K$ are finite subgroups of $G$, then

$$
|H K|=\frac{|H||K|}{|H \cap K|}
$$

Proof. Partition $H$ as $a_{1}(H \cap K) \cup \cdots \cup a_{n}(H \cap K)$. Then $|H|=n|H \cap K|$. Also

$$
a_{1} K \cup \cdots \cup a_{n} K=H K
$$

This union is disjoint, since if $x=a_{i} k_{i}=a_{j} k_{j}$, where $k_{i}$ and $k_{j}$ are in $K$, then $a_{j}{ }^{-1} a_{i} \in$ $H \cap K$, and hence $a_{i}(H \cap K)=a_{j}(H \cap K)$, so that $a_{i}=a_{j}$. Therefore $|H K|=n|K|$.

Theorem 42. Suppose $H$ and $K$ are subgroups of $G$, and $[G: K]$ is finite. Then

$$
[H: H \cap K] \leqslant[G: K]
$$

with equality if and only if $G=H K$.
Proof. As in the previous proof, the function $x(H \cap K) \mapsto x K$ from $H / H \cap K$ to $G / K$ is injective; it is surjective if and only if $G=H K$.

Theorem 43. If $[G: H]$ and $[G: K]$ are finite, then

$$
[G: H \cap K] \leqslant[G: H][G: K]
$$

with equality if and only if $G=H K$.
Proof. By Theorems 40 and $42,[G: H \cap K]=[G: H][H: H \cap K] \leqslant[G: H][G: K]$, again with equality if and only if $G=H K$.

## 14. Lagrange's Theorem

Theorem 44 (Lagrange). $|H|$ divides $|G|$ if both are finite.
Proof. Use Theorem 40 when $K=\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle$.
Corollary. Groups of prime order are cyclic.
Proof. Say $|G|=p$. There is $a$ in $G \backslash\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle$, so $|a|>1$; but $|a| \mid p$, so $|a|=p$, that is, $G=\langle a\rangle$.

Corollary. If $G$ is finite and $a \in G$, then $a^{|G|}=\mathrm{e}$.
Proof. $a^{|a|}=\mathrm{e}$ and $|a|$ divides $|G|$.
An application is the theorems of Fermat and Euler (Theorems 46 and 47). The first Sylow Theorem (Theorem 95) is a partial converse.
Theorem 45. $\mathbb{Z}_{n}{ }^{\times}=\left\{[x] \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}: \operatorname{gcd}(x, n)=1\right\}$.
Proof. $\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=1$ if and only if $a m+b n=1$ for some integers $a$ and $b$; but this just means $[a][m]=1$ for some $a$.
Theorem $4^{6}$ (Fermat). If the prime $p$ is not a factor of $a$, then

$$
a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod p)
$$

Hence $a^{p} \equiv a(\bmod p)$ for any integer $a$.

Proof. The order of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}{ }^{\times}$is $p-1$, and $[a] \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}{ }^{\times}$. This proves the first claim, and the second if $p \nmid a$; the second is trivial if $p \mid a$.

If $n \neq 0$, let the order of $\mathbb{Z}_{n} \times$ be denoted by

$$
\varphi(n)
$$

Theorem 47 (Euler). If $\operatorname{gcd}(a, n)=1$, then $a^{\varphi(n)} \equiv 1(\bmod n)$.

## 15. Normal subgroups

If $H<G$, then there are equivalences $\equiv_{\ell}^{H}$ and $\equiv_{r}^{H}$ on $G$ given by

$$
x \equiv_{\ell}^{H} y \Longleftrightarrow x H=y H ; \quad x \equiv_{r}^{H} y \Longleftrightarrow H x=H y
$$

Theorem 48. Suppose $H<G$. The following are equivalent:
(1) $G / H$ is a group.
(2) $\equiv_{\ell}^{H}$ and $\equiv_{\mathrm{r}}^{H}$ are the same.
(3) $a H=H a$ for all $a$ in $G$.
(4) $a^{-1} H a=H$ for all $g$ in $G$.

Proof. Suppose $G / H$ is a group, that is, $\equiv_{\ell}^{H}$ is a congruence relation. This means

$$
x H=x^{\prime} H \& y H=y^{\prime} H \Longrightarrow x y H=x^{\prime} y^{\prime} H .
$$

As a special case, we have that, if $h \in H$, so that $h H=H$, then $h y H=y H$, so $y^{-1} h y H=H$. Thus

$$
y^{-1} H y=H,
$$

equivalently, $H y=y H$. Therefore $\equiv_{\ell}^{H}$ and $\equiv_{\mathrm{r}}^{H}$ are the same.
Conversely, suppose these relations are the same. Then every $x$ has the same congruence class with respect to either one: $x H=H x$. If $x H=x^{\prime} H$ and $y H=y^{\prime} H$, then $x y H=x y^{\prime} H=x H y^{\prime}=x^{\prime} H y^{\prime}=x^{\prime} y^{\prime} H$. Thus $G / H$ is a group.

A subgroup $H$ of $G$ meeting any of these equivalent conditions is called normal, and we write

$$
H \triangleleft G .
$$

Of abelian groups, all subgroups are normal. In general, if $N \triangleleft G$, then the group $G / N$ is the quotient-group of $G$ by $N$.
Theorem 49. If $N \triangleleft G$ and $H<G$, then $N \cap H \triangleleft H$. (That is, normality is preserved in subgroups.)

Proof. The defining property of normal subgroups is universal, that is, $N \triangleleft G$ means $(G, N) \models \forall x \forall y\left(x \in N \rightarrow y x y^{-1} \in N\right)$.

Theorem 5o. If $N \triangleleft G$ and $H<G$, then $\langle N \cup H\rangle=N H$.
Proof. Suppose $n \in N$ and $h \in H$. Then $n h=h h^{-1} n h$. Since $N \triangleleft N \cup H$, we have $h^{-1} n h \in N$, so $n h \in H N$. Thus $N H \subseteq H N$, so by symmetry $N H=H N$. Therefore

$$
N H(N H)^{-1}=N H H^{-1} N^{-1}=N H H N \subseteq N H N=N N H \subseteq N H,
$$

that is, $N H$ is closed under $(x, y) \mapsto x y^{-1}$. Since $N H$ also contains e, it is a subgroup of $G$ by Theorem 31 .

Theorem 51. Suppose $N \triangleleft G$ and $H<G$ and $N \cap H=\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle$. Then the surjection $(x, y) \mapsto x y$ from $N \times H$ to $N H$ is a bijection.

Proof. If $g$ and $h$ are in $H$, and $m$ and $n$ are in $N$, and $g m=h n$, then

$$
h^{-1} g=n m^{-1}
$$

so each side must be e, and hence $g=h$ and $m=n$.
In the theorem, $N H$ is the internal semidirect product of $N$ and $H$. Note well that the bijection between $N \times H$ and $N H$ need not be an isomorphism, since we have, in $N \times H$,

$$
(m, g)(n, h)=(m n, g h)
$$

while, in $N H$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m g)(n h)=\left(m g n g^{-1}\right)(g h) \tag{ix}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 68 below establishes conditions under which the bijection is an isomorphism. Semidirect products in general are treated in § 24 .

Theorem 52. The normal subgroups of a group are precisely the kernels of homomorphisms on the group.

Proof. If $f$ is a homomorphism from $G$ to $H$, then $f\left(a n a^{-1}\right)=f(a) f(n) f(a)^{-1}=\mathrm{e}$ for all $n$ in ker $f$, so $a(\operatorname{ker} f) a^{-1} \subseteq \operatorname{ker} f$; thus $\operatorname{ker} f \triangleleft G$. Conversely, if $N \triangleleft G$, then the $\operatorname{map} x \mapsto x N$ from $G$ to $G / N$ is a homomorphism with kernel $N$.

In the proof, the map $x \mapsto x N$ is the canonical projection or the quotient map of $G$ onto $G / N$; it may be denoted by $\pi$.

Theorem 53. If $f$ is a homomorphism from $G$ to $H$, and $N$ is a normal subgroup of $G$ such that $N<\operatorname{ker} f$, then there is a unique homomorphism $\tilde{f}$ from $G / N$ to $H$ such that $f=\tilde{f} \circ \pi$, that is, the following diagram commutes (all directed paths from one node to another represent the same function).


Proof. If $\tilde{f}$ exists, it must satisfy $\tilde{f}(x N)=f(x)$ for all $x$ in $G$. Such $\tilde{f}$ does exist, since if $x N=y N$, then $x y^{-1} \in N<\operatorname{ker} f$, so $f\left(x y^{-1}\right)=\mathrm{e}$ and $f(x)=f(y)$.

Corollary (First Isomorphism Theorem). G/ker $f \cong \operatorname{im} f$ for any homomorphism $f$ on $G$.

Proof. Let $N=\operatorname{ker} f$; then $\tilde{f}$ is the desired homomorphism.
Corollary. If $f$ is a homomorphism from $G$ to $H$, and $N$ is a normal subgroup of $G$, and $M \triangleleft H$, and $f[N]<M$, then there is a homomorphism $\tilde{f}$ from $G / N$ to $H / M$ such
that the following diagram commutes:


Proof. The induced homomorphism from $N$ to $H / M$ is trivial.
Theorem 54 (Second Isomorphism). If $H<G$ and $N \triangleleft G$, then

$$
\frac{H}{H \cap N} \cong \frac{H N}{N}
$$

Proof. The map $h \mapsto h N$ from $H$ to $H N / N$ is surjective with kernel $H \cap N$. So the claim follows by the First Isomorphism Theorem (a corollary to Theorem 53).

For example, In $\mathbb{Z}$, since $\langle n\rangle \cap\langle m\rangle=\langle\operatorname{lcm}(n, m)\rangle$ and $\langle n\rangle+\langle m\rangle=\langle\operatorname{gcd}(n, m)\rangle$, we have

$$
\frac{\langle n\rangle}{\langle\operatorname{lcm}(n, m)\rangle} \cong \frac{\langle\operatorname{gcd}(n, m)\rangle}{\langle m\rangle} .
$$

Theorem 55 (Third Isomorphism). If $N$ and $K$ are normal subgroups of $G$ and $N<K$, then $K / N \triangleleft G / N$ and

$$
\frac{G / N}{K / N} \cong G / K
$$

Proof. By Theorem 53, the map $x N \mapsto x K$ from $G / N$ to $G / K$ is a well-defined epimorphism. The kernel contains $x N$ if and only if $x \in K$, that is, $x N \in K / N$. Again the claim now follows by the First Isomorphism Theorem (a corollary to Theorem 53).

Theorem 53 will also be used to prove von Dyck's Theorem (Theorem 75).
Lemma 9. If $f$ is an epimorphism from $G$ onto $H$, then there is a one-to-one correspondence $K \mapsto f[K]$ between subgroups of $G$ that include ker $f$ and subgroups of $H$; under this, normal subgroups correspond.


Theorem 56. If $N \triangleleft G$, then every subgroup of $G / N$ is $K / N$ for some subgroup $K$ of $G$ that includes $N$, and moreover $K / N$ is normal in $G / N$ if and only if $K$ is normal in $G$.


## 16. Finite groups

Since every group can be considered as a symmetry group of itself, every finite group $G$ can be considered as a symmetry group of finite set. In particular, $G$ can be considered as a subgroup of $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$ for some $n$ in $\omega$.

An element $\sigma$ of $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$ can be denoted by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & \cdots & n-1 \\
\sigma(0) & \sigma(1) & \cdots & \sigma(n-1)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

In particular, the permutation

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 1 & \cdots & n-2 & n-1 \\
1 & 2 & \cdots & n-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

can be called a cycle. More generally, if $m \leqslant n$, then the permutation

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 1 & \cdots & m-2 & m-1 & m & \cdots & n-1 \\
1 & 2 & \cdots & m-1 & 0 & m & \cdots & n-1
\end{array}\right)
$$

is a cycle too, or more precisely an $m$-cycle. For the moment, let us call this $\sigma_{m}$. In the most general sense, an $m$-cycle, or a cycle of length $m$, in $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$ is an element of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\tau(0) & \tau(1) & \cdots & \tau(m-2) & \tau(m-1) & \tau(m) & \cdots & \tau(n-1) \\
\tau(1) & \tau(2) & \cdots & \tau(m-1) & \tau(0) & \tau(m) & \cdots & \tau(n-1)
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\tau \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)$. Let this $m$-cycle be called $\sigma$. Then $\sigma(\tau(k))=\tau\left(\sigma_{m}(k)\right)$, so

$$
\sigma=\tau \sigma_{m} \tau^{-1}
$$

In general, the length of a cycle is its order. The $m$-cycle $\sigma$ above can be written more neatly as

$$
(\tau(0) \quad \tau(1) \quad \tau(m-1)) .
$$

In this notation, the same cycle $\sigma$ can be written in $m$ different ways, as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}
\tau(i) & \tau(i+1) & \cdots & \tau(m-1) & \tau(0) & \cdots & \tau(i-1)
\end{array}\right)
$$

for any $i$ in $m$.
Two elements $\sigma$ and $\tau$ of $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$ are disjoint if, for all $x$ in $n$,

$$
\sigma(x) \neq x \Longrightarrow \tau(x)=x
$$

In this case, $\sigma \tau=\tau \sigma$.
Theorem 57. Every element of $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$ is a product of disjoint cycles of length at least 2, uniquely up to order of factors.
Proof. Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)$. If $k \in n$, let

$$
[k]=\left\{\sigma^{\ell}(k): \ell \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

Then the sets $[k]$ partition $n$ : we have

$$
n=\left[k_{0}\right] \cup \cdots \cup\left[k_{\ell-1}\right]
$$

for some $\ell$, the union being disjoint. If $i \in \ell$, define $\sigma_{i}$ by

$$
\sigma_{i}(x)= \begin{cases}\sigma(x), & \text { if } x \in\left[k_{i}\right] \\ x, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

If [ $k_{i}$ ] has size $\ell_{i}$, then $\sigma_{i}$ is the $\ell_{i}$-cycle $\left(\begin{array}{llll}k & \sigma(k) & \cdots & \sigma^{\ell_{i}-1}(k)\end{array}\right)$. Finally, $\sigma$ is the product (that is, the composition) of all of the $\sigma_{i}$ such that $\ell_{i}>1$.

Theorem 58. The order of a finite permutation is the least common multiple of the orders of its disjoint cyclic factors.

A 2-cycle is also called a transposition.
Corollary. Every finite permutation is a product of transpositions.
Proof. ( $\left.\begin{array}{llll}0 & 1 & \cdots & m-1\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & m-1\end{array}\right) \cdots\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 2\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1\end{array}\right)$.
Let the set of 2 -element subsets of $n$ by denoted by

$$
[n]^{2}
$$

If $\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)$, and $\{i, j\} \in[n]^{2}$, then we can define

$$
\sigma(\{i, j\})=\{\sigma(i), \sigma(j)\} .
$$

Thus we have a homomorphism from $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$ to $\operatorname{Sym}\left([n]^{2}\right)$. Understanding $n$ as the subset $\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ of $\mathbb{Q}$, we have a function $X \mapsto q_{\sigma}(X)$ from $[n]^{2}$ to $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$given by

$$
q_{\sigma}(\{i, j\})=\frac{\sigma(i)-\sigma(j)}{i-j} .
$$

Then we can define the function $\sigma \mapsto \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$ from $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$ into $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$by

$$
\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)=\prod_{X \in[n]^{2}} q_{\sigma}(X) .
$$

Theorem 59. The function $\sigma \mapsto \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$ is an homomorphism from $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$ onto the subgroup $\langle-1\rangle$ of $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$; it takes every transposition to -1 .

Proof. If $\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}k & \ell\end{array}\right)$, then
$\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)=q_{\sigma}(\{k, \ell\}) \prod_{i \in n \backslash\{k, \ell\}}\left(q_{\sigma}(\{i, \ell\}) q_{\sigma}(\{k, i\})\right)=\frac{\ell-k}{k-\ell} \cdot \prod_{i \in n \backslash\{k, \ell\}}\left(\frac{i-k}{i-\ell} \cdot \frac{\ell-i}{k-i}\right)=-1$.
If $\sigma$ and $\tau$ are arbitrary elements of $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma \tau) & =\prod_{\{i, j\} \in[n]^{2}} \frac{\sigma(\tau(i))-\sigma(\tau(j))}{i-j} \\
& =\prod_{\{i, j\} \in[n]^{2}}\left(\frac{\sigma(\tau(i))-\sigma(\tau(j))}{\tau(i)-\tau(j)} \cdot \frac{\tau(i)-\tau(j)}{i-j}\right) \\
& =\prod_{X \in[n]^{2}} q_{\sigma}(\tau(X)) \cdot \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) \\
& =\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \operatorname{sgn}(\tau)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\tau$ permutes $[n]^{2}$.

The value $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$ can now be called the signum of $\sigma$; it is 1 if and only if $\sigma$ is the product of an even number of transpositions. Such a product is itself called even; the other permutations, with signum -1 , are called odd.

The alternating group of degree $n$ is the kernel of $\sigma \mapsto \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$ on $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$ and is denoted by

$$
\operatorname{Alt}(n)
$$

Hence $\operatorname{Alt}(n) \triangleleft \operatorname{Sym}(n)$ and $[\operatorname{Sym}(n): \operatorname{Alt}(n)]=2$.
A group is simple if it has no proper nontrivial normal subgroups. For example, $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ is simple just in case $|n|$ is prime. Hence the only simple abelian groups are the $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, where $p$ is prime.

Lemma 10. $\operatorname{Alt}(n)$ is generated by the 3 -cycles in $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$.
Proof. The group $\operatorname{Alt}(n)$ is generated by the products $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & c\end{array}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}c & d\end{array}\right)$, where $a, b, c$, and $d$ are distinct elements of $n$. But

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & c
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a & c & b
\end{array}\right) \\
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
c & d
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
b & c & a
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
c & d & b
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence all 3-cycles belong to $\operatorname{Alt}(n)$, and this group is generated by these cycles.
Lemma 11. Alt $(n)$ is generated by the 3 -cycles ( $\left.\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1 & k\end{array}\right)$, where $1<k<n$.
Proof. If $a, b$, and $c$ are distinct elements of $n \backslash\{0,1\}$, then

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & a & b
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & b
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & b
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & a
\end{array}\right)^{-1} \\
(1
\end{array} a b c\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & b
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & b
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & a
\end{array}\right), ~\left(\begin{array}{llll}
c & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & a & b
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & c
\end{array}\right) . .
$$

Lemma 12. Any normal subgroup of $\operatorname{Alt}(n)$ containing a 3-cycle is $\operatorname{Alt}(n)$.
Proof. We show that every 3 -cycle is conjugate in $\operatorname{Alt}(n)$ to a cycle $\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1 & k\end{array}\right)$. It is enough to not that $\left(\begin{array}{lll}a & b & d\end{array}\right)=\underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}c & d\end{array}\right)}\left(\begin{array}{lll}c & b & a\end{array}\right) \underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{ll}c & d\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b\end{array}\right)}$.
Lemma 13. If $n>4$, then a normal subgroup of $\operatorname{Alt}(n)$ contains a 3 -cycle, provided it has a nontrivial element whose factorization into disjoint cycles contains one of the following:
(1) a cycle of length at least 4;
(2) two cycles of length 3;
(3) transpositions, only one 3-cycle, and no other cycles; or
(4) only transpositions.

Proof. (1) If $k \geqslant 4$, and $\sigma$ is disjoint from ( $\left.\begin{array}{llll}0 & 1 & \ldots & k-1\end{array}\right)$, then

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & \ldots & k-1
\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \sigma^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
k-1 & \ldots & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 3
\end{array}\right) .
$$

(2) If $\sigma$ is disjoint from $\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1 & 2\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}3 & 4 & 5\end{array}\right)$, then we reduce to the previous case:
$\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1 & 3\end{array}\right) \underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1 & 2\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}3 & 4 & 5\end{array}\right)} \sigma\left(\begin{array}{lll}3 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right) \sigma^{-1} \underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{lll}5 & 4 & 3\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}2 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right)}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 1 & 4 & 2 & 3\end{array}\right)$.
(3) If $\sigma$ is disjoint from $\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1 & 2\end{array}\right)$ and is the product of transpositions, then

$$
\left[\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right) \sigma\right]^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

(4) If $\sigma$ is a product of transpositions disjoint from $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & 3\end{array}\right)$, then

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right) \underbrace{(0} 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 3
\end{array}\right) \sigma\left(\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \underbrace{\sigma\left(\begin{array}{ll}
3 & 2
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 2
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 3
\end{array}\right), ~\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 2 & 4
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 2
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 3
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
4 & 2 & 0
\end{array}\right) \underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
3 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0
\end{array}\right)}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 4 & 2
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Theorem 6o. Alt $(n)$ is simple if and only if $n \neq 4$.
Proof. Alt(1) and $\operatorname{Alt}(2)$ are trivial, and $\operatorname{Alt}(3) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3}$. The case when $n>4$ is handled by the previous lemmas. Finally, every element of Alt(4) (in fact, of Sym(4)) can be considered as a permutation of the set

$$
\{\{\{0,1\},\{2,3\}\},\{\{0,2\},\{1,3\}\},\{\{0,3\},\{1,2\}\}\}
$$

Thus we get an epimorphism from $\operatorname{Alt}(4)$ to $\operatorname{Sym}(3)$ whose kernel is therefore a proper nontrivial normal subgroup.

The normal subgroup of Alt(4) found in the proof is

$$
\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 3
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 2
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 3
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 3
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2
\end{array}\right)\right\rangle .
$$

We can obtain it by considering Alt(4) as the group of rotational symmetries of the regular tetrahedron. The vertices of this tetrahedron can be taken as 4 of the 8 vertices of a cube: say, the vertices with coordinates $(1,1,1),(1,-1,-1),(-1,1,-1)$, and $(-1,-1,1)$. Then a symmetry of the tetrahedron determines a permutation of the 3 coordinate axes, hence an element of $\operatorname{Sym}(3)$.

## 17. Determinants

Let $R$ be a commutative ring. We define the function $X \mapsto \operatorname{det}(X)$ from $\mathrm{M}_{n}(R)$ to $R$ by

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\left(a_{j}^{i}\right)_{j<n}^{i<n}\right)=\sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i<n} a_{\sigma(i)}^{i}
$$

Theorem 61. The function $X \mapsto \operatorname{det}(X)$ is a multiplicative homomorphism, that is,

$$
\operatorname{det}(X Y)=\operatorname{det}(X) \operatorname{det}(Y)
$$

Proof. We shall use the identity

$$
\prod_{i<k} \sum_{j<n} f(i, j)=\sum_{\varphi: k \rightarrow n} \prod_{i<k} f(i, \varphi(i))
$$

Let $A=\left(a_{j}^{i}\right)_{j<n}^{i<n}$ and $B=\left(b_{j}^{i}\right)_{j<n}^{i<n}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}(A B) & =\operatorname{det}\left(\left(\sum_{j<n} a_{j}^{i} b_{k}^{j}\right)_{k<n}^{i<n}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i<n} \sum_{j<n} a_{j}^{i} b_{\sigma(i)}^{j} \\
& =\sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \sum_{\varphi: n \rightarrow n} \prod_{i<n}\left(a_{\varphi(i)}^{i} b_{\sigma(i)}^{\varphi(i)}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\varphi: n \rightarrow n} \sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i<n}\left(a_{\varphi(i)}^{i} b_{\sigma(i)}^{\varphi(i)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall eliminate from the sum those terms in any $\varphi$ that is not injective. Suppose $k<\ell<n$, but $\varphi(k)=\varphi(\ell)$. The function $\sigma \mapsto \sigma \circ\binom{k}{\ell}$ is a bijection between $\operatorname{Alt}(n)$ and $\operatorname{Sym}(n) \backslash \operatorname{Alt}(n)$. Also, if $\tau=\sigma \circ\left(\begin{array}{ll}k & \ell\end{array}\right)$, then

$$
\left.\left.a_{\varphi(k)}^{k} b_{\sigma(k)}^{\varphi(k)} a_{\varphi(\ell)}^{\ell} b_{\sigma(\ell)}^{\varphi(\ell)}=a_{\varphi(k)}^{k}\right)_{\tau(\ell)}^{\varphi^{(\ell)}} a_{\varphi(\ell)}^{\ell} b_{\tau(k)}^{\varphi(k)}=a_{\varphi(k)}^{k} b_{\tau(k)}^{\varphi(k)} a_{\varphi(\ell)}^{\ell}\right)_{\tau(\ell)}^{\varphi^{(\ell)}} .
$$

Hence

$$
\left.\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i<n}\left(a_{\varphi(i)}^{i}\right)_{\sigma(i)}^{\varphi_{\varphi}^{(i)}}\right)+\operatorname{sgn}(\tau) \prod_{i<n}\left(a_{\varphi(i)}^{i} b_{\tau(i)}^{\varphi(i)}\right)=0
$$

Now we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\operatorname{det}(A B) & =\sum_{\tau \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)} \sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i<n}\left(a_{\tau(i)}^{i} b_{\sigma(i)}^{\tau(i)}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\tau \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)} \sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i<n}\left(a_{\tau(i)}^{i} b_{\tau^{-1} \sigma(i)}^{i}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\tau \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)} \sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)} \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) \operatorname{sgn}\left(\tau^{-1} \sigma\right) \prod_{i<n}\left(a_{\tau(i)}^{i} b_{\tau^{-1}}^{i} \sigma(i)\right.
\end{array}\right)
$$

Corollary. An element $A$ of $\mathrm{M}_{n}(R)$ has an inverse only if $\operatorname{det}(A) \in R^{\times}$.
Theorem 62. An element $A$ of $\mathrm{M}_{n}(R)$ has an inverse if $\operatorname{det}(A) \in R^{\times}$.

Proof. Let $A=\left(a_{j}^{i}\right)_{j<n}^{i<n}$. If $i<n$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}(A) & =\sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{\ell<n} a_{\sigma(\ell)}^{\ell} \\
& =\sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) a_{\sigma(i)}^{i} \prod_{\ell \in n \backslash\{i\}} a_{\sigma(\ell)}^{\ell} \\
& =\sum_{j<n} a_{j}^{i} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}(n) \\
\sigma(i)=j}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{\ell \in n \backslash\{i\}} a_{\sigma(\ell)}^{\ell} \\
& =\sum_{j<n} a_{j}^{i} b_{i}^{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
b_{k}^{j}=\sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}(n) \\ \sigma(k)=j}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{\ell \in n \backslash\{k\}} a_{\sigma(\ell)}^{\ell} .
$$

However, if $i \neq k$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j<n} a_{j}^{i} b_{k}^{j} & =\sum_{j<n} a_{j}^{i} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}(n) \\
\sigma(k)=j}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{\ell \in n \backslash\{k\}} a_{\sigma(\ell)}^{\ell} \\
& =\sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) a_{\sigma(k)}^{i} \prod_{\ell \in n \backslash\{k\}} a_{\sigma(\ell)}^{\ell} \\
& =\sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) a_{\sigma(k)}^{i} a_{\sigma(i)}^{i} \prod_{\ell \in n \backslash\{i, k\}} a_{\sigma(\ell)}^{\ell}=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

since the map $\sigma \mapsto \sigma \circ\left(\begin{array}{ll}i & k\end{array}\right)$ is a bijection between $\operatorname{Alt}(n)$ and $\operatorname{Sym}(n) \backslash \operatorname{Alt}(n)$. Thus

$$
A\left(b_{k}^{j}\right)_{k<n}^{j<n}=\left(\operatorname{det}(A) \delta_{k}^{i}\right)_{k<n}^{i<n}
$$

Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j<n} b_{j}^{i} a_{k}^{j} & =\sum_{j<n} \sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{\ell \in n \backslash\{j\}} a_{\sigma(\ell)}^{\ell} a_{k}^{j} \\
& =\sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{\ell \in n \backslash\left\{\sigma^{-1}(i)\right\}} a_{\sigma(\ell)}^{\ell} a_{k}^{\sigma^{-1}(i)} \\
& =\sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{\ell \in n \backslash\{i\}} a_{\ell}^{\sigma^{-1}(\ell)} a_{k}^{\sigma^{-1}(i)},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is $\operatorname{det}(A)$ if $i=k$, but is otherwise 0 , so

$$
\left(b_{j}^{i}\right)_{j<n}^{i<n} A=\left(\operatorname{det}(A) \delta_{k}^{i}\right)_{k<n}^{i<n}
$$

In particular, if $\operatorname{det}(A)$ is invertible, then so is $A$, and

$$
A^{-1}=\left(\operatorname{det}(A)^{-1} b_{k}^{j}\right)_{k<n}^{j<n}
$$

## 18. Dihedral groups

We can consider the elements of $n$ as vertices of a regular $n$-gon. The group of symmetries of this object is called a dihedral group and is denoted by

$$
D_{n} .
$$

Formally, this is the subgroup $\left\langle\sigma_{n}, \beta\right\rangle$ of $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$, where as in the last section $\sigma_{n}$ is the $n$-cycle ( $\left.\begin{array}{llll}0 & 1 & \ldots & n-1\end{array}\right)$, while

Note that both $\beta$ and $\sigma_{n} \beta$ here have order 2 .
Theorem 63. If $n>2$, and $G=\langle a, b\rangle$, where $|a|=n$ and $|b|=2=|a b|$, then $G \cong D_{n}$.
Proof. Assume $n \geqslant 2$. Since $a b a b=\mathrm{e}$ and $b^{-1}=b$, we have

$$
b a=a^{-1} b, \quad \quad b a^{-1}=a b .
$$

Therefore $b a^{k}=a^{-k} b$ for all integers $k$. This shows

$$
G=\left\{a^{i} b^{j}:(i, j) \in n \times 2\right\} .
$$

It remains to show $|G|=2 n$. Suppose

$$
a^{i} b^{j}=a^{k} b^{\ell},
$$

where $(i, j)$ and $(k, \ell)$ are in $n \times 2$. Then

$$
a^{i-k}=b^{\ell-j} .
$$

If $b^{\ell-j}=\mathrm{e}$, then $\ell=j$ and $i=k$. The alternative is that $b^{\ell-j}=b$. In this case,

$$
n \mid 2(i-k) .
$$

If $n \mid i-k$, then $i=k$ and hence $j=\ell$. The only other possibility is that $n=2 m$ for some $m$, and $i-k= \pm m$, so that $a^{m}=b$. But then $a a^{m} a a^{m}=a^{2}$, while $a b a b=\mathrm{e}$, so $n=2$.

## 19. Products and sums

Theorem 64. Let $G_{0}$, $G_{1}$ and $H$ be groups. For each $i$ in 2 , let $\pi_{i}$ be the homomorphism $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) \mapsto x_{i}$ from $G_{0} \times G_{1}$ to $G_{i}$, and let $f_{i}$ be a homomorphism from $H$ to $G_{i}$. Then there is a homomorphism

$$
x \mapsto\left(f_{0}(x), f_{1}(x)\right)
$$

from $H$ to $G_{0} \times G_{1}$, and this is the unique homomorphism $f$ from $H$ to $G_{0} \times G_{1}$ such that, for each i in 2 ,

$$
\pi_{i} f=f_{i}
$$

-that is, the following diagram commutes:


Proof. If $u \in G_{0} \times G_{1}$, then $u=\left(\pi_{0}(u), \pi_{1}(u)\right)$. Hence, if $f: H \rightarrow G_{0} \times G_{1}$, then $f(x)=$ $\left(\pi_{0} f(x), \pi_{1} f(x)\right)$. In particular then, $f$ is as desired if and only if $f(x)=\left(f_{0}(x), f_{1}(x)\right)$.

We can generalize this theorem by considering an indexed family $\left(G_{i}: i \in I\right)$ of groups. The direct product of this family is denoted by

$$
\prod_{i \in I} G_{i} .
$$

This is, first of all, the set whose elements are ( $x_{i}: i \in I$ ) (that is, functions $i \mapsto x_{i}$ on $I$ ) such that $x_{i} \in G_{i}$ for each $i$ in $I$. An operation of multiplication on this set is given by

$$
\left(x_{i}: i \in I\right)\left(y_{i}: i \in I\right)=\left(x_{i} y_{i}: i \in I\right)
$$

Under this multiplication, $\prod_{i \in I} G_{i}$ becomes a group. If $i \in I$, we define a homomorphism $\pi_{i}$ from $\prod_{i \in I} G_{i}$ to $G_{i}$ by

$$
\pi_{i}\left(x_{j}: j \in I\right)=x_{i}
$$

In case $I=n$, we may write $\prod_{i \in I} G_{i}$ also as

$$
G_{0} \times \cdots \times G_{n-1}
$$

and a typical element of this as

$$
\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)
$$

To the previous theorem we have:
Porism. Suppose $\left(G_{i}: i \in I\right)$ is an indexed family of groups, and $H$ is a group, and for each $i$ in $I$ there is a homomorphism from $H$ to $G_{i}$. Then there is a homomorphism

$$
x \mapsto\left(f_{i}(x): i \in I\right)
$$

from $H$ to $\prod_{i \in I} G_{i}$, and this is the unique homomorphism from $H$ to $\prod_{i \in I} G_{i}$ such that, for each $i$ in $I$,

$$
\pi_{i} f=f_{i}
$$

The direct product of a family of abelian groups is an abelian group. When we restrict attention to abelian groups, then we can reverse the arrows in Theorem 64:

Theorem 65. Let $G_{0}, G_{1}$ and $H$ be abelian groups. Let $\iota_{0}$ be the homomorphism $x \mapsto$ $(x, 0)$ from $G_{0}$ to $G_{0} \oplus G_{1}$, and let $\iota_{1}$ be $x \mapsto(0, x)$ from $G_{1}$ to $G_{0} \oplus G_{1}$. For each $i$ in 2 , let $f_{i}$ be a homomorphism from $G_{i}$ to $H$. Then there is a homomorphism

$$
\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) \mapsto f_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)+f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)
$$

from $G_{0} \oplus G_{1}$ to $H$, and this is the unique homomorphism from $G_{0} \oplus G_{1}$ to $H$ such that, for each $i$ in 2 ,

$$
f \iota_{i}=f_{i}
$$

-that is, the following diagram commutes:


Proof. Every element $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$ of $G_{0} \oplus G_{1}$ is $\iota_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)+\iota_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)$, so that, if $f$ is a homomorphism on $G_{0} \oplus G_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=f \iota_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)+f \iota_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) . \tag{x}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $f$ is as desired if and only if $f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=f_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)+f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)$. The function so defined is indeed a homomorphism, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right)\right)= & f\left(x_{0}+u_{0}, x_{1}+u_{1}\right)=f_{0}\left(x_{0}+u_{0}\right)+f_{1}\left(x_{1}+u_{1}\right) \\
& =f_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)+f_{0}\left(u_{0}\right)+f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+f_{1}\left(u_{1}\right) \\
& =f_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)+f_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+f_{0}\left(u_{0}\right)+f_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)=f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+f\left(u_{0}, u_{1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

because $H$ is abelian.
In the proof, the definition of $f$ in ( x ) relies on the finiteness of the family $\left(G_{i}: i \in 2\right)$; more precisely, it relies on the finiteness of $\left\{i \in 2: x_{i} \neq e\right.$ ). Of an arbitrary indexed family ( $G_{i}: i \in I$ ) of groups, we define the weak direct product to be the subgroup, denoted by

$$
\prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} G_{i},
$$

of $\prod_{i \in I} G_{i}$ comprising those elements $\left(x_{i}: i \in I\right)$ such that $\left\{i \in I: x_{i} \neq \mathrm{e}\right\}$ is finite. We define a homomorphism $\iota_{i}$ from each $G_{i}$ to $\prod_{j \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} G_{j}$ by

$$
\iota_{i}(x)=\left(x_{j}: j \in I\right),
$$

where

$$
x_{j}= \begin{cases}x, & \text { if } j=i ; \\ \mathrm{e}, & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

If $I$ is finite, then the weak direct product is the same as the (full) direct product.
Proving that $f$ as in ( x ) is a homomorphism uses that $H$ is abelian. The weak direct product of a family ( $G_{i}: i \in I$ ) of abelian groups is called the direct sum and is denoted by

$$
\sum_{i \in I} G_{i} .
$$

In case $I=n$, we may write $\sum_{i \in I} G_{i}$ also as

$$
G_{0} \oplus \cdots \oplus G_{n-1} .
$$

To the previous theorem we have:
Porism. Suppose $\left(G_{i}: i \in I\right)$ is an indexed family of abelian groups, and $H$ is an abelian group, and for each $i$ in $I$ there is a homomorphism $f_{i}$ from $G_{i}$ to $H$. Then there is a homomorphism

$$
x \mapsto \sum_{i \in I} f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

from $\sum_{i \in I} G_{i}$ to $H$, and this is the unique homomorphism $f$ from $\sum_{i \in I} G_{i}$ to $H$ such that, for each i in I,

$$
f \iota_{i}=f_{i} .
$$

Now we can provide an example promised in $\S 9$. Let $E$ be the abelian group $\sum_{n \in \omega} \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $f$ is a singulary operation on $\omega$. An element $f^{*}$ of $\operatorname{End}(E)$ is induced, given by

$$
f^{*}\left(x_{n}: n \in \omega\right)=\left(x_{f(n)}: n \in \omega\right)
$$

Then $f^{*} \iota_{f(n)}=\iota_{n}$. Let $f$ be the operation $x \mapsto x+1$ on $\omega$, and let $g$ be the operation given by

$$
g(x)= \begin{cases}y, & \text { if } f(y)=x, \\ 0, & \text { if } x=0 .\end{cases}
$$

Then $g f(x)=x$, so $f^{*} g^{*}=(g f)^{*}$, the identity in $\operatorname{End}(E)$; but $g^{*} f^{*}$ is not the identity, since it is $(f g)^{*}$, and $f g(0)=1=f g(1)$.

We have two kinds of products so far, related as follows.
Theorem 66. Let $\left(G_{i}: i \in I\right)$ be an indexed family of groups. Then

$$
\iota_{j}\left[G_{j}\right] \triangleleft \prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} G_{i}, \quad \quad \prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} G_{i} \triangleleft \prod_{i \in I} G_{i}, \quad \quad \iota_{j}\left[G_{j}\right] \triangleleft \prod_{i \in I} G_{i} .
$$

Theorem 65 and its porism can be generalized to some cases of arbitrary groups:
Theorem 67. Suppose $\left(G_{i}: i \in I\right)$ is an indexed family of groups, and $H$ is a group, and for each $i$ in $I$ there is a homomorphism $f_{i}$ from $G_{i}$ to $H$. Suppose further that, for all $i$ and $j$ in $I$,

$$
f_{i}(x) f_{j}(y)=f_{j}(y) f_{i}(x) .
$$

Then there is a homomorphism

$$
x \mapsto \prod_{i \in I} f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

from $\prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} G_{i}$ to $H$, and this is the unique homomorphism $f$ from $\prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} G_{i}$ to $H$ such that, for each i in $I$,

$$
f \iota_{i}=f_{i} .
$$

As a special case of this theorem, we have the next theorem below, by means of the following:
Lemma 14. If $M$ and $N$ are normal subgroups of $G$, and

$$
M \cap N=\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle,
$$

then each element $m$ of $M$ commutes with each element $n$ of $N$, that is,

$$
m n=n m .
$$

Proof. We can analyze $m n m^{-1} n^{-1}$ both as the element $\left(m n m^{-1}\right) n^{-1}$ of $N$ and as the element $m\left(n m^{-1} n^{-1}\right)$ in $M$; so the element is e, and therefore $m n=\left(m^{-1} n^{-1}\right)^{-1}=$ $n m$.
Theorem 68. If $\left(N_{i}: i \in I\right)$ is an indexed family of normal subgroups of a group, and for each $j$ in $I$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{j} \cap\left\langle\bigcup_{i \in I \backslash\{j\}} N_{i}\right\rangle=\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle, \tag{xi}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\left\langle\bigcup_{i \in I} N_{i}\right\rangle \cong \prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} N_{i} .
$$

Proof. Say the $N_{i}$ are normal subgroups of $G$. Since $N_{i} \cap N_{j}=\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle$ whenever $i \neq j$, the last theorem and the lemma guarantee that there is a homomorphism $h$ from $\prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} N_{i}$ into $G$ such that, for each $i$ in $I$, the composition $h \iota_{i}$ is just the inclusion of $N_{i}$ in $G$. Then the range of $h$ is $\left\langle\bigcup_{i \in I} N_{i}\right\rangle$. To show that $h$ is injective, note that, if $n \in \prod_{i \in I}^{\mathrm{w}} N_{i}$ and $h(n)=\mathrm{e}$, then, for each $j$ in $I$, we have

$$
n_{j}^{-1}=\prod_{i \in I \backslash\{j\}} n_{i} .
$$

The left member is in $N_{j}$, the right in $\left\langle\bigcup_{i \in I \backslash\{j\}} N_{i}\right\rangle$, so each side is e; in particular, $n_{j}=\mathrm{e}$. Therefore $n=\mathrm{e}$.

In the conclusion of the theorem, $G$ is the internal weak direct product of the $N_{i}$.

## 20. Free groups

The direct sum $\sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}$ has elements $\mathrm{e}^{i}$, namely $\iota_{i}(1)$ or $\left(\delta_{j}^{i}: j \in I\right)$, where

$$
\delta_{j}^{i}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } j=i \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

An arbitrary element of $\sum_{i \in I}$ is a 'formal sum,'

$$
\sum_{i \in I} x_{i} \mathrm{e}^{i}
$$

Theorem 69. Suppose $G$ is an abelian group, $I$ is a set, and $f$ is a function from $I$ to $G$. Then there is a homomorphism

$$
\sum_{i \in I} x_{i} \mathrm{e}^{i} \mapsto \sum_{i \in I} x_{i} f(i)
$$

from $\sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}$ to $G$, and this is the unique homomorphism $\tilde{f}$ from $\sum_{i \in I}$ to $G$ such that, for each $i$ in $I$,

$$
\tilde{f}\left(\mathrm{e}^{i}\right)=f(i)
$$

-that is, the following diagram commutes, where $\iota$ is the map $i \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{i}$ :


The direct sum $\sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}$ in the theorem is the free abelian group on $I$ with respect to the map $i \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{i}$. There is also a free group on $I$, which we may denoted by

$$
\mathrm{F}(I)
$$

This is the group of reduced words on $I$. A word on $I$ is a finite nonempty string $t_{0} t_{1} \cdots t_{n}$, where each entry $t_{k}$ is either e, or else $a$ or $a^{-1}$ for some $a$ in $I$. A word is reduced if $a$ and $a^{-1}$ are never adjacent in it, and e is never adjacent to any other entry (so e can appear only in the string e). We make $\mathrm{F}(I)$ into a group when the
multiplication is defined as juxtaposition followed by reduction, namely, replacement of each occurrence of $a a^{-1}$ or $a^{-1} a$ with e, and replacement of each occurrence of $x$ e or e $x$ with $x$. Thus, when an element $a$ of $I$ is written as $a^{+1}$, we have

$$
\left(a_{m}^{\epsilon(m)} \cdots a_{0}^{\epsilon(0)}\right)\left(b_{0}^{\zeta(0)} \cdots b_{n}^{\zeta(n)}\right)=a_{m}^{\epsilon(m)} \cdots a_{j}^{\epsilon(j)} b_{j}^{\zeta(j)} \cdots b_{n}^{\zeta(n)}
$$

where $j$ is maximal such that, if $i<j$, then $a_{i}^{\epsilon(i)}=b_{i}^{-\zeta(i)}$. We consider $I$ as a subset of $\mathrm{F}(I)$. An element of the latter other than e can be written also as

$$
a_{0}{ }^{n(0)} \cdots a_{m}{ }^{n(m)},
$$

where $a_{i}$ and $a_{i+1}$ are always distinct elements of $I$, and each $n(i)$ is in $\mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$.
Theorem 70. Suppose $G$ is a group, $I$ is a set, and $f$ is a function from $I$ to $G$. Then there is a homomorphism

$$
a_{0}^{\epsilon(0)} \cdots a_{n}^{\epsilon(n)} \mapsto f\left(a_{0}\right)^{\epsilon(0)} \cdots f\left(a_{n}\right)^{\epsilon(n)}
$$

from $\mathrm{F}(I)$ to $G$, and this is the unique homomorphism $\tilde{f}$ from $\mathrm{F}(I)$ to $G$ such that

$$
\tilde{f} \upharpoonright I=f
$$

—that is, the following diagram commutes, where $\iota$ is the inclusion of $I$ in $\mathrm{F}(I)$ :


The free product of a family $\left(G_{i}: i \in I\right)$ of groups is the group, denoted by

$$
\prod_{i \in I}^{*} G_{i}
$$

comprising the string e together with strings $t_{0} \cdots t_{m}$, where each entry $t_{i}$ is an ordered pair $(g, n(i))$ such that $n(i) \in I$ and $g \in G_{n(i)} \backslash\{\mathrm{e}\}$, and $n(i) \neq n(i+1)$. This complicated definition allows for the possibility that $G_{i}$ might be the same as $G_{j}$ for some distinct $i$ and $j$; the groups $G_{i}$ and $G_{j}$ must be considered as distinct in the formation of the free product. Multiplication on $\prod_{i \in I}^{*} G_{i}$, as on $\mathrm{F}(I)$, is juxtaposition followed by reduction, so that if $(g, i)$ is followed directly by $(h, i)$, then they are replaced with $(g h, i)$, and all instances of (e, i) are deleted, or replaced with e if there is no other entry. Each $G_{j}$ embeds in $\prod_{i \in I}^{*} G_{i}$ under $\iota_{j}$, namely $x \mapsto(x, j)$. We now have the following analogue of the porism to Theorem 65 .

Theorem 71. Let $\left(G_{i}: i \in I\right)$ be an indexed family of groups, and let $H$ be a group. Suppose for each $i$ in $I$ there is a homomorphism $f_{i}$ from $G_{i}$ to $H$. Then there is a homomorphism

$$
\left(g_{0}, n(0)\right) \cdots\left(g_{m}, n(m)\right) \mapsto f_{n(0)}\left(g_{0}\right) \cdots f_{n(n)}\left(g_{n}\right)
$$

from $\prod_{i \in I}^{*} G_{i}$ to $H$; this is the unique homomorphism from $\prod_{i \in I}^{*} G_{i}$ to $H$ such that, for each $i$ in $I$,

$$
f \iota_{i}=f_{i}
$$

-that is, the following diagram commutes:

21. Categories

For any two groups $G$ and $H$ there is a set

$$
\operatorname{Hom}(G, H)
$$

comprising the homomorphisms from $G$ to $H$. There is a map

$$
(g, f) \mapsto g \circ f
$$

from $\operatorname{Hom}(H, K) \times \operatorname{Hom}(G, H)$ to $\operatorname{Hom}(G, K)$, and there is $\operatorname{id}_{H}$ in $\operatorname{Hom}(H, H)$, such that

$$
\operatorname{id}_{H} \circ f=f, \quad g \circ \mathrm{id}_{H}=g, \quad k \circ(g \circ f)=(k \circ g) \circ f
$$

whenever $f \in \operatorname{Hom}(G, H), g \in \operatorname{Hom}(H, K)$, and $k \in \operatorname{Hom}(K, L)$. Understood in this way, groups with their homomorphisms compose a prototypical example of a category.

A directed graph is a certain kind of quadruple

$$
\left(\mathbf{C}_{0}, \mathbf{C}_{1}, t, h\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{C}_{0}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{1}$ are classes, and $t$ and $h$ are functions from $\mathbf{C}_{1}$ to $\mathbf{C}_{0}$. We may refer to each element of $\mathbf{C}_{0}$ as a node, and to each element of $\mathbf{C}_{1}$ as an arrow. If $a$ is an arrow, then $t(a)$ is its tail, and $h(a)$ is its head, and $a$ is an arrow from $t(a)$ to $t(b)$. If $f$ is an arrow from $A$ to $B$, we may express this by writing

$$
f: A \longrightarrow B
$$

or

$$
A \xrightarrow{f} B .
$$

We require the arrows from $A$ to $B$ to compose a set (as opposed to a proper class, like the class of all sets that do not contain themselves). We can define

$$
\mathbf{C}_{2}=\left\{(f, g) \in \mathbf{C}_{1}^{2}: t(f)=h(g)\right\}
$$

this is the class of paths of length 2. More generally,

$$
\mathbf{C}_{n+1}=\left\{\left(f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{G}_{1}^{n+1}: \bigwedge_{i<n} t\left(f_{i}\right)=h\left(f_{i+1}\right)\right\}
$$

The graph above is a category if there are
(1) a function $A \mapsto \operatorname{id}_{A}$ from $\mathbf{C}_{0}$ to $\mathbf{C}_{1}$, and
(2) a function $(f, g) \mapsto f \circ g$ from $\mathbf{C}_{2}$ to $\mathbf{C}_{1}$,
such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
t\left(\mathrm{id}_{A}\right)=A=h\left(\mathrm{id}_{A}\right), \quad t(f \circ g)=t(g), \quad h(f \circ g)=h(f), \\
f \circ \mathrm{id}_{t(f)}=f, \quad \operatorname{id}_{h(g)} \circ g=g, \quad h \circ(g \circ f)=(h \circ g) \circ f \tag{xii}
\end{gather*}
$$

whenever these are defined. In particular then, the category is a sextuple

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{C}_{0}, \mathbf{C}_{1}, t, h, \mathrm{id}, \circ\right) . \tag{xiii}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conditions (xii) can be diagrammed as follows.



These are commutative diagrams in the sense that any two paths from one vertex to another represent the same arrow. ${ }^{6}$ The arrows of a category are also called morphisms. The class of morphisms from $A$ to $B$ can be denoted by

$$
\operatorname{Hom}(A, B) .
$$

The morphism $f \circ g$ is the composite of $f$ and $g$.
A category is concrete if each of its objects has an underlying set and the morphisms are functions in the way suggested by the notation. For example, the class of sets, with the class of functions, is a concrete category; likewise the class of groups, with homomorphisms, and the class of topological spaces, with continuous functions. However, not all categories are concrete. For example, if $G$ is a group, then its elements can be considered as objects of a category in which $\operatorname{Hom}(a, b)=\left\{b a^{-1}\right\}, \mathrm{id}_{a}=1$, and $c \circ d=c d$.

In a category, a morphism $f$ is an isomorphism if

$$
g \circ f=\operatorname{id}_{t(f)} \quad \text { and } \quad f \circ g=\operatorname{id}_{h(f)}
$$

for some morphism $g$; then $g$ is an inverse of $f$.
Theorem 72. In a category, inverses are unique.
Proof. If $g$ and $h$ are inverses of $f$, then $g=g \circ \operatorname{id}_{h(f)}=g \circ(f \circ h)=(g \circ f) \circ h=$ $\mathrm{id}_{t(f)} \circ h=h$.
If it exists, then the inverse of $f$ is $f^{-1}$. It is immediate then that $\left(f^{-1}\right)^{-1}=f$.
Suppose we have an arbitrary category as in (xiii) and an element $\left(A_{i}: i \in I\right)$ or $A$ of $\mathbf{C}_{0}{ }^{I}$ for some index-set $I$. If it exists, the product of $A$ in the category is an element

$$
\left(\prod A, i \mapsto \pi_{i}\right)
$$

of $\mathbf{C}_{0} \times \mathbf{C}_{1}{ }^{I}$, where

$$
\pi_{i}: \prod A \rightarrow A_{i}
$$

[^4]for each $i$ in $I$, such that, whenever $\left(B, i \mapsto f_{i}\right) \in \mathbf{C}_{0} \times \mathbf{C}_{1}{ }^{I}$, where $f_{i}: B \rightarrow A_{i}$ for each $i$ in $I$, then there is a unique morphism $f$ from $B$ to $\prod A$ such that
$$
\pi_{i} \circ f=f_{i}
$$
for each $i$ in $I$. Again this condition is expressed by a commutative diagram.


The morphisms $\pi_{i}$ are the canonical projections.
Theorem 73. Any two products of the same family of objects in the same category are isomorphic.

The porism to Theorem 64 is that direct products are products in the category of groups and in the category of abelian groups.

Every category has a dual, in which the arrows are reversed. To be precise, the dual of $\left(\mathbf{C}_{0}, \mathbf{C}_{1}, t, h, \mathrm{id}, \circ\right)$ is $\left(\mathbf{C}_{0}, \mathbf{C}_{1}, h, t, \mathrm{id}, \circ^{\prime}\right)$, where $f \circ^{\prime} g=g \circ f$. A co-product or sum in a category is a product in the dual. The co-product of $A$ may be denoted by

$$
\left(\coprod A, i \mapsto \iota_{i}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad\left(\sum A, i \mapsto \iota_{i}\right) ;
$$

the morphisms $\iota_{i}$ are the canonical injections. The relevant commutative diagram is the following.


Thus the coproduct of an indexed family of objects should be the 'simplest' object that contains all of the 'information' contained in each of the original objects.

The porism to Theorem $6_{5}$ is that direct sums are coproducts in the category of abelian groups. Theorem 71 is that free products are coproducts in the category of groups.

Suppose $F$ is an object in a concrete category and $I$ is a set. Then $F$ is called free on $I$ with respect to a function $\iota$ from $I$ to $F$ if for any function $f$ from $I$ to an object $B$, there is a unique morphism $\tilde{f}$ from $F$ to $B$ such that

$$
\tilde{f} \circ \iota=f .
$$

That is, the following diagram commutes (where the nodes and arrows, except $\tilde{f}$, are from the category of sets):


Theorem 69 shows that free objects exist in the category of abelian groups; Theorem 70 , in the category of groups.

## 22. Presentation of groups

Theorem 74. Every group is isomorphic to a quotient of a free group.
Proof. Since every group $G$ is an image of the free group $\mathrm{F}(G)$, the claim follows by the First Isomorphism Theorem (a corollary to Theorem 53).

Suppose $G$ is a group, $A$ is a set, $f: A \rightarrow G$, and $G=\langle f(a): a \in A\rangle$. Suppose further $B \subseteq \mathrm{~F}(A)$, and $N$ is the intersection of the set of normal subgroups of $\mathrm{F}(A)$ that include $B$. The quotient $F / N$, denoted by

$$
\langle A \mid B\rangle
$$

is referred to as the group with generators $A$ and relations $B$, even though, strictly, $F / N$ here is generated, not by (the elements of) $A$, but by the cosets $a N$, where $a \in A$. If there is an isomorphism from $\langle A \mid B\rangle$ to $G$ taking each of these cosets $a N$ to $f(a)$, then $\langle A \mid B\rangle$ is called a presentation of $G$.

In this definition, rather than assuming $A \subseteq G$, we use the map $f$ so as to allow the possibility that $f$ is not injective. Also, if $A=\left\{a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$, and $B=\left\{w_{0}, \ldots, w_{m}\right\}$, then $\langle A \mid B\rangle$ can be written as $\left\langle a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n} \mid w_{0}, \ldots, w_{m}\right\rangle$.

For example, $\mathrm{F}(A)$ can be presented as $\langle A \mid \varnothing\rangle$, and in particular $\mathbb{Z}$ can be presented as $\langle a \mid \varnothing\rangle$, but also as $\left\langle a, b \mid a b^{-1}\right\rangle$. The group $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ has the presentation $\left\langle a \mid a^{n}\right\rangle$.

Theorem 75 (von Dyck ${ }^{7}$ ). Suppose $G$ is a group, $A$ is a set, and $f: A \rightarrow G$, and let $\tilde{f}$ be the induced homomorphism from $\mathrm{F}(A)$ to $G$. Suppose further $B \subseteq \mathrm{~F}(A)$ and $\langle A \mid B\rangle=F / N$. If $\tilde{f}(w)=\mathrm{e}$ for each $w$ in $B$, then there is a well-defined homomorphism $g$ from $\langle A \mid B\rangle$ to $G$ such that $g(a N)=f(a)$ for each $a$ in $A$. If $G=\langle f(a): a \in A\rangle$, then $g$ is an epimorphism.


Proof. By definition of $N$, it is included in the kernel of $\tilde{f}$, so $g$ is well-defined by Theorem 53.

Theorem 76. If $n>2$, then $D_{n}$ has the presentation $\left\langle a, b \mid a^{n}, b^{2}, a b a b\right\rangle$.
Proof. Let $G=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{n}, b^{2}, a b a b\right\rangle$. Then the order of (the image of) $a$ in $G$ divides $n$, and the order of $b$ divides 2. But by von Dyck's Theorem and Theorem 63, G maps onto $D_{n}$, and hence $n$ divides the order of $a$ in $G$, and 2 divides the order of $b$. Therefore $D_{n} \cong G$.

Theorem 77. The group $\left\langle\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j} \mid \mathrm{i}^{4}, \mathrm{i}^{2} \mathrm{j}^{2}, \mathrm{iji}{ }^{3} \mathrm{j}\right\rangle$ has order 8 , and its elements are (the images of) $\pm 1, \pm \mathrm{i}, \pm \mathrm{j}, \pm \mathrm{k}$, where $1=\mathrm{e}$ and $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{ij}$ and $-x=\mathrm{i}^{2} x$.

Proof. Let the group be called $G$. In $G$, we have $\mathrm{j}^{2}=\mathrm{i}^{-2}=\mathrm{i}^{2}$, so $\mathrm{j}^{4}=1$. Hence also $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{ij}=\mathrm{j}^{3} \mathrm{i}$, so $\mathrm{i}^{3} \mathrm{j}=\mathrm{ji}$. This shows that every element of $G$ can be written as $\mathrm{i}^{n} \mathrm{j}^{m}$, where $n \in 4$ and $m \in 2$; hence it is one of the given elements.

[^5]
## 23. Finitely generated abelian groups

To classify a collection of groups is to find a function $f$ such that

$$
f(G)=f(H) \Longleftrightarrow G \cong H
$$

for all groups $G$ and $H$ in the collection. We do this now with the finitely generated abelian groups, and in particular with the finite abelian groups. The next theorem will be needed for Theorem 85 .

Theorem 78. For every abelian group $G$ on $n$ generators, there is a unique element $k$ of $n$, along with positive integers $d_{0}, \ldots, d_{k-1}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{0}|\cdots| d_{k-1}, \tag{xiv}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{d_{0}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{d_{k-1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{n-k} \tag{xv}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $F$ be the free abelian group $\sum_{i \in n} \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$
G \cong F / N
$$

where $N$ is the kernel of the induced epimorphism from $F$ onto $G$. As before, each element of $F$ can be understood as a formal sum $\sum_{i \in n} x_{i} \mathrm{e}^{i}$. Then $F$ itself is $\left\langle\mathrm{e}^{0}, \ldots, \mathrm{e}^{n-1}\right\rangle$. If $N=\left\langle d_{0} \mathrm{e}^{0}, \ldots, d_{k-1} \mathrm{e}^{k-1}\right\rangle$, then $G$ is as in (xv). Not every subgroup of $F$ is given to us so neatly, but we can use linear algebra to put it into this form. Every element of $F$, considered as a formal sum, can be written also as a matrix product:

$$
x_{0} a^{0}+\cdots+x_{n-1} a^{n-1}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x_{0} & \cdots & x_{n-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{e}^{0} \\
\vdots \\
\mathrm{e}^{n-1}
\end{array}\right)=\boldsymbol{x} \mathbf{e} .
$$

The generators of a (finitely generated) subgroup of $F$ can be considered as the entries of a column vector, and this column can be considered as the product of a matrix over $\mathbb{Z}$ with $\mathbf{e}$ :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{0}^{0} \mathrm{e}^{0}+\cdots+x_{n-1}^{0} \mathrm{e}^{n-1} \\
\vdots \\
x_{0}^{m-1} \mathrm{e}^{0}+\cdots+x_{n-1}^{m-1} \mathrm{e}^{n-1}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{0}^{0} & \ldots & x_{n-1}^{0} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
x_{0}^{m-1} & \ldots & x_{n-1}^{m-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{e}^{0} \\
\vdots \\
\mathrm{e}^{n-1}
\end{array}\right)=X \mathbf{e} .
$$

The subgroup of $F$ generated by the rows of $X \mathbf{e}$ can be denoted by $\langle X \mathbf{e}\rangle$. If $P$ is an $m \times m$ matrix with integer entries, then

$$
\langle P X \mathbf{e}\rangle \subseteq\langle X \mathbf{e}\rangle
$$

If also $P$ is invertible-that is, $\operatorname{det}(P)= \pm 1$ - then

$$
\langle P X \mathbf{e}\rangle=\langle X \mathbf{e}\rangle .
$$

We can therefore perform the following row-operations on $X$, without changing the group $\langle X \mathbf{e}\rangle$. We can
(1) interchange two rows,
(2) multiply a row by -1 ,
(3) add an integer multiple of one row to another.

These operations allow us to perform Gaussian elimination. Adding rows of zeros as necessary, we may also assume that $m \geqslant n$. Then for some invertible integer matrix $P$, we have

$$
P X=\binom{T}{0}
$$

where $T$ is an $n \times n$ upper-triangular matrix,

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
* & \cdots & * \\
& \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & & *
\end{array}\right)
$$

By using also invertible column-operations, we can diagonalize $T$. That is, there are invertible integer matrices $P$ and $Q$ such that

$$
P X Q=\binom{D}{0}
$$

where

$$
D=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
d_{0} & & 0 \\
& \ddots & \\
0 & & d_{n-1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We now have

$$
\langle X \mathbf{e}\rangle=\left\langle P X Q Q^{-1} \mathbf{e}\right\rangle=\left\langle D Q^{-1} \mathbf{e}\right\rangle \cong\langle D \mathbf{e}\rangle
$$

Working further on $D$ with invertible row- and column- operations, we may assume (xiv) holds, while $d_{k}=\cdots=d_{n-1}=0$. Indeed, suppose $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(b, c)=d$. Ву invertible operations, from

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
b & 0 \\
0 & c
\end{array}\right)
$$

we obtain $\left(\begin{array}{ll}b & 0 \\ c & c\end{array}\right)$ and then $\left(\begin{array}{ll}d & e \\ 0 & f\end{array}\right)$, where $e$ and $f$ are multiples of $c$ and hence of $d$; hence, with an invertible column-operation, we get

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
d & 0 \\
0 & f
\end{array}\right) .
$$

where again $d \mid f$. Applying such transformations as needed to pairs of entries in $D$ yields (xiv).

Porism. Every subgroup of a free abelian group on $n$ generators is free abelian on $n$ generators or fewer.

We can show uniqueness of the numbers $d_{j}$ by an alternative analysis.
Theorem 79 (Chinese Remainder). If $\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=1$, then the homomorphism $x \mapsto$ $(x, x)$ from $\mathbb{Z}_{m n}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{m} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ is an isomorphism.
Proof. If $x \equiv 0(\bmod m)$ and $x \equiv 0(\bmod n)$, then $x \equiv 0(\bmod m n)$. Hence the given homomorphism is injective. Its surjectivity follows by counting.

The Chinese Remainder Theorem will be generalized as Theorem 125. In the usual formulation of the theorem, every system

$$
x \equiv a \quad(\bmod m), \quad x \equiv b \quad(\bmod n)
$$

has a unique solution modulo $m n$; but this solution is just the inverse image of $(a, b)$ under the isomorphism $x \mapsto(x, x)$.

Theorem 80. For every finite abelian group, there are unique primes $p_{0}, \ldots, p_{k-1}$, not necessarily distinct, along with unique positive integers $m(0), \ldots, m(k-1)$, such that

$$
G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_{0} m^{m(0)}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_{k-1}^{m(k-1)}} .
$$

Proof. To obtain the analysis, apply the Chinese Remainder Theorem to Theorem 78. The analysis is unique, provided it is unique in the case where all of the $p_{j}$ are the same. But in this case, the analysis is unique, by repeated application of the observation that the order of the group is the highest prime power appearing in the factorization.

## 24. Semidirect products

An isomorphism from a structure to itself is an automorphism.
Theorem 81. The automorphisms of a group $G$ compose a subgroup of $\operatorname{Sym}(G)$.
The subgroup in the theorem is denoted by

$$
\operatorname{Aut}(G) .
$$

Theorem 82. For every group $G$, there is a homomorphism

$$
g \mapsto\left(x \mapsto g x g^{-1}\right)
$$

from $G$ to $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$.
An automorphism $x \mapsto g x g^{-1}$ as in the theorem is conjugation by $g$ and is an inner automorphism of $G$. The kernel of the homomorphism in the theorem is the center of $G$, denoted by ${ }^{8}$

$$
\mathrm{C}(G) .
$$

Then $G$ is centerless if $\mathrm{C}(G)$ is trivial. Repeating the process of forming inner automorphisms, we obtain a chain

$$
G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(G) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\operatorname{Aut}(G)) \rightarrow \cdots,
$$

called the automorphism tower of $G$. The tower reaches a fixed point, perhaps after transfinitely many steps: Simon Thomas [13] shows this in case $G$ is centerless; Joel Hamkins [2], in the general case.

Theorem 83. For every group $G$, if $N \triangleleft G$, then there is a homomorphism

$$
g \mapsto\left(x \mapsto g x g^{-1}\right)
$$

from $G$ to $\operatorname{Aut}(N)$.

[^6]In the theorem, let the homomorphism be $g \mapsto \sigma_{g}$. Suppose also $H<G$, and $N \cap H=$ $\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle$. Then the conditions of Theorem 51 are met, and $N H$ is an internal semidirect product. Equation (ix), describing multiplication on $N H$, can be rewritten as

$$
(m g)(n h)=\left(m \cdot \sigma_{g}(n)\right)(g h) .
$$

Theorem 84. Suppose $N$ and $H$ are groups, and $g \mapsto \sigma_{g}$ is a homomorphism from $H$ to $\operatorname{Aut}(N)$. Then the set $N \times H$ becomes a group when multiplication is defined by

$$
(m, g)(n, h)=\left(m \cdot \sigma_{g}(n), g h\right) .
$$

Proof. To check that the multiplication is associative means checking that

$$
\lambda_{(m, g)} \lambda_{(n, h)}=\lambda_{(m, g)(n, h)} .
$$

We can write $\lambda_{(m, g)}$ as $\lambda_{m} \sigma_{g} \times \lambda_{g}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{(m, g)} \lambda_{(n, h)}=\left(\lambda_{m} \sigma_{g} \times \lambda_{g}\right)\left(\lambda_{n} \sigma_{h} \times \lambda_{h}\right) & =\lambda_{m} \sigma_{g} \lambda_{n} \sigma_{h} \times \lambda_{g} \lambda_{h} \\
& =\lambda_{m} \lambda_{\sigma_{g}(n)} \sigma_{g} \sigma_{h} \times \lambda_{g h} \\
& =\lambda_{m \cdot \sigma_{g}(n)} \sigma_{g h} \times \lambda_{g h} \\
& =\lambda_{\left(m \cdot \sigma_{g}(n), g h\right)} \\
& =\lambda_{(m, g)(n, h)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, (e, e) is an identity, and $\left(\sigma_{h^{-1}}\left(n^{-1}\right), h^{-1}\right)$ is an inverse of $(n, h)$.
The group given by the theorem is the semidirect product of $N$ and $H$ with respect to $\sigma$; it can be denoted by

$$
N \rtimes_{\sigma} H .
$$

The bijection in Theorem 51 is an isomorphism from $N \rtimes_{\sigma} H$ to $N H$ when $\sigma$ is as in Theorem 83 .

Theorem 85. If $p$ is prime, then $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$.
Proof. The group $\mathbb{Z}_{p}{ }^{\times}$has order $p-1$ and, by Theorem 78 , is isomorphic to

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{d_{0}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{d_{k-1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m}
$$

where $d_{0}|\cdots| d_{k-1} \mid m$. Hence every element of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}{ }^{\times}$is a root of the polynomial $x^{m}-1$. But this polynomial can have at most $m$ roots in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, since this is a field. Hence $p-1 \leqslant m$, so $m=p-1$, and $k=0$.

Theorem 86. The embedding $x \mapsto \lambda_{x}$ of a ring $(E, \cdot)$ in $(\operatorname{End}(E), \circ)$ restricts to an embedding of $(E, \cdot)^{\times}$in $\operatorname{Aut}(E)$. In case $E$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$, each embedding is an isomorphism. In particular, if $a$ is an element of $\mathbb{Z}_{n} \times$ of order $m$, and $m \mid t$, then $\mathbb{Z}_{t}$ acts on $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ by $(x, y) \mapsto a^{x} y$. Conversely, if some $\mathbb{Z}_{t}$ acts on $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$, then the action is so given for some such $a$.

Theorem 87. For every odd prime $p$, for every prime divisor $q$ of $p-1$, there is a non-abelian semidirect product $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \rtimes_{\sigma} \mathbb{Z}_{q}$, which is unique up to isomorphism.

Proof. As $\mathbb{Z}_{p}{ }^{\times}$is cyclic, it has a unique subgroup $G$ of order $q$. As $q$ is prime, every nontrivial element of $G$ is a generator. If $a \in G \backslash\{1\}$, let $\sigma$ be the homomorphism $x \mapsto\left(y \mapsto a^{x} y\right)$ from $\mathbb{Z}_{q}$ to $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$. Then we can form

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{p} \rtimes_{\sigma} \mathbb{Z}_{q} .
$$

If $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \rtimes_{\tau} \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ is some other non-abelian semidirect product, then $\tau_{1}$ is $x \mapsto b \cdot x$ for some $b$ in $G \backslash\{1\}$. But then $b^{n}=a$ for some $n$, so there is an isomorphism from $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \rtimes_{\sigma} \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \rtimes_{\tau} \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ that takes $(x, y)$ to ( $x, n y$ ).

Because of its uniqueness, we may refer to the semidirect product of the theorem as

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{p} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{q} .
$$

In case $q=2$, this group is $D_{p}$. The next section develops the tools used in $\S 26$ to show that there is no other way to obtain a group of order $p q$ for distinct primes $p$ and $q$.

## 25. Actions of groups

Theorem 88. Let $G$ be a group, and $A$ a set. There is a one-to-one correspondence between
(1) homomorphisms $g \mapsto(a \mapsto g a)$ from $G$ into $\operatorname{Sym}(A)$, and
(2) functions $(g, a) \mapsto g a$ from $G \times A$ into $A$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{e} a=a,  \tag{xvi}\\
(g h) a=g(h a) . \tag{xvii}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $h$ and $h$ in $G$ and $a$ in $A$.
Proof. If $g \mapsto(a \mapsto g a)$ maps $G$ homomorphically into $\operatorname{Sym}(A)$, then (xvi) and (xvii) follow. Suppose conversely that these hold. Then, in particular,

$$
g\left(g^{-1} a\right)=\left(g g^{-1}\right) a=\mathrm{e} a=a
$$

and likewise $g^{-1}(g a)=a$, so $a \mapsto g^{-1} a$ is the inverse of $a \mapsto g a$, and the function $g \mapsto(a \mapsto g a)$ does map $G$ into $\operatorname{Sym}(A)$, homomorphically by (xvii).

Either of two functions that correspond as in the theorem is a (left) action of $G$ on $A$. Examples include the following.

1. A symmetry group of a set acts on the set in the obvious way, by

$$
(\sigma, x) \mapsto \sigma(x) .
$$

2. An arbitrary group $G$ acts on itself by left multiplication:

$$
(g, x) \mapsto \lambda_{g}(x) .
$$

3. If $H<G$, then $G$ acts on the set $G / H$ by

$$
(g, x H) \mapsto g x H .
$$

4. Finally, $G$ acts on itself by conjugation:

$$
(g, x) \mapsto x \mapsto g x g^{-1} .
$$

Suppose $(g, x) \mapsto g x$ is an arbitrary action of $G$ on $A$. If $a \in A$, then the subset $\{g: g a=a\}$ of $G$ is the stabilizer of $a$, denoted by

$$
G_{a}
$$

the subset $\{g a: g \in G\}$ of $A$ is the orbit of $a$, denoted by
Ga.

The subset $\left\{x: G_{x}=G\right\}$ of $A$ can be denoted by

$$
A_{0}
$$

See Appendix B for an alternative development of these notions.
Theorem 89. Let $G$ act on $A$ by $(g, x) \mapsto g x$.
(1) The orbits partition $A$;
(2) $G_{a}<G$;
(3) $\left[G: G_{a}\right]=|G a|$;

Proof. For (3), we establish a bijection between $G / G_{a}$ and $G a$ by noting that

$$
g G_{a}=h G_{a} \Longleftrightarrow h^{-1} g \in G_{a} \Longleftrightarrow g a=h a ;
$$

so the bijection is $g G_{a} \mapsto g a$.
Corollary. If there are only finitely many orbits in $A$ under $G$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A|=\left|A_{0}\right|+\sum_{a \in X}\left[G: G_{a}\right] \tag{xviii}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some set $X$ of elements of $A$ whose orbits are nontrivial.
Equation (xviii) is the class equation. For example, suppose $G$ acts on itself by conjugation, and $g \in G$. Then $G g$ is the conjugacy class of $g$, while $G_{g}$ is the centralizer of $g$, denoted by ${ }^{9}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{G}(g) . \tag{xix}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, $G_{0}$ is the center of $G$, denoted by

$$
\mathrm{C}(G)
$$

The class equation for the present case can now be written as

$$
|G|=|\mathrm{C}(G)|+\sum_{a \in X}\left[G: \mathrm{C}_{G}(a)\right] .
$$

A finite $p$-group is a finite group whose order is a power of $p$.
Theorem 90. If $A$ is acted on by a p-group, then $|A| \equiv\left|A_{0}\right|(\bmod p)$.
Proof. In the class equation, $\left[G: G_{a}\right]$ is a multiple of $p$ in each case.
A first application of this theorem is
Theorem 91 (Cauchy). If $p$ divides $|G|$, then $|g|=p$ for some $g$ in $G$.

[^7]Proof (J. H. McKay [10]). Suppose $p$ divides $|G|$. We seek a nontrivial solution in $G$ of the equation

$$
x^{p}=\mathrm{e} .
$$

Let $A$ be the set

$$
\left\{\boldsymbol{x} \in G^{p}: x_{0} \cdots x_{p-1}=\mathrm{e}\right\} ;
$$

so we seek $g$ in $G$ such that $(g, \ldots, g) \in A$ and $g \neq \mathrm{e}$. If $\left(g_{0}, \ldots, g_{p-1}\right) \in A$ and $k<p$, then

$$
\left(g_{0} \cdots g_{k-1}\right)\left(g_{k} \cdots g_{p-1}\right)=\mathrm{e}, \quad\left(g_{k} \cdots g_{p-1}\right)\left(g_{0} \cdots g_{k-1}\right)=\mathrm{e},
$$

and therefore

$$
\left(g_{k}, \ldots, g_{p-1}, g_{0}, \ldots, g_{k-1}\right) \in A
$$

Thus $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ acts on $A$ by

$$
\left(k,\left(g_{0}, \ldots, g_{p-1}\right) \mapsto\left(g_{k}, \ldots, g_{p-1}, g_{0}, \ldots, g_{k-1}\right)\right.
$$

With respect to this action,

$$
A_{0}=\left\{(g, \ldots, g): g^{p}=\mathrm{e}\right\} ;
$$

also $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is a finite $p$-group, Now, the map

$$
\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{p-1}\right) \longmapsto\left(\left(g_{1} \cdots g_{p-1}\right)^{-1}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{p-1}\right)
$$

is a bijection from $G^{p-1}$ onto $A$, so $|A|$ is a multiple of $p$; hence $\left|A_{0}\right|$ is a multiple of $p$, by Theorem go. Since $A_{0}$ contains ( $\mathrm{e}, \ldots, \mathrm{e}$ ), it contains some ( $g, \ldots, g$ ), where $|g|=p$.
Corollary. A finite group is a p-group if and only if the order of every element is a power of $p$.

Proof. If $\ell$ is a prime dividing $|g|$, then $\ell$ divides $|G|$. Conversely, if $\ell$ divides $|G|$, then $G$ has an element of order $\ell$.

Hence an arbitrary group is a $p$-group if the order of its every element is a power of $p$.
Theorem 92. Every nontrivial p-group has nontrivial center.
Proof. By Theorem 90,

$$
|G| \equiv|\mathrm{C}(G)| \quad(\bmod p),
$$

so $p$ divides $|\mathrm{C}(G)|$. Since $\mathrm{C}(G)$ contains at least one element, it contains at least $p$ of them.

Theorem 93. All groups of order $p^{2}$ are abelian.
Proof. Let $G$ have order $p^{2}$. Then either $\mathrm{C}(G)$ is all of $G$, or else $|\mathrm{C}(G)|=p$, by the previous theorem. In any case, there is $a$ in $G$ such that

$$
G=\langle\{a\} \cup \mathrm{C}(G)\rangle .
$$

But elements of $\mathrm{C}(G)$ commute with all elements of $G$; and powers of $a$ commute with each other (and with elements of $\mathrm{C}(G)$ ); hence $G$ is abelian.

Supposing $G$ is an arbitrary group and $H<G$, let $A$ be the set

$$
\left\{g H g^{-1}: g \in G\right\}
$$

of conjugates of $H$. Then $G$ acts on $A$ by conjugation,

$$
(g, K) \mapsto g K g^{-1}
$$

The stabilizer of $H$ under this action is the normalizer of $H$ in $G$, denoted by ${ }^{10}$

$$
\mathrm{N}_{G}(H)
$$

If $H<K<G$, then

$$
H \triangleleft K \Longleftrightarrow K<\mathrm{N}_{G}(H)
$$

Theorem 94. Suppose $G$ is a group with subgroups $H$ and $K$. Under the action of $H$ on $G / K$ by left multiplication,

$$
g K \in(G / K)_{0} \Longleftrightarrow H<g K g^{-1}
$$

In case $H=K$, a finite group,

$$
(G / H)_{0}=\mathrm{N}_{G}(H) / H
$$

Proof. We compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g K \in(G / K)_{0} & \Longleftrightarrow h g K=g K & & \text { for all } h \text { in } H \\
& \Longleftrightarrow g^{-1} h g K=K & & \text { for all } h \text { in } H \\
& \Longleftrightarrow g^{-1} h g \in K & & \text { for all } h \text { in } H \\
& \Longleftrightarrow h \in g K g^{-1} & & \text { for all } h \text { in } H \\
& \Longleftrightarrow H<g K g^{-1} . & &
\end{aligned}
$$

If $H$ is finite, then

$$
H<g H g^{-1} \Longleftrightarrow H=g H g^{-1} \Longleftrightarrow g \in \mathrm{~N}_{G}(H)
$$

A $p$-subgroup of a group is a subgroup that is a $p$-group.
Lemma 15. If $H$ is a p-subgroup of $G$, then

$$
[G: H] \equiv\left[\mathrm{N}_{G}(H): H\right] \quad(\bmod p)
$$

Proof. Theorems 94 and 90.
Lemma 16. If $H$ is a p-subgroup of $G$, and $p$ divides $[G: H]$, then $H$ is a normal subgroup of some $p$-subgroup $K$ of $G$ such that $[K: H]=p$.

Proof. By the last lemma, $p$ divides $\left[\mathrm{N}_{G}(H): H\right]$. Since $H \triangleleft \mathrm{~N}_{G}(H)$, the quotient $\mathrm{N}_{G}(H) / H$ is a group. By Cauchy's Theorem (Theorem 91, this group has an element $g H$ of order $p$. So $\langle\{g\} \cup H\rangle$ is the desired $K$.

A Sylow $p$-subgroup is a maximal $p$-subgroup. The following is a partial converse to Lagrange's Theorem (Theorem 44).

[^8]Theorem 95 (Sylow I). For every finite group of order $p^{n} m$, where $p \nmid m$, there is a chain

$$
H_{1}<H_{2}<\cdots<H_{n}
$$

of subgroups, where $\left|H_{1}\right|=p$ and in each case $H_{i} \triangleleft H_{i+1}$ and $\left[H_{i+1}: H_{i}\right]=p$. Every p-subgroup of such a group appears on such a chain. In particular, every p-subgroup is included in a Sylow subgroup, whose index is indivisible by p.
Proof. Cauchy's Theorem (Theorem 91) and repeated application of the last lemma.
Corollary. The conjugate of a Sylow p-subgroup is a Sylow p-subgroup. A unique Sylow p-subgroup is normal.

A converse to the corollary is the following.
Theorem 96 (Sylow II). All Sylow p-subgroups are conjugate.
Proof. Say $H$ and $P$ are $p$-subgroups of $G$, where $P$ is maximal. Then $H$ acts on the set $G / P$ by left multiplication. By Theorem 90 , since $[G: P]$ is not a multiple of $p$, the set $(G / P)_{0}$ has an element $a H$. By Theorem $94, H<a P a^{-1}$. If $H$ is also Sylow, then $H=a P a^{-1}$ by Theorem 95 .

Theorem 97 (Sylow III). The number of Sylow p-subgroups of a finite group is congruent to 1 modulo $p$ and divides the order of the group.

Proof. Let $A$ be the set of Sylow $p$-subgroups of a finite group $G$. Then $G$ acts on $A$ by conjugation. Let $H \in A$. By Theorem 96, the orbit of $H$ is precisely $A$. The stabilizer of $H$ is $\mathrm{N}_{G}(H)$. Then by Theorem 89 (3),

$$
\left[G: \mathrm{N}_{G}(H)\right]=|A|
$$

so $|A|$ divides $|G|$.
Now consider $H$ as acting on $A$ by conjugation. Then the following are equivalent:
(1) $P \in A_{0}$,
(2) $H<\mathrm{N}_{G}(P)$,
(3) $H$ is a Sylow subgroup of $\mathrm{N}_{G}(P)$,
(4) $H=P$,
since $P \triangleleft \mathrm{~N}_{G}(P)$, so $P$ is the unique Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\mathrm{N}_{G}(P)$. Therefore $A_{0}=\{H\}$, so by Theorem 90

$$
|A| \equiv\left|A_{0}\right| \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod p)
$$

## 26. CLASSIFICATION OF SMALL GROUPS

We can now complete the work, begun in $\S 24$, of classifying the groups of order $p q$ for primes $p$ and $q$.

Lemma 17. Suppose $p$ and $q$ are distinct primes such that $q \not \equiv 1(\bmod p)$, and $|G|=p q$. Then $G$ has a unique Sylow p-subgroup, which is therefore normal.

Proof. Let $A$ be the set of Sylow $p$-subgroups of $G$. Then $|A| \equiv 1(\bmod p)$ by Theorem 97 , so $|A|$ is not $q$ or $p q$; but $|A|$ divides $p q$; so $|A|=1$.

Theorem 98. Suppose $p$ and $q$ are primes, where $p<q$, so that $p \not \equiv 1(\bmod q)$, and $G$ is a group of order pq.

1. If $q \not \equiv 1(\bmod p)$, then $G$ is cyclic.
2. If $q \equiv 1(\bmod p)$, then either $G$ is cyclic group, or else $G$ is the unique nonabelian semidirect product $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{q}$.
In particular, every non-abelian group of order $2 q$ is isomorphic to $D_{q}$.
Proof. By the lemma, $G$ has a normal subgroup $N$ of order $q$, and $N$ is cyclic by a corollary to Lagrange's Theorem (Theorem 44). By the first Sylow Theorem (Theorem 95), G has a Sylow $p$-subgroup $H$, which has order $p$ and is therefore cyclic. Then $N \cap H=\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle$, so $G=N H$ by Theorem 51 and counting.
3. If $q \not \equiv 1(\bmod p)$, then $H \triangleleft G$ by the lemma, so $G=N \times H$ by Theorem 68. The product is cyclic by the Chinese Remainder Theorem (Theorem 79).
4. If $q \not \equiv 1(\bmod p)$, then $G$ might still be $N \times H$; otherwise, $G$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ by Theorem 87 .

We now know all groups of order less than 36 , but different from $8,12,16,18,20,24$, $27,28,30$, and 32.

Theorem 99. Every group of order 8 is isomorphic to one of

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{8}, \quad \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4}, \quad \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \quad D_{4}, \quad \text { Q8 }
$$

Proof. Say $|G|=8$. If $G$ is abelian, then its possibilities are given by Theorem 78 . Suppose $G$ is not abelian. Then $G$ has an element $a$ of order greater than 2 by $[6$, Exercise I.1.13, p. 30], and so $|a|=4$ (since $G \nsubseteq \mathbb{Z}_{8}$ ). Then $\langle a\rangle \triangleleft G$ by [6, Exercise I.5.1, p. 45]. Let $b \in G \backslash\langle a\rangle$. Then $b^{2}$ is either e or $a^{2}$ (since otherwise $b$ would generate $G$ ). In the former case, $G=\langle a\rangle \rtimes\langle b\rangle$, so $G \cong D_{4}$. In the latter case, $G \cong \mathrm{Q}_{8}$.

Theorem 100. Every group of order 12 is isomorphic to one of

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{12}, \quad \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{6}, \quad \operatorname{Alt}(4), \quad D_{6}, \quad\left\langle a, b \mid a^{6}, a^{3} b^{2}, b a b^{-1} a\right\rangle
$$

Proof. Suppose $|G|=12$, but $G$ is not abelian. A Sylow 3 -subgroup of $G$ has order 3, so it is $\langle a\rangle$ for some $a$. Then $G$ acts on $G /\langle a\rangle$ by left multiplication, and $[G:\langle a\rangle]=4$, so there is a homomorphism from $G$ to $\operatorname{Sym}(4)$. If this is an embedding, then $G \cong \operatorname{Alt}(4)$. Assume is is not an embedding. Then the kernel must be $\langle a\rangle$, so $\langle a\rangle \triangleleft G$.

Let $H$ be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G$. Then $H$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. In any case, $H$ has two elements $b$ and $c$ such that none of $b, c$, or $b c$ is e. Since $G$ is not $\langle a\rangle \times H$, we may assume

$$
b a b^{-1}=a^{2}
$$

If also $c a c^{-1}=a^{2}$, then $b c a c^{-1} b^{-1}=a$. Thus $H$ has an element that commutes with $a$. Hence $G$ has a subgroup $K$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$. If $G \backslash K$ has an element of order 2 , then $G \cong D_{6}$; otherwise, $G$ is the last possibility above.

## 27. Nilpotent groups

For a group, what is the next best thing to being abelian? A group $G$ is abelian if and only if $\mathrm{C}(G)=G$. (See § 24.) To weaken this condition, we define the commutator of two elements $a$ and $b$ of $G$ to be

$$
a b a^{-1} b^{-1}
$$

this can be denoted by

$$
[a, b] .
$$

Then

$$
\mathrm{C}(G)=\{g \in G: \forall x[g, x]=\mathrm{e}\}
$$

We now generalize this by defining

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{C}_{0}(G)=\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle \\
\mathrm{C}_{n+1}(G)=\left\{g \in G: \forall x[g, x] \in \mathrm{C}_{n}(G)\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $\mathrm{C}(G)=\mathrm{C}_{1}(G)$.
Theorem 101. Let $G$ be a group.
(1) $\mathrm{C}_{n}(G) \triangleleft G$.
(2) $\mathrm{C}_{n}(G)<\mathrm{C}_{n+1}(G)$.
(3) $\mathrm{C}_{n+1}(G) / \mathrm{C}_{n}(G)=\mathrm{C}\left(G / \mathrm{C}_{n}(G)\right)$.

Proof. We use induction to prove 1 , and incidentally 2 and 3 . Trivially, $\mathrm{C}_{0}(G) \triangleleft G$. Suppose $\mathrm{C}_{k}(G) \triangleleft G$. Then the following are equivalent:

$$
\begin{gathered}
g \in \mathrm{C}_{k+1}(G) ; \\
\forall x[g, x] \in \mathrm{C}_{k}(G) ; \\
\forall x g x g^{-1} x^{-1} \in \mathrm{C}_{k}(G) \\
\forall x \mathrm{C}_{k}(G) g x=\mathrm{C}_{k}(G) x g \\
\mathrm{C}_{k}(G) g \in \mathrm{C}\left(G / \mathrm{C}_{k}(G)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus $\mathrm{C}_{k}(G)<\mathrm{C}_{k+1}(G)$, and $\mathrm{C}_{k+1}(G) / \mathrm{C}_{k}(G)=\mathrm{C}\left(G / \mathrm{C}_{k}(G)\right)$; in particular,

$$
\mathrm{C}_{k+1}(G) / \mathrm{C}_{k}(G) \triangleleft G / \mathrm{C}_{k}(G)
$$

so $\mathrm{C}_{k+1}(G) \triangleleft G$.
The ascending central series of $G$ is the sequence $\left(\mathrm{C}_{n}(G): n \in \omega\right)$, usually written out as

$$
\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle \triangleleft \mathrm{C}(G) \triangleleft \mathrm{C}_{2}(G) \triangleleft \mathrm{C}_{3}(G) \triangleleft \cdots
$$

A group is called nilpotent if the terms in the sequence are eventually the group itself, that is, for some $n$ in $\omega$,

$$
\mathrm{C}_{n}(G)=G
$$

So an abelian group is nilpotent, since its center is itself.
Suppose $G$ is nilpotent, and in particular $\mathrm{C}_{n}(G)=G$. For some $g$ in $G$, and let $f$ be the operation $x \mapsto[g, x]$ on $G$. Writing $f^{0}$ for $\operatorname{id}_{G}$ and $f^{n+1}$ for $f \circ f^{n}$, we have

$$
f^{0}(x) \in G, \quad f(x) \in \mathrm{C}_{n-1}(G), \quad f^{2}(x) \in \mathrm{C}_{n-2}(G), \quad \ldots, \quad f^{n}(x)=\mathrm{e}
$$

Thus $f$ is "nilpotent" in the monoid of operations on $G$. However, this should not be taken as a sufficient condition for $G$ to be nilpotent.

Examples of nilpotent groups are given by:
Theorem 102. Finite p-groups are nilpotent.

Proof. Suppose $G$ is a $p$-group. If $H$ is a proper normal subgroup of $G$, then $G / H$ is a nontrivial $p$-group, so by Theorem 92 it has a nontrivial center. By Theorem 101 the ascending central series of $G$ is strictly increasing, until it reaches $G$ itself.

The converse fails, because of:
Theorem 103. A finite direct product of nilpotent groups is nilpotent.
Proof. Use that

$$
\mathrm{C}(G \times H)=\mathrm{C}(G) \times \mathrm{C}(H)
$$

If $\mathrm{C}_{n}(G)=G$ and $\mathrm{C}_{m}(H)=H$, then $\mathrm{C}_{\max \{n, m\}}(G \times H)=G \times H$.
We now proceed to the converse of this theorem.
Lemma 18. If $\mathrm{C}_{n}(G)<H$, then $\mathrm{C}_{n+1}(G)<\mathrm{N}_{G}(H)$.
Proof. Say $g \in \mathrm{C}_{n+1}(G)$; we show $g H g^{-1} \subseteq H$. But if $h \in H$, then $[g, h] \in \mathrm{C}_{n}(G)$, so $g h g^{-1} \in \mathrm{C}_{n}(G) h \subseteq H$. Therefore $g H g^{-1} \subseteq H$.
Lemma 19. If $G$ is nilpotent, and $H \varsubsetneqq G$, then $H \varsubsetneqq \mathrm{~N}_{G}(H)$.
Proof. Let $n$ be maximal such that $\mathrm{C}_{n}(G)<H$. Then $\mathrm{C}_{n+1}(G) \backslash H$ is non-empty, but, by the last lemma, it contains members of $\mathrm{N}_{G}(H)$.
Theorem 104. A finite nilpotent group is the direct product of its Sylow subgroups.
Proof. Suppose $G$ is a finite nilpotent group. We shall show that every Sylow subgroup of $G$ is a normal subgroup. By Theorem 68, the first and second Sylow Theorems (Theorems 95 and 96 ), and counting, $G$ will be the direct product of its Sylow subgroups.

Suppose then $P$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$. We shall show that $P \triangleleft G$. To do this, it is enough to show $\mathrm{N}_{G}(P)=G$. To do this, by the last lemma, it is enough to show $\mathrm{N}_{G}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{G}(P)\right)<\mathrm{N}_{G}(P)$. To do this, note that, as $P \triangleleft \mathrm{~N}_{G}(P)$, so $P$ is the unique Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\mathrm{N}_{G}(P)$. Hence, in particular, for any $x$ in $G$, if $x P x^{-1}<\mathrm{N}_{G}(P)$, then $x P x^{-1}=P$, so $x \in \mathrm{~N}_{G}(P)$. But every $x$ in $\mathrm{N}_{G}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{G}(P)\right)$ satisfies the hypothesis.

## 28. Soluble groups

The commutator subgroup of a group $G$ is the subgroup

$$
\left\langle[x, y]:(x, y) \in G^{2}\right\rangle
$$

which is denoted by

$$
G^{\prime}
$$

Theorem 105. $G^{\prime}$ is the smallest of the normal subgroups $N$ of $G$ such that $G / N$ is abelian.

Proof. If $f$ is a homomorphism defined on $G$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f([x, y])=f\left(x y x^{-1} y^{-1}\right)=f(x) f(y) f(x)^{-1} f(y)^{-1}=[f(x), f(y)] \tag{xx}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, if $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$, then $f\left(G^{\prime}\right)<G^{\prime}$. In particular, $x G^{\prime} x^{-1}<G^{\prime}$ for all $x$ in $G$; so $G^{\prime} \triangleleft G$. Suppose $N \triangleleft G$; then the following are equivalent:
(1) $G / N$ is abelian;
(2) $N=[x, y] N$ for all $(x, y)$ in $G^{2}$;
(3) $G^{\prime}<N$.

We now define the derived subgroups $G^{(n)}$ of $G$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
G^{(0)}=G, \\
G^{(n+1)}=\left(G^{(n)}\right)^{\prime} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We have a descending sequence

$$
G \triangleright G^{\prime} \triangleright G^{(2)} \triangleright \cdots
$$

The group $G$ is called soluble if this sequence reaches $\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle$ (after finitely many steps).
For examples, let $K$ be a field. Let $G$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(K)$ consisting of upper triangular matrices. So $G$ comprises the matrices

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{0} & & * \\
& \ddots & \\
0 & & a_{n-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $a_{0} \cdots a_{n-1} \neq 0$. We have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{0} & & * \\
& \ddots & \\
0 & & a_{n-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
b_{0} & & * \\
& \ddots & \\
0 & & b_{n-1}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{0} b_{0} & & * \\
& \ddots & \\
0 & & a_{n-1} b_{n-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and therefore every element of $G^{\prime}$ is unitriangular, that is, it takes the form of

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & & * \\
& \ddots & \\
0 & & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

We also have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & a_{1} & & * \\
& 1 & \ddots & \\
& & \ddots & a_{n-1} \\
0 & & & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & b_{1} & & * \\
& 1 & \ddots & \\
& & \ddots & b_{n-1} \\
0 & & & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & a_{1}+b_{1} & & * \\
& 1 & \ddots & \\
& & \ddots & a_{n-1}+b_{n-1} \\
0 & & & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

so the elements of $G^{\prime \prime}$ take the form of

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & & * \\
& 1 & \ddots & \\
& & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & & & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Proceeding, we find $G^{(n+1)}=\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle$.
Theorem 106. Nilpotent groups are soluble.
Proof. Each $\mathrm{C}_{k+1}(G) / \mathrm{C}_{k}(G)$ is the center of some group (namely $G / \mathrm{C}_{k}(G)$ ), so it is abelian. By Theorem 105 then,

$$
\mathrm{C}_{k+1}(G)^{\prime}<\mathrm{C}_{k}(G) .
$$

Suppose $G$ is nilpotent, so that $G=\mathrm{C}_{n}(G)$ for some $n$ in $\omega$. Working left to right, we can build up the following commutative diagram, where arrows are inclusions:


That is, we know $G^{(0)}<\mathrm{C}_{n}(G)$; and if $G^{(k)}<\mathrm{C}_{n-k}(G)$ for some $k$ in $n$, then

$$
G^{(k+1)}=\left(G^{(k)}\right)^{\prime}<\mathrm{C}_{n-k}(G)^{\prime}<\mathrm{C}_{n-(k+1)}(G)
$$

By induction then, $G^{(n)}<\mathrm{C}_{0}(G)=\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle$, so $G^{(n)}=\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle$.
Theorem 107. Solubility is preserved in subgroups and quotients. If $N \triangleleft G$, and $N$ and $G / N$ are soluble, then $G$ is soluble.

Proof. Suppose $f: G \rightarrow H$. By (xx), we have $f\left(G^{(n)}\right)<H^{(n)}$, with equality is $f$ is surjective. The case where $f$ is an inclusion of $G$ in $H$ shows that subgroups of soluble groups are soluble. The case where $f$ is a quotient map shows that quotients of soluble groups are soluble.

Finally, if $N \triangleleft G$, then $(G / N)^{\prime}=G^{\prime} N / N$. Suppose $(G / N)^{(n)}=\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle$, and $N^{(m)}=\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle$. Then $G^{(n)}<N$ and so $G^{(n+m)}=\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle$.

Theorem 108. Groups with non-abelian simple subgroups are not soluble. In particular, $\operatorname{Sym}(5)$ is not soluble if $n \geqslant 5$.

Proof. Suppose $H$ is simple. Since $H^{\prime} \triangleleft H$, we have either $H^{\prime}=\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle$ or $H^{\prime}=H$. In the former case, $H$ is abelian; in the latter, $H$ is insoluble.

The last theorem suggests the origin of the notion of solubility of groups: the general 5 th-degree polynomial equation

$$
a_{0}+a_{1} x+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{3} x^{3}+a_{4} x^{4}+x^{5}=0
$$

is "insoluble by radicals" precisely because $\operatorname{Sym}(5)$ is an insoluble group.

## 29. Normal series

A normal series for a group $G$ is a sequence $\left(G_{n}: n \in \omega\right)$ of subgroups, where $G_{n+1} \triangleleft G_{n}$ in each case; the situation can be depicted by

$$
G=G_{0} \triangleright G_{1} \triangleright G_{2} \triangleright \cdots
$$

(If one wants to distinguish, one may call this a subnormal series, normal if each $G_{i}$ is normal in $G$.) The factors of the normal series are the quotients $G_{i} / G_{i+1}$. If $G_{n}=\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle$ for some $n$, then the series is called
(1) a composition series, if the factors are simple;
(2) a soluble series, if the factors are abelian.

For example, if $G$ is nilpotent, then the series

$$
\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle \triangleleft \mathrm{C}(G) \triangleleft \mathrm{C}_{2}(G) \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G
$$

is a soluble series.
Theorem 109. A group is soluble if and only if it has a soluble series.
Proof. If the series

$$
G \triangleright G_{1} \triangleright G_{2} \triangleright \cdots \triangleright G_{n}=\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle
$$

is soluble, then, by Theorem 105, we have

$$
G^{\prime}<G_{1}, \quad G^{\prime \prime}<G_{1}{ }^{\prime}<G_{2}, \quad G^{\prime \prime \prime}<G_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}<G_{2}^{\prime}<G_{3}, \quad G^{(n)}=\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle,
$$

so $G$ is soluble. Conversely, if $G$ is soluble, then the series

$$
G \triangleright G^{\prime} \triangleright G^{(2)} \triangleright \cdots \triangleright\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle
$$

is a soluble series.
So not every group has a soluble series. However:
Theorem 110. Every finite group has a composition series.
Proof. A finite group $G$ has a maximal proper normal subgroup $N$. Then $G / N$ is simple. Indeed, every normal subgroup of $G / N$ is $H / N$ for some normal subgroup $H$ of $G$ such that $N<H$, and therefore $H$ is either $N$ or $G$.

So we can form $G=G_{0} \triangleright G_{1} \triangleright \cdots$, where each $G_{n+1}$ is a maximal proper normal subgroup of $G_{n}$. The factors are simple, and, since $G$ is finite, the series must terminate.

If, from a normal series, another can be got by deleting some terms, then the former is a refinement of the latter. As a normal series, a composition series is maximal in that it has no nontrivial refinement, that is, no refinement without trivial factors.

A soluble series for a finite group has a refinement in which the nontrivial factors are cyclic of prime order.

Any normal series is equivalent to the series that results when all repeated terms are deleted (so that all trivial factors are removed). Then two normal series

$$
G_{i}(0) \triangleright G_{i}(1) \triangleright G_{i}(2) \triangleright \cdots \triangleright G_{i}(n)
$$

(where $i<2$ ) with no trivial factors are equivalent if there is $\sigma$ in $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$ such that

$$
G_{0}(i) / G_{0}(i+1) \cong G_{1}(\sigma(i)) / G_{1}(\sigma(i+1))
$$

for each $i$ in $n$. We now aim to prove Theorem 112 below.
Lemma 20 (Zassenhaus or Butterfly). Suppose $N_{i} \triangleleft H_{i}<G$ for each $i$ in 2. Let $H=H_{0} \cap H_{1}$. Then:
(1) $N_{i}\left(H_{i} \cap N_{1-i}\right) \triangleleft N_{i} H$ for each $i$;
(2) the two groups $N_{i} H / N_{i}\left(H_{i} \cap N_{1-i}\right)$ are isomorphic.

Proof. We have $H_{i} \cap N_{1-i} \triangleleft H$. Let

$$
K=\left(H_{0} \cap N_{1}\right)\left(H_{1} \cap N_{0}\right) ;
$$

then $K \triangleleft H$. The groups we have to work with form the commutative diagram below, arrows being inclusions.


We exhibit an epimorphism from $N_{i} H$ onto $H / K$ whose kernel is $N_{i}\left(H_{i} \cap N_{1-i}\right)$. Now, if $n, n^{\prime} \in N_{i}$ and $h, h^{\prime} \in H$ and $n h^{\prime}=n^{\prime} h$, then

$$
h^{\prime} h^{-1}=n^{-1} n^{\prime} \in N_{i} \cap H<K
$$

so that $K h=K h^{\prime}$. Hence there is a well-defined homomorphism $f$ from $N_{i} H$ into $H / K$ such that, if $n \in N_{i}$ and $h \in H$, then

$$
f(n h)=K h
$$

That $f$ is surjective is clear. Moreover, the following are equivalent conditions on such $n$ and $h$ :
(1) $n h \in \operatorname{ker} f$;
(2) $h \in K$;
(3) $h=n_{0} n_{1}=n_{1} n_{0}$ for some $n_{i}$ in $H_{1-i} \cap N_{i}$.

Also, (3) implies that $n h=n n_{i} n_{1-i}$, which is in $N_{i}\left(H_{i} \cap N_{1-i}\right)$; thus
(4) $n h \in N_{i}\left(H_{i} \cap N_{1-i}\right)$.

Conversely, suppose (4) holds. Then also $h=n^{-1} n h$, which is also in $N_{i}\left(H_{i} \cap N_{1-i}\right)$, so $h=n^{\prime} h^{\prime}$ for some $n^{\prime}$ in $N_{i}$ and $h^{\prime}$ in $N_{1-i} \cap H_{i}$. Then $n^{\prime}=h\left(h^{\prime}\right)$, which is in $\in H_{1-i}$, so $n^{\prime} \in N_{i} \cap H_{1-i}$, and therefore $h \in K$.

Theorem 111 (Schreier). Any two normal series have equivalent refinements.
Proof. Suppose that

$$
G=G_{i}(0) \triangleright G_{i}(1) \triangleright \cdots \triangleright G_{i}\left(n_{i}\right)=\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle
$$

where $i<2$, are normal series for $G$. In particular,

$$
G_{i}(j+1) \triangleleft G_{i}(j)<G .
$$

Define

$$
G_{i}(j, k)=G_{i}(j+1)\left(G_{i}(j) \cap G_{1-i}(k)\right),
$$

where $(j, k) \in n_{i} \times n_{1-i}$. Then

$$
G_{i}(j)=G_{i}(j, 0) \triangleright G_{i}(j, 1) \triangleright \cdots \triangleright G_{i}\left(j, n_{1-i}-1\right) \triangleright G_{i}\left(j, n_{1-i}\right)=G_{i}(j+1),
$$

giving us normal series that are refinements of the original ones; but also

$$
G_{0}(j, k) / G_{0}(j, k+1) \cong G_{1}(k, j) / G_{1}(k, j+1)
$$

by the Butterfly Lemma.
Theorem 112 (Jordan-Hölder). Any two composition series of a group are equivalent.
Combining this with Theorem 110, we have that every finite group has a uniquely determined set of simple "factors". Hence the interest in the classification of the finite simple groups.

## Part III. Rings

## 30. Not-NECESSARILY-ASSOCIATIVE RINGS

Rings were introduced in $\S 9$. A more general definition is possible. If $E$ is an abelian group (written additively), then a multiplication on $E$ is a binary operation that distributes in both senses over addition. In the most general sense then, a ring is an abelian group with a multiplication. The ring is associative if the multiplication is associative.

Associative rings are not the only rings of interest. For example, the associative ring $\mathbb{H}$ defined in $\S 11$ has the automorphism $z+w j \mapsto \bar{z}-w j$; then the same constuction that creates $\mathbb{H}$ out of $\mathbb{C}$ can be applied to $\mathbb{H}$ itself, yielding the ring $\mathbb{O}$ of octonions; but this ring is not associative. Also, if $(E, \cdot)$ is a ring, then there is another multiplication on $E$, namely b or $(x, y) \mapsto[x, y]$, where

$$
[x, y]=x \cdot y-y \cdot x
$$

this multiplication makes $E$ into a Lie ring, namely a ring that respects the identity

$$
[x, x]=0
$$

along with the Jacobi identity,

$$
[[x, y], z]=[x,[y, z]]-[y,[x, z]] .
$$

For example, from the associative ring $(\operatorname{End}(E), \circ)$, we obtain the Lie ring $(\operatorname{End}(E), \mathrm{b})$. Then $\operatorname{End}(E)$ has a subgroup $\operatorname{Der}(E, \cdot)$, which is closed under b, but not generally under o. Specifically, $\operatorname{Der}(E, \cdot)$ consists of the derivations of $(E, \cdot)$, which are the endomorphism $D$ of $E$ respecting the Leibniz rule,

$$
D(x \cdot y)=D x \cdot y+x \cdot D y
$$

In particular, 'taking the derivative' on the field of meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}$ is a derivation. Derivations will be used in $\S 40$.

Theorem 113. Every ring respects the identities

$$
(x-y) \cdot z=x \cdot z-y \cdot z, \quad x \cdot(y-z)=x \cdot y-x \cdot z
$$

Hence, in particular,

$$
\begin{gather*}
0 \cdot x=0=x \cdot 0  \tag{xxi}\\
(-x) \cdot y=-(x \cdot y)=x \cdot(-y)
\end{gather*}
$$

A ring is unital if it has a multiplicative identity, generally denoted by 1. The result of Theorem 24 can be strengthened when the scope of the theorem is restricted to abelian groups:

Theorem 114. Let $E$ be an abelian group. Then $n \mapsto(x \mapsto n x)$ is a homomorphism of unital rings from $(\mathbb{Z}, \cdot, 1)$ to $\left(\operatorname{End}(E), \circ, \mathrm{id}_{E}\right)$.

In a word, we can say that, as a unital ring, $\mathbb{Z}$ acts on the endomorphism group of every abelian group. Compare the notion of action defined in $\S 25$. In the notation of

Theorem 114,

$$
\begin{gather*}
0 x=0  \tag{xxii}\\
1 x=x \\
(-1) x=-x \tag{xxiii}
\end{gather*}
$$

here (xxii) is (viii) written additively; combining it with (xxi), we have

$$
0 \cdot x=0 x
$$

where the zeros come from the ring and from $\mathbb{Z}$ respectively. More generally, we have
Theorem 115. For every integer n, every ring respects the identity

$$
(n x) \cdot y=n(x \cdot y)=x \cdot n y
$$

Proof. Induction and (xxiii).

## 31. Not-NECESSARILY-UNITAL RINGS

Henceforth the word ring means associative ring. By Theorem 25, a unital ring also acts on the endomorphism group of the underlying abelian group. We have in particular

$$
1 \cdot x=1 x
$$

Again a ring is commutative if the multiplication is commutative. As examples of commutative rings with identity, we have $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ by Theorems 26 and 30 ; and if $R$ is a commutative ring with identity, then $\mathrm{M}_{n}(R)$ is a ring with identity, by Theorem 28. The continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}$ with compact support compose a ring with respect to the operations induced from $\mathbb{R}$ : this ring has no identity.

The characteristic of a ring $(E, \cdot)$ is the non-negative integer $n$ such that $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ is the kernel of the homomorphism $n \mapsto(y \mapsto n y)$ from $\mathbb{Z}$ to $\operatorname{End}(E)$. This kernel is the kernel of $n \mapsto n 1$, if $(E, \cdot)$ has an identity. For example, If $0 \leqslant n$, then $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ has characteristic $n$.

Theorem 116. Every ring embeds in a ring with identity having the same characteristic, and in a ring with identity having characteristic 0.

Proof. Suppose $R$ is a ring of characteristic $n$. Let $A$ be $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$, and give $A \oplus R$ the multiplication defined by

$$
(m, x)(n, y)=(m n, m y+n x+x y)
$$

then $(1,0)$ is an identity, and $x \mapsto(0, x)$ is an embedding.

> 32. RInGS

Henceforth in the word ring means ring with identity, as it did in $\S 9$. We know from Theorem 27 that a ring $R$ has a group of units, $R^{\times}$. The example in $\S 19$ shows that some ring elements can have right inverses without being units. However, if $a$ has both a left and a right inverse, then they are the same, since if $a b=1=c a$, then

$$
c=c 1=c(a b)=(c a) b=1 b=b
$$

A zero-divisor of $R$ is a element $b$ distinct from 0 such that the equations $b x=0$ and $y b=0$ are soluble in $R$. So zero-divisors are not units. For example, if $m>1$ and $n>1$,
then $m+\langle m n\rangle$ and $n+\langle m n\rangle$ are zero-divisors in $\mathbb{Z}_{m n}$. The unique element of the trivial ring $\mathbb{Z}_{1}$ is a unit, but not a zero-divisor.

A commutative ring is an integral domain if it has no zero-divisors and $1 \neq 0$. So fields are integral domains. But $\mathbb{Z}$ is an integral domain that is not a field. If $p$ is prime, then $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is a field, denoted by $\mathbb{F}_{p}$.

An arbitrary ring $R$ such that $R \backslash R^{\times}=\{0\}$ is a division ring. So fields are division rings; but $\mathbb{H}$ is a non-commutative division ring.

If $R$ is a ring, and $G$ is a group, we can form the direct sum $\sum_{g \in G} R$, which is, first of all, an abelian group; we can give it a multiplication as follows. We write an element ( $r_{g}: g \in G$ ) of the direct sum as

$$
\sum_{g \in G} r_{g} g
$$

this is a formal finite $R$-linear combination of the elements of $G$. Then multiplication is defined as one expects: if $r, s \in R$ and $g, h \in G$, then

$$
(r g)(s h)=(r s)(g h),
$$

and the definition extends to all of $\sum_{g \in G} R$ by distributivity. The resulting ring can be denoted by

$$
R(G) ;
$$

it is the group ring of $G$ over $R$.
We can do the same construction with monoids, rather than groups. For example, if we start with the free monoid generated by a symbol $X$, we get a polynomial ring in one variable, denoted by

$$
R[X] ;
$$

this is the ring of formal $R$-linear combinations

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} x^{k}
$$

where $n \in \omega$ and $a_{k} \in R$. We could use a second variable, getting for example $R[X, Y]$. Usually $R$ here is commutative and is in particular a field.

## 33. Ideals

If $A$ is a sub-ring of $R$, then we can form the abelian group $R / A$. We could try to define a multiplication on this by

$$
(x+A)(y+A)=x y+A .
$$

However, if $x-x^{\prime} \in A$, and $y-y^{\prime} \in A$, we need not have $x y-x^{\prime} y^{\prime} \in A$.
A left ideal of $R$ is a sub-ring $I$ such that

$$
R I \subseteq I,
$$

that is, $r x \in I$ whenever $r \in R$ and $x \in I$. Likewise, right and two-sided ideal. For example, the set of matrices

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
* & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
* & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

is a left ideal of $\mathrm{M}_{n}(R)$, but not a right ideal unless $n=1$. Also, $R x$ is a left ideal of $R$, while $R x R$ is a two-sided ideal.

Theorem 117. If $I$ is a two-sided ideal of $R$, then $R / I$ is a well-defined ring. The kernel of a ring-homomorphism is a two-sided ideal.

Suppose ( $A_{i}: i \in I$ ) is an indexed family of left ideals of a ring $R$. Let the abelian subgroup of $R$ generated by $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_{i}$ be denoted by

$$
\sum_{i \in I} A_{i}
$$

this is the sum of the left ideals $A_{i}$. This must not be confused with the direct sums defined in $\S$ 19. If in particular $I=n$, let the abelian subgroup of $R$ generated by

$$
\left\{a_{0} \cdots a_{n-1}: a_{i} \in A_{i}\right\}
$$

be denoted by

$$
A_{0} \cdots A_{n-1}
$$

this is the product of the left ideals $A_{i}$.
Theorem 118. Sums and finite products of left ideals are left ideals; sums and products of two-sided ideals are two-sided ideals. Addition and multiplication of ideals are associative; addition is commutative; multiplication distributes over addition.
Theorem 119. If $A$ and $B$ are left ideals of a ring, then so is $A \cap B$, and $A B \subseteq A \cap B$.
Usually $A B$ does not include $A \cap B$, since for example $A^{2}$ might not include $A$; such is the case when $A=2 \mathbb{Z}$, since then $A^{2}=4 \mathbb{Z}$.
Theorem 120. If $f: R \rightarrow S$, a homomorphism of rings, and $I$ is a two-sided ideal of $R$ included in $\operatorname{ker} f$, then there is a unique homomorphism $\tilde{f}$ from $R / I$ to $S$ such that $f=\tilde{f} \circ \pi$.

Hence the isomorphism theorems, as for groups.

## 34. Commutative rings

Henceforth, let all rings be commutative, so all ideals are two-sided. A subset $A$ of a ring $R$ determines the ideal denoted by

$$
(A),
$$

namely the smallest ideal including $A$. This consists of the $R$-linear combinations of elements of $A$, namely the well-defined sums

$$
\sum_{a \in A} r_{a} a,
$$

where $r_{a} \in R$; in particular, $r_{a}=0$ for all but finitely many $a$.
If $A=\{a\}$, then $(A)$ is denoted by
(a)
or $R a$ and is called a principal ideal. A principal ideal domain or PID is an integral domain whose every ideal is principal. For example, $\mathbb{Z}$ is a PID by Theorem 37. But in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{R}[X, Y]$, the ideal $(X, Y)$ is not principal.

An ideal is proper if and only if it does not contain a unit. A proper ideal $P$ is prime if

$$
\begin{equation*}
a b \in P \Longrightarrow a \in P \vee b \in P \tag{xxiv}
\end{equation*}
$$

So a ring in which $1 \neq 0$ is an integral domain if and only if $(0)$ is a prime ideal. Compare the definition of prime ideal with the following: a positive integer $p$ is prime if and only if

$$
p|a b \Longrightarrow p| a \vee p \mid b
$$

We shall address the relation between prime integers and prime ideals in § 35. Meanwhile, an equivalent formulation of prime ideals is given by the following.
Theorem 121. A proper ideal $P$ of a ring is prime if and only if, for all ideals $I$ and $J$ of the ring,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I J \subseteq P \Longleftrightarrow I \subseteq P \vee J \subseteq P \tag{xxv}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The given condition has (xxiv) as a special case, since the latter can be written as

$$
(a)(b) \subseteq P \Longrightarrow(a) \subseteq P \vee(b) \subseteq P
$$

Also, if (xxv) fails, so that $I J \subseteq P$, but $I \backslash P$ contains some $a$, and $J \backslash P$ contains some $b$, then $a b \in P$, so (xxiv) fails.

Theorem 122. A proper ideal $P$ of a ring $R$ is prime if and only if $R / P$ is an integral domain.

Proof. That $I$ is prime means (xxiv), which can be written as

$$
(a+I)(b+I)=I \Longrightarrow a+I=I \vee b+I=I
$$

but this means $R / I$ is integral.
An ideal is called maximal if it is maximal as a proper ideal. A ring is a field if and only if $(0)$ is a maximal ideal. (Note that (0) is in fact the ideal with no generators, so it could be written as ( ); but it usually is not.)

Theorem 123. A proper ideal $I$ of $a \operatorname{ring} R$ is maximal if and only if $R / I$ is a field.
Proof. That $R / I$ is a field means that, if $a \in R \backslash I$, then for some $b$,

$$
a b \in 1+I
$$

That $I$ is maximal means that, if $a \in R \backslash I$, then

$$
I+(a)=R
$$

equivalently, $1 \in I+(a)$, which means that, for some $b, b a-1 \in I$.
Corollary. Maximal ideals are prime.
The converse fails easily, since the prime ideals of $\mathbb{Z}$ are the ideals ( 0 ) and ( $p$ ), where $p$ is prime, and the latter are maximal, but (0) is not. However, it is not even the case that prime ideals other than (0) are always maximal. For example, $\mathbb{R}[X, Y]$ has the prime ideal $(X)$, which is not maximal.

A ring is Boolean if it respects the identity

$$
x^{2}=x
$$

For example, if $\Omega$ is a set, then $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ is a Boolean ring, where multiplication is intersection, and addition is the taking of symmetric differences, where the symmetric difference of $x$ and $y$ is $x \backslash y) \cup(y \backslash x)$, denoted by $x \triangle y$.

Theorem 124. In Boolean rings, all prime ideals are maximal.
Proof. In a Boolean ring, we have $2 x=(2 x)^{2}=4 x^{2}=4 x$, so

$$
2 x=0 .
$$

(Thus nontrivial Boolean rings have characteristic 2.) Hence

$$
x(1+x)=x+x^{2}=x+x=0,
$$

so $x$ is a zero-divisor unless it or $1+x$ is 0 , that is, unless $x$ is 0 or 1 . Therefore there are no Boolean integral domains besides $\mathbb{F}_{2}$, which is a field.

In $\mathbb{Z}$, the ideal $(a, b)$ is the principal ideal generated by $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$. So $a$ and $b$ are coprime if $(a, b)=\mathbb{Z}$. This condition can be written as $(a)+(b)=\mathbb{Z}$. Then the following generalizes Theorem 79 .

Theorem 125 (Chinese Remainder). Suppose $R$ has an indexed family $\left(I_{i}: i<n\right)$ of ideals such that $I_{i}+I_{j}=R$ in each case. Let $I=\bigcap_{i<n} I_{i}$. Then the monomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
x+I \mapsto\left(x+I_{0}, \ldots, x+I_{n-1}\right) \tag{xxvi}
\end{equation*}
$$

from $R / I$ to $\sum_{i<n} R / I_{i}$ is an isomorphism.
Proof. We proceed by induction. The claim is trivially true when $n=1$. Proving the inductive step reduces to the proving the claim when $n=2$. In that case, we have $a_{0}+a_{1}=1$ for some $a_{0}$ in $I_{0}$ and $a_{1}$ in $I_{1}$. Then

$$
a_{0} \equiv 1 \quad\left(\bmod I_{1}\right), \quad a_{0} \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod I_{0}\right),
$$

and similarly for $a_{1}$. Therefore

$$
a_{0} x_{0}+a_{1} x_{1} \equiv x_{0} \quad\left(\bmod I_{0}\right), \quad a_{0} x_{0}+a_{1} x_{1} \equiv x_{1} \quad\left(\bmod I_{1}\right) .
$$

Thus $\left(x_{0}+I_{0}, x_{1}+I_{1}\right)$ is in the image of the map in (xxvi).

## 35. Factorization

(Recall that all rings are now commutative with identity.) In a ring $R$, an element $a$ is a divisor of $b$, or $a$ divides $b$, and we write

$$
a \mid b
$$

if $a x=b$ for some $x$ in $R$. Two elements that divide each other are associates.
Theorem 126. In any ring:
(1) $a \mid b \Longleftrightarrow(b) \subseteq(a)$;
(2) $a$ and $b$ are associates if and only if $(a)=(b)$.

Suppose $a=b x$.
(3) If $x$ is $a$ unit, then $a$ and $b$ are associates.
(4) If $b$ is a zero-divisor or 0 , then so is $a$.
(5) If $a$ is a unit, then so is $b$.

For example, in $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$, the elements 1 and 5 are units; the other non-zero elements are zero-divisors. Of these, 2 and 4 are associates, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \cdot 2 \equiv 4, \quad 4 \cdot 2 \equiv 2 \quad(\bmod 6) \tag{xxvii}
\end{equation*}
$$

but 3 is not an associate of these.
In $\mathbb{Z}$, a prime number can be defined as a positive number $p$ with either of two properties:
(1) if $p=a b$, then one of $a$ and $b$ is $\pm 1$;
(2) if $p \mid a b$, then $p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$.

Easily (2) implies (1), since if $p=a b$, then $p \mid a b$, so that, if also $p \mid b$, then, since $b \mid p$, we have $b= \pm p$, so $a= \pm 1$. Conversely, (1) implies (2), with more difficulty. Indeed, property (1) implies that, if $p \nmid a$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(p, a)=1$, so $p x+a y=1$ for some $x$ and $y$. If also $p \mid a b$, but $p \nmid a$, then, since $b=p b x+a b y$, we have $p \mid b$.

We let (2) be the defining property of primes; and (1), irreducibles. More precisely, an element of a ring is irreducible if it is not a unit or 0 , and its only divisors are associates and units. So the element is irreducible just in case the ideal it generates is maximal amongst the proper principal ideals.
For example, in $\mathbb{R}[X, Y]$, the element $X$ is irreducible, although $(X)$ is not a maximal ideal. However, if $(X) \subseteq(f(X, Y)) \subset \mathbb{R}[X, Y]$, then $f(X, Y)$ must be constant in $Y$, and then it must have degree 1 in $X$, and then its constant term must be 0 ; so $f(X, Y)$ is just $a X$ for some $a$ in $\mathbb{R}^{\times}$.

An element of a ring is prime if it is not 0 and the ideal that it generates is prime in the sense of $\S 34$.

For example:

1. The primes of $\mathbb{Z}$ are the integers $\pm p$, where $p$ is a prime natural number, and these are just the irreducibles of $\mathbb{Z}$.
2. In $\mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$, the element 2 is prime. Indeed, the multiples of 2 are 0,2 , and 4 , so the non-multiples are 1,3 , and 5 , and the product of no two of these is a multiple of 2 . Similarly, 4 is prime. However, 2 and 4 are not irreducible, by (xxvii).
3. In $\mathbb{C}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \cdot 3=(1+\sqrt{ }-5)(1-\sqrt{ }-5) \tag{xxviii}
\end{equation*}
$$

so, because the factors 2,3 , and $1 \pm \sqrt{ }-5$ are all irreducible in the smallest sub-ring of $\mathbb{C}$ that contains $\sqrt{ }-5$, those factors cannot be prime in that ring. Details are worked out in the next section.

## 36. Some algebraic number theory

Suppose $d$ is a squarefree integer, that is, an integer different from 1 that is not divisible by the square of a prime number. The subset $\{x+y \sqrt{ } d: x, y \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ of $\mathbb{C}$ is a field, denoted by

$$
\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{ } d)
$$

Define

The abelian subgroup $\langle 1, \omega\rangle$ of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{ } d)$ is a sub-ring, denoted by

$$
\mathbb{Z}\left[\tau_{d}\right]
$$

Theorem 127. The elements of $\mathbb{Z}\left[\tau_{d}\right]$ are precisely the solutions in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{ } d)$ of an equation

$$
x^{2}+b x+c=0
$$

where $b$ and $c$ are in $\mathbb{Z}$.
Proof. From school the solutions of (127) are

$$
x=\frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^{2}-4 c}}{2}
$$

Suppose one of these is in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{ } d)$. Then $b^{2}-4 c=a^{2} d$ for some $a$ in $\mathbb{Z}$, so that

$$
x=\frac{-b \pm a \sqrt{ } d}{2}
$$

If $b$ is odd, then $b^{2}-4 c \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, so $a$ must be odd and $d \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. If $b$ is even, then $b^{2}-4 c \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$, so $a$ is even. This establishes $x \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\tau_{d}\right]$ in all cases.

Conversely, suppose $x=k+n \tau_{d}$ for some $k$ and $n$ in $\mathbb{Z}$. If $d \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 x-2 k-n=n \sqrt{ } d \\
4 x^{2}-4(2 k+n) x+(2 k+n)^{2}=n^{2} d \\
x^{2}-(2 k+n) x+k^{2}+k n+n^{2} \frac{1-d}{4}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

while if $d \not \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, then

$$
x^{2}-2 k x+k^{2}-n^{2} d=0
$$

In either case, $x \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\tau_{d}\right]$.
The elements of $\mathbb{Z}\left[\tau_{d}\right]$ are therefore called the integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{ } d)$. Since $\mathbb{Z}\left[\tau_{d}\right] \cap \mathbb{Q}=\mathbb{Z}$, we may refer to the elements of $\mathbb{Z}$ as rational integers. We have for example (xxviii) in $\mathbb{Z}\left[\tau_{-5}\right]$; to show that 2,3 and $1 \pm \tau_{-5}$ are irreducible in this ring, we define, in the general case, the operation $z \mapsto z^{\prime}$ on $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{ } d)$ by

$$
(x+y \sqrt{ } d)^{\prime}=x-y \sqrt{ } d
$$

This is an automorphism of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{ } d)$. (It is the restriction of complex conjugation, if $d<0$.) Then we define a norm function $N$ from $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{ } d)$ to $\mathbb{Q}$ by

$$
N(z)=z z^{\prime}
$$

Then $N$ is multiplicative, that is,

$$
N(\alpha \beta)=N(\alpha) N(\beta) .
$$

Also,

$$
N\left(x+\tau_{d} y\right)= \begin{cases}x^{2}-d y^{2}, & \text { if } d \not \equiv 1 \\ x^{2}+x y+\frac{1-d}{4} y^{2}, & \text { if } d \equiv 1 \\ (\bmod 4),\end{cases}
$$

so $N$ maps $\mathbb{Z}\left[\tau_{d}\right]$ into $\mathbb{Z}$. If $d<0$, then it maps $\mathbb{Z}\left[\tau_{d}\right]$ into $\mathbb{N}$. Let us restrict our attention to this case. Here, $\alpha$ is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}\left[\tau_{d}\right]$ if and only if $N(\alpha)=1$. Therefore $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{Z}\left[\tau_{d}\right]$ is
irreducible if and only if it has no divisor $\beta$ such that $1<N(\beta)<N(\alpha)$. In case $d=-5$ we have

$$
\begin{array}{c||c|c|c}
x & 2 & 3 & 1 \pm \tau_{-5}  \tag{xxix}\\
\hline N(x) & 4 & 9 & 6
\end{array}
$$

Since no elements of $Z\left[\tau_{-5}\right]$ have norm 2 or 3 , the elements 2,3 , and $1 \pm \tau_{-5}$ are irreducible.
But they are not prime. Indeed, if $\alpha \mid \beta$, then $N(\alpha) \mid N(\beta)$; but no norm in (xxix) divides another. This is where ideals come up. There are factorizations of the relevant ideals:

$$
\begin{gather*}
(2)=\left(2,1+\tau_{-5}\right)^{2} \\
(3)=\left(3,1+\tau_{-5}\right)\left(3,1-\tau_{-5}\right)  \tag{xxx}\\
\left(1+\tau_{-5}\right)=\left(2,1+\tau_{-5}\right)\left(3,1+\tau_{-5}\right) \\
\left(1-\tau_{-5}\right)=\left(2,1+\tau_{-5}\right)\left(3,1-\tau_{-5}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

For example,

$$
\left(2,1+\tau_{-5}\right)\left(2,1+\tau_{-5}\right)=\left(2,1+\tau_{-5}\right)\left(2,1-\tau_{-5}\right)=\left(4,2+2 \tau_{-5}, 6\right)=(2)
$$

The right-hand members of ( xxx ) are in fact prime factorizations. To see this, we first note that, being a subgroup of $\left\langle 1, \tau_{d}\right\rangle$ on more than one generator, an ideal $I$ of $\mathbb{Z}\left[\tau_{d}\right]$ can be written as $\left\langle a+b \tau_{d}, c+d \tau_{d}\right\rangle$, where

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Q})
$$

Multiplication on the left by a matrix in $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ does not change the ideal. Hence we can define

$$
N(I)=\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\right|
$$

which is in $\mathbb{N}$. In case $d<0$, this agrees with the function $N$ defined above in the sense that $N((\alpha))=N(\alpha)$, because

$$
\left(a+b \tau_{d}\right)\left\langle 1, \tau_{d}\right\rangle=\left\langle a+b \tau_{d}, d b+a \tau_{d}\right\rangle
$$

Moreover, if $I \subset J \subset \mathbb{Z}\left[\tau_{d}\right]$, then $N(J) \mid N(I)$ and $N(I)>N(J)>1$. In case $d=-5$, we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(2,1+\tau_{-5}\right)=\left\langle 2,2 \tau_{-5}, 1+\tau_{-5}, \tau_{-5}-5\right\rangle=\left\langle 2,1+\tau_{-5}\right\rangle \\
& \left(3,1 \pm \tau_{-5}\right)=\left\langle 3,3 \tau_{-5}, 1 \pm \tau_{-5}, \tau_{-5} \mp 5\right\rangle=\left\langle 3,1 \pm \tau_{-5}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{array}{c||c|c}
I & \left(2,1+\tau_{-5}\right) & \left(3,1 \pm \tau_{-5}\right) \\
\hline N(I) & 2 & 3
\end{array}
$$

So these ideals are maximal, hence prime. Ideals of the rings $\mathbb{Z}\left[\tau_{d}\right]$ were originally called ideal numbers.

## 37. Integral domains

Theorem 128. In an integral domain, if $a$ and $b$ are non-zero associates, and $a=b x$, then $x$ is a unit.

Proof. We have also $b=a y=b x y, b(1-x y)=0,1=x y$ since $b \neq 0$ and we are in an integral domain.

Corollary. In an integral domain, prime elements are irreducible.
Proof. If $p$ is prime, and $p=a b$, then $p$ is an associate of $a$ or $b$, so the other is a unit.
A unique factorization domain or UFD is an integral domain whose every non-zero element is 'uniquely' a product of irreducibles. This means that, if

$$
\prod_{i<n} \pi_{i}=\prod_{i<n^{\prime}} \pi_{i}^{\prime}
$$

where the $\pi_{i}$ and $\pi_{i}^{\prime}$ are irreducible, then $n=n^{\prime}$, and (perhaps after re-indexing) $\pi_{i}$ and $\pi_{i}^{\prime}$ are associates. Hence:
Theorem 129. In a UFD, irreducibles are prime.
In any ring, a greatest common divisor of elements $a$ and $b$ is an element of the set of all divisors of $a$ and $b$ that is a maximum with respect to dividing: that is, it is some $c$ such that $c \mid a$ and $c \mid b$, and for all $x$, if $x \mid a$ and $x \mid b$, then $x \mid c$. There can be more than one greatest common divisor, but they are all associates. Every element is a greatest common divisor of itself and 0 .

Theorem 130. In a UFD, any two elements have a greatest common divisor.
Proof. If they are nonzero, we can write the elements as

$$
u \prod_{i<n} \pi_{i}^{a(i)}, \quad v \prod_{i<n} \pi_{i}^{b(i)}
$$

where $u$ and $v$ are units and the $\pi_{i}$ are irreducibles; a greatest common divisor is then

$$
\prod_{i<n} \pi_{i}^{\min (a(i), b(i))}
$$

In a PID, more is true:
Theorem 131. In a PID, any two elements have a greatest common divisor, which is some linear combination of those elements.

Proof. If $(a, b)=(c)$, then $c$ is a greatest common divisor of $a$ and $b$, and $c=a x=b y$ for some $x$ and $y$ in the ring.

Lemma 21. In a PID, irreducibles are prime.
Proof. Suppose the irreducible $\pi$ divides $a b$ but not $a$. Then a greatest common divisor of $\pi$ and $a$ is 1 ; hence $\pi x+a y=1$ for some $x$ and $y$ in the ring. Then $b=\pi x b+a b y$, and $\pi$ divides each summand, so $\pi \mid b$.

Lemma 22. In a PID, irreducible factorizations are unique.
A ring is Noetherian if every strictly ascending chain of ideals is finite.

Theorem 132. PIDs are Noetherian.
Proof. If $I_{0} \subseteq I_{1} \subseteq \cdots$, then $\bigcup_{i \in \omega} I_{i}$ is an ideal ( $a$ ); then $a \in I_{n}$ for some $n$, so the chain cannot grow beyond $I_{n}$.

Lemma 23. In a PID, every element is a product of irreducibles.
Proof. A tree of factorizations has no infinite branches. More precisely, let $a$ be an element of a PID. For certain finite binary sequences $\sigma$, we define $a_{\sigma}$ thus: $a_{()}=a$, and if $a_{(e(0), \ldots, e(n-1))}$ can be factorized as $b c$, where neither $b$ nor $c$ is a unit, then let $a_{(e(0), \ldots, e(n-1), 0)}=b$ and $a_{(e(0), \ldots, e(n-1), 1)}=c$; otherwise these are undefined. Then every branch of the tree corresponds to a chain

$$
\left(a_{()}\right) \subset\left(a_{(e(0))}\right) \subset\left(a_{(e(0), e(1))}\right) \subset\left(a_{(e(0), e(1), e(2))}\right) \subset \cdots,
$$

so it must be finite. Therefore the whole tree is finite, and $a$ is the product of the irreducibles found at the end of each branch.

Theorem 133. A PID is a UFD.
Recall how the Euclidean algorithm for finding greatest common divisors works. To find $\operatorname{gcd}(201,27)$, compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
201 & =87 \cdot 2+27, \\
87 & =27 \cdot 3+6, \\
27 & =6 \cdot 4+3, \\
6 & =3 \cdot 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\operatorname{gcd}(201,27)=3$. In general, if $a_{0} \geqslant a_{1}>0$, then $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}\right)=a_{n}$, where there is a descending sequence $\left(a_{0}, \ldots a_{n}\right)$ of positive integers such that $a_{k+2}=a_{k+1} \cdot b_{k}+a_{k}$ for some $b_{k}$. A Euclidean domain is then an integral domain in which the Euclidean algorithm works. More precisely, a Euclidean domain is a domain $R$ equipped with a map $\varphi$ from $R \backslash\{0\}$ to $\omega$ such that, and, for all $a$ and $b$ in $R \backslash\{0\}$, one of the following holds:

- there exist $q$ in $R$ and $r$ in $R \backslash\{0\}$ such that $a=q b+r$ and $\varphi(r)<\varphi(b)$, or
- $b \mid a$ and $\varphi(b) \leqslant \varphi(a)$.

For example:
(1) $\mathbb{Z}$ is Euclidean with respect to $x \mapsto|x|$;
(2) a field, $x \mapsto 0$;
(3) a polynomial-ring $K[X]$ over a field $K, f \mapsto \operatorname{deg} f$ (see § 40).

The Gaussian integers are the elements of $\mathbb{Z}\left[\tau_{-1}\right]$, where $\tau_{-1}=\sqrt{ }-1=\mathrm{i}$ as in $\S 36$. This domain is Euclidean with respect to the norm function, namely $z \mapsto|z|^{2}$, where $|x+y \mathrm{i}|^{2}=x^{2}+y^{2}$. Indeed, if $a$ and $b$ are nonzero Gaussian integers, then there is a Gaussian integer $q$ such that $|a / b-q| \leqslant \sqrt{ } 2 / 2$. Let $r=a-b q$; then $|r|^{2}=$ $|b|^{2} \cdot|a / b-q|^{2} \leqslant|b|^{2} / 2$.
Theorem 134. Euclidean domains are PIDs.
Proof. An ideal of a Euclidean domain is generated by any non-zero element $x$ such that $\varphi(x)$ is minimal.

## 38. Localization

A subset of a ring is multiplicative if it is closed under multiplication. For example, the complement of a prime ideal is multiplicative.

Lemma 24. If $S$ is a multiplicative subset of a ring $R$, then on $R \times S$ there is an equivalence-relation $\sim$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a, b) \sim(c, d) \Longleftrightarrow(a d-b c) \cdot e=0 \text { for some } e \text { in } S \tag{xxxi}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Reflexivity and symmetry are obvious. For transitivity, note that, if $(a, b) \sim(c, d)$ and $(c, d) \sim(e, f)$, so that, for some $g$ and $h$ in $S$,

$$
0=(a d-b c) g=a d g-b c g, \quad 0=(c f-d e) h=c f h-d e h
$$

then

$$
(a f-b e) c d g h=a f c d g h-b e c d g h=a d g c f h-b c g d e h=b c g c f h-b c g c f h=0
$$

so $(a, b) \sim(e, f)$.
In the notation of the lemma, the equivalence-class of $(a, b)$ is denoted by

$$
\frac{a}{b}
$$

and the quotient $R \times S / \sim$ is denoted by

$$
S^{-1} R
$$

If $R$ is an integral domain, and $0 \notin S$, then (xxxi) can be simply

$$
(a, b) \sim(c, d) \Longleftrightarrow a d-b c=0
$$

If $0 \in S$, then $S^{-1} R$ has a unique element. An instance where $R$ is not an integral domain will be considered in the next section.

Theorem 135. Suppose $R$ is a ring with multiplicative subset $S$.
(1) In $S^{-1} R$, if $c \in S$,

$$
\frac{a}{b}=\frac{a c}{b c}
$$

(2) $S^{-1} R$ is a ring in which the operations are given by

$$
\frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{c}{d}=\frac{a c}{b d}, \quad \frac{a}{b} \pm \frac{c}{d}=\frac{a d \pm b c}{b d}
$$

(3) There is a ring-homomorphism $\varphi$ from $R$ to $S^{-1} R$ where, for every a in $S$,

$$
\varphi(x)=\frac{x a}{a}
$$

Suppose in particular $R$ is an integral domain and $0 \notin S$.
(4) $S^{-1} R$ is an integral domain, and the homomorphism $\varphi$ is an embedding.
(5) If $S=R \backslash\{0\}$, then $S^{-1} R$ is a field, and if If $\psi$ is an embedding of $R$ in a field $K$, then there is an embedding $\tilde{\psi}$ of $S^{-1} R$ in $K$ such that $\tilde{\psi} \circ \varphi=\psi$.

In the most important case, $S$ is the complement of a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$, and then $S^{-1} R$ is called the localization of $R$ at $\mathfrak{p}$, denoted by

$$
R_{\mathfrak{p}}
$$

If $R$ is an integral domain, so that ( 0 ) is prime, then $R_{(0)}$ (which is a field by the theorem) is the quotient-field of $R$. A local ring is a ring with a unique maximal ideal. The connection between localizations and local rings is made by the theorem below.

Lemma 25. An ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of a ring $R$ is a unique maximal ideal of $R$ if and only if $R^{\times}=R \backslash \mathfrak{m}$.

Theorem 136. The localization of a ring at a prime ideal is a local ring.
Proof. The ideal generated by the image of $\mathfrak{p}$ in $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ consists of those $a / b$ such that $a \in \mathfrak{p}$. In this case, if $c / d=a / b$, then $c b=d a \in \mathfrak{p}$, so $c \in \mathfrak{p}$ since $\mathfrak{p}$ is prime. Hence the following are equivalent:
(1) $x / y \notin R_{\mathfrak{p} p}$;
(2) $x \notin \mathfrak{p}$;
(3) $x / y$ has an inverse, namely $y / x$.

By the lemma, we are done.

## 39. Ultraproducts of fields

Suppose $\mathcal{K}$ is an indexed family ( $K_{i}: i \in A$ ) of fields. If $a \in \Pi \mathcal{K}$, there is an element $a^{*}$ of $\prod K$ given by

$$
\pi_{i}\left(a^{*}\right)= \begin{cases}\pi_{i}(a)^{-1}, & \text { if } \pi_{i}(a) \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text { if } \pi_{i}(a)=0\end{cases}
$$

Then

$$
a a^{*} a=a .
$$

Because of this, $\Pi \mathcal{K}$ is an example of a regular ring (in the sense of von Neumann). ${ }^{11}$
Theorem 137. In a regular ring, all prime ideals are maximal.
Proof. Let $R$ be a regular integral domain. If $a \in R \backslash\{0\}$, then, since

$$
0=a a^{*} a-a=a\left(a^{*} a-1\right),
$$

we have $a^{*} a=1$. Thus $R$ is a field.
Theorem 138. If $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal of a regular ring $R$, then

$$
R / \mathfrak{p} \cong R_{\mathfrak{p}}
$$

the isomorphism being $x+\mathfrak{p} \mapsto x / 1$.
Proof. If $a \in R$ and $b \in R \backslash \mathfrak{p}$, then $a / b=a b^{*} / 1$ since

$$
\left(a-b a b^{*}\right) b=a b-a b b^{*} b=a b-a b=0 .
$$

Thus the homomorphism $x \mapsto x / 1$ guaranteed by Theorem 135 is surjective. We also have $a / 1=0 / 1$ if and only if $a b=0$ for some $b$ in $R \backslash \mathfrak{p}$; but the latter implies $a b \in \mathfrak{p}$, so $a \in \mathfrak{p}$ since the ideal is prime. Conversely, if $a \in \mathfrak{p}$, then $a^{*} a \in \mathfrak{p}$, so $a^{*} a-1 \notin \mathfrak{p}$

[^9]since the ideal is proper; but $a\left(a^{*} a-1\right)=0$, so $a / 1=0 / 1$. Therefore the kernel of the homomorphism is $\mathfrak{p}$.

With $\mathcal{K}$ as above, there is a one-to-one correspondence between ideals of $\prod \mathcal{K}$ and ideals of the Boolean ring $\mathcal{P}(A)$. To define this correspondence, we first define the support of an element $a$ of $\Pi \mathcal{K}$ to be the set of those $i$ in $A$ such that $\pi_{i}(a) \neq 0$. We may denote this set by $\operatorname{supp}(a)$. Then

$$
\operatorname{supp}(a b)=\operatorname{supp}(a) \cap \operatorname{supp}(b), \quad \operatorname{supp}(a+b) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(a) \cup \operatorname{supp}(b)
$$

So $x \mapsto \operatorname{supp}(x)$ is not quite a ring-homomorphism from $\prod \mathcal{K}$ to $\mathcal{P}(A)$. However, if $I$ is an ideal of $\prod \mathcal{K}$, then supp $[I]$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{P}(A)$. Indeed, for every subset $B$ of $A$, there is an element $e_{B}$ of $\Pi \mathcal{K}$ given by

$$
\pi_{i}\left(e_{B}\right)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } i \in B \\ 0, & \text { if } i \notin B\end{cases}
$$

Then $\operatorname{supp}\left(e_{B}\right)=B$. If $a \in \Pi \mathcal{K}$, and $B=\operatorname{supp}(a)$, then $e_{B}=a a^{*}$. If, further, $a \in I$, and $C \subseteq B$, then $e_{C}=e_{C} a a^{*}$, so this is in $I$ and therefore $C \in \operatorname{supp}[I]$. Also, if $B$ and $C$ are in $\operatorname{supp}[I]$, then $B \triangle C=\operatorname{supp}\left(e_{B}-e_{C}\right)$, which is in $\operatorname{supp}[I]$. So supp $[I]$ is indeed an ideal of $\mathcal{P}(A)$. If $J$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{P}(A)$, then $J=\operatorname{supp}[I]$, where $I$ is the ideal of $\Pi \mathcal{K}$ generated by those $e_{B}$ such that $B \in J$. Since every ideal $I$ is generated by those $e_{B}$ such that $B \in \operatorname{supp}[I]$, we conclude that $\varphi$ is the claimed bijection.

Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal of $\Pi \mathcal{K}$. Then the quotient $\Pi \mathcal{K} / \mathfrak{p}$ is a field, called an ultraproduct of $\mathcal{K}$. Now, $\mathfrak{p}$ could be principal, in which case $\varphi(\mathfrak{p})$ would be principal; but since it is also maximal, it would have a set $A \backslash\{i\}$ as a generator. In this case $\Pi \mathcal{K} / \mathfrak{p} \cong K_{i}$.

However, $\mathcal{P}(A)$ has the ideal $I$ consisting of the the finite subsets of $A$. If $A$ itself is infinite, then $I$ is a proper ideal. In this case, if $I \subseteq \operatorname{supp}[\mathfrak{p}]$, then $\mathfrak{p}$ is not principal, and the field $\Pi \mathcal{K} / \mathfrak{p}$ is called a nonprincipal ultraproduct of $\mathcal{K}$. This is a sort of 'average' of the $K_{i}$. In particular, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a \equiv b \quad(\bmod \mathfrak{p}) & \Longleftrightarrow a-b \in \mathfrak{p} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{supp}(a-b) \in \operatorname{supp}[\mathfrak{p}] \\
& \Longleftrightarrow\left\{i \in A: \pi_{i}(a) \neq \pi_{i}(b)\right\} \in \operatorname{supp}[\mathfrak{p}] .
\end{aligned}
$$

We may think of the elements of $\operatorname{supp}[\mathfrak{p}]$ as 'small' sets; their complements are 'large'. (Then every subset of $A$ is small or large.) So all finite subsets of $A$ are small, and all cofinite subsets of $A$ are large. Then elements of $\Pi \mathcal{K}$ represent the same element in the ultraproduct if they agree on a large set.

Say for example $A$ is the set of prime numbers in $\omega$, along with 0 , and each $K_{p}$ has characteristic $p$. Then $\Pi \mathcal{K} / \mathfrak{p}$ has characteristic 0 , since for each prime $p$, the element $p 1$ of $\Pi \mathcal{K}$ disagrees with 0 on a large set.

## 4o. Factorization of polynomials

Theorem 139. If $R$ is a ring, then $R\left[X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n-1}\right]$ is the unique ring-extension $A$ of $R$ such that, for all rings $S$, and all homomorphisms $\varphi$ from $R$ to $S$, and all $\vec{a}$ in $S^{n}$, there is a unique homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}$ from $A$ to $S$ such that $\left.\tilde{\varphi}\right|_{R}=\varphi$ and $\tilde{\varphi}\left(X^{i}\right)=a^{i}$ in each case.

An arbitrary element of $R[X]$ can be written

$$
\sum_{i \leqslant n} a_{i} X^{i}
$$

the degree of this is $n$, if $a_{n} \neq 0$; then $a_{n}$ is the leading coefficient of the polynomial.
We said in $\S 37$ that $K[X]$ is a Euclidean domain when equipped with deg. More generally:

Lemma 26. If $f$ and $g$ are polynomials over $R$, then:

- $\operatorname{deg}(f+g) \leqslant \max (\operatorname{deg} f, \operatorname{deg} g)$;
- $\operatorname{deg}(f \cdot g) \leqslant \operatorname{deg} f+\operatorname{deg} g$, with equality if the product of the leading coefficients is not 0 .
In particular, if $R$ is an integral domain, then so is $R[X]$.
Proof. The leading coefficient of a product is the product of the leading coefficients.
Lemma 27 (Division Algorithm). If $f$ and $g$ are polynomials in $X$ over $R$, and the leading coefficient of $g$ is 1 , then

$$
f=q g+r
$$

for some unique $q$ and $r$ in $R[X]$ such that $\operatorname{deg} r<\operatorname{deg} g$.
Proof. If $\operatorname{deg} g \leqslant \operatorname{deg} f$, and $a$ is the leading coefficient of $f$, then

$$
f=a X^{\operatorname{deg} f-\operatorname{deg} g} \cdot g+\left(f-a X^{\operatorname{deg} f-\operatorname{deg} g} \cdot g\right)
$$

the second term having degree less than $f$. Continue as necessary.
Lemma 28 (Remainder Theorem). If $c \in R$, then any $f$ in $R[X]$ can be written uniquely as $q(X) \cdot(X-c)+f(c)$.

Proof. By the Division Algorithm, $f=q(X) \cdot(X-c)+d$ for some $d$ in $R$; letting $X$ be $c$ yields the claim.

Theorem 140. A ring-element $c$ is a zero of a polynomial $f$ if and only if $(X-c) \mid f$. If $f$ is over an integral domain, then the number of its distinct zeros is at most $\operatorname{deg} f$.

Proof. By the Remainder Theorem, $c$ is a zero of $f$ if and only if $f=q(X) \cdot(X-c)$ for some $q$. In this case, if the ring is an integral domain, and $d$ is another zero of $f$, then, since $d-c \neq 0$, we must have that $d$ is a zero of $q$. Hence, if $\operatorname{deg}(f)=n$, and $f$ has the distinct zeros $r_{0}, \ldots, r_{n-1}$, then repeated application of the Remainder Theorem yields

$$
f=\left(X-r_{0}\right) \cdots\left(X-r_{m-1}\right)
$$

Then every zero of $f$ is a zero of one of the $X-r_{k}$, so it must be $r_{k}$.
Recall however from the proof of Theorem 124 that every element of a Boolean ring is a zero of $X(1+X)$, that is, $X+X^{2}$; but some Boolean rings have more than two elemments. In $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$, the same polynomial has the zeros $0,2,3$, and 5 .

Theorem 141. If $K$ is a field, then $K[X]$ is a Euclidean domain whose units are precisely the elements of $K$.

Proof. Over a field, the Division Algorithm does not require the leading coefficient of the divisor to be 1.

A zero $c$ of a polynomial over an integral domain has multiplicity $m$ if the polynomial can be written as $g(X) \cdot(X-c)^{m}$, where $c$ is not a zero of $g$. A zero with multiplicity greater than 1 is multiple. Derivations were defined in § 30; they will be useful for recognizing the existence of multiple roots.

Lemma 29. If $\delta$ is a derivation of a ring $R$, then for all $x$ in $R$ and $n$ in $\omega$,

$$
\delta\left(x^{n}\right)=n x^{n-1} \delta(x) .
$$

Proof. Since $\delta(1)=\delta(1 \cdot 1)=\delta(1) \cdot 1+1 \cdot \delta(1)=2 \cdot \delta(1)$, we have $\delta(1)=0$, so the claim holds when $n=0$. If it holds when $n=k$, then

$$
\delta\left(x^{k+1}\right)=\delta(x) x^{k}+x \delta\left(x^{k}\right)=\delta(x) x^{k}+k x^{k} \delta(x)=(k+1) x^{k} \delta(x),
$$

so the claim holds when $n=k+1$.
Theorem 142. On a polynomial ring $R[X]$, there is a unique derivation $f \mapsto f^{\prime}$ such that
(1) $X^{\prime}=1$,
(2) $c^{\prime}=0$ for all $c$ in $R$.

This derivation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} X^{k}\right)^{\prime}=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(k+1) a_{k+1} X^{k} . \tag{xxxii}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Uniqueness and (xxxii) follow from the lemma and the definition of a derivation. If $\delta$ is a derivation, then $\delta(x \cdot(y+z))=\delta(x y+x z)$. Also, (xxxii) does define an endomorphism of the underlying group of $R[X]$ that meets the given conditions. This endomorphism is a derivation, because

$$
\left(X^{k}\right)^{\prime}\left(X^{\ell}\right)+X^{k}\left(X^{\ell}\right)^{\prime}=k X^{k-1} X^{\ell}+\ell X^{k} X^{\ell-1}=(k+\ell) X^{k+\ell+1}=\left(X^{k+\ell}\right)^{\prime} .
$$

In the notation of the theorem, $f^{\prime}$ is the derivative of $f$.
Lemma 30. Say $R$ is an integral domain, $f \in R[X]$ and $f(c)=0$. Then $c$ is a multiple zero of $f$ if and only if $f^{\prime}(c)=0$.
Proof. Write $f$ as $(X-c)^{m} \cdot g$, where $g(c) \neq 0$. Then $m \geqslant 1$, so

$$
f^{\prime}=m(X-c)^{m-1} \cdot g+(X-c)^{m} \cdot g^{\prime} .
$$

If $m>1$, then $f^{\prime}(c)=0$. If $f^{\prime}(c)=0$, then $m \cdot 0^{m-1} \cdot g(c)=0$, so $m>1$.
If $L$ is a field with subfield $K$, then a polynomial over $K$ may be irreducible over $K$, but not over $L$. For example, $X^{2}+1$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{R}$, but not over $\mathbb{C}$. Likewise, the polynomial may have zeros from $L$, but not $K$. Hence it makes sense to speak of zeros of an irreducible polynomial.

Theorem 143. Supppose $K$ is a field and $f \in K[X]$.
(1) If $\operatorname{gcd}\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)=1$, then $f$ has no multiple zeros.
(2) If $f$ is irreducible, then $\operatorname{gcd}\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)$ is 1 or 0 .
(3) If $\operatorname{gcd}\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)=0$, then $K$ has a positive characteristic $p$, and $f=g\left(X^{p}\right)$ for some polynomial $g$ over $K$.

Proof. If $\operatorname{gcd}\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)=1$, then $1=g \cdot f+h \cdot f^{\prime}$ for some polynomials $g$ and $h$, so $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ can have no common zero. Since $\operatorname{deg}\left(f^{\prime}\right)<\operatorname{deg}(f)$ by (xxxii), if $f$ is irreducible and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(f, f^{\prime}\right) \neq 1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)=0$. The rest also follows from (xxxii).

A polynomial over a UFD is primitive if 1 is a greatest common divisor of its coefficients.

Lemma $3 \mathbf{1}$ (Gauss). The product of primitive polynomials is primitive.
Proof. Let $f=\sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{k} X^{k}$ and $g=\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k} X^{k}$. Then $f g=\sum_{k=0}^{m n} c_{k} X^{k}$, where

$$
c_{k}=\sum_{i+j=k} a_{i} b_{j}=a_{0} b_{k}+a_{1} b_{k-1}+\cdots+a_{k} b_{0}
$$

Suppose the $c_{k}$ have a common prime factor $\pi$, but $f$ is primitive. There is some $\ell$ such that $\pi \mid a_{i}$ when $i<\ell$, but $\pi \nmid a_{\ell}$. Since $\pi \mid c_{\ell}$, we have $\pi \mid b_{0}$; then, since $\pi \mid e_{\ell+1}$, we have $\pi \mid b_{1}$, and so on. So $g$ is not primitive.

Henceforth let $R$ be a UFD with quotient field $K$.
Lemma 32. Primitive polynomials over $R$ that are associated over $K$ are associated over $R$.

Proof. If $f$ and $g$ are polynomials defined over $R$, but associated over $K$, then they must have the same degree, and so we have $a f=b g$ for some $a$ and $b$ in $R$. If $f$ and $g$ are primitive, then $a$ and $b$ must be associates, so $b=u a$ for some unit in $R$, and then $f=u g$, so $f$ and $g$ are associates.

Lemma 33. Primitive polynomials over $R$ are irreducible over $R$ if and only if irreducible over $K$.

Proof. Say $f$ and $g$ are defined over $K$, but $f g$ is over $R$ and primitive. Then $a f$ and $b g$ are over $R$ and primitive for some $a$ and $b$ in $R$. By a previous lemmma, $a b f g$ is primitive; but so is $f g$, so $a b$ must be a unit in $R$. Hence $a$ and $b$ are units in $R$, so $f$ and $g$ are over $R$. Since units of $R[X]$ are units of $K[X]$, it follows that a primitive polynomial irreducible polynomial over $R$ is still irreducible over $K$. Also, any non-unit factor of a primitive polynomial over $R$ is still not a unit over $K$, so the polynomial is reducible over $K$.

Note however that if $f$ is primitive and irreducible over $R$, and $a$ in $R$ is not a unit or 0 , then $a f$ is still irreducible over $K$ (since $a$ is a unit in $K$ ) but not over $R$.

Theorem 144. $R[X]$ is a $U F D$.
Proof. Every element of $R[X]$ can be written as $a f$, where $a \in R$ and $f$ is primitive. Then $f$ has a prime factorization over $K$ (since $K[X]$ is a Euclidean domain): say $f=f_{0} \cdots f_{n-1}$. There are $b_{k}$ in $R$ such that $a_{k} f_{k}$ is a primitive polynomial over $R$. The product of these is still primitive, so the product of the $a_{k}$ must be a unit in $R$, hence each $a_{k}$ is a unit in $R$. Thus $f$ has an irreducible factorization over $R$. Its uniqueness follows from its uniqueness over $K$ and the next-to-last lemma.

Theorem 145 (Eisenstein's Criterion). If $f$ is a polynomial $\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} X^{k}$ over $R$, and $\pi$ is an irreducible element of $R$ such that

$$
\pi^{2} \nmid a_{0}, \quad \pi\left|a_{0}, \quad \pi\right| a_{1}, \quad \ldots, \quad \pi \mid a_{n-1}, \quad \pi \nmid a_{n}
$$

then $f$ is irreducible over $K$ and, if primitive, over $R$.
Proof. Suppose $f=g h$, where $g=\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k} X^{k}$ and $h=\sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{k} X^{k}$, all coefficients from $R$ (and some being 0 ). We may assume $f$ is primitive, so $g$ and $h$ must be primitive. We may assume $\pi$ divides $b_{0}$, but not $c_{0}$. Let $\ell$ be such that $\pi \mid b_{k}$ when $k<\ell$. If $\ell=n$, then (since $g$ is primitive) we must have $b_{n} \neq 0$, so $\operatorname{deg} g=n$, and $h=c_{0}$ and is a unit. If $\ell<n$, then, since $\pi \mid a_{\ell}$, but

$$
a_{\ell}=b_{0} c_{\ell}+b_{1} c_{\ell-1}+\cdots+b_{\ell} c_{0}
$$

we have $\pi \mid b_{\ell}$. By induction, $\pi \mid b_{k}$ whenever $k<n$, so as before $\operatorname{deg} g=n$.
An application is the following.
Theorem 146. If $p$ is prime, then $\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} X^{k}$ is irreducible.
Proof. Consider

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1}(X+1)^{k}=\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k}\binom{k}{j} X^{j}=\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} X^{j} \sum_{k=j}^{p-1}\binom{k}{j}=\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} X^{j}\binom{p}{j+1}
$$

which meets the Eisenstein Criterion since

$$
\binom{p}{1}=p, \quad\binom{p}{j+1}=\frac{p!}{(p-j-1)!(j+1)!},
$$

which is divisible by $p$ if and only if $j<p-1$.

## Appendices

## Appendix A. The German script

Writing in 1993, Wilfrid Hodges [4, Ch. 1, p. 21] observes

Until about a dozen years ago, most model theorists named structures in horrible Fraktur lettering. Recent writers sometimes adopt a notation according to which all structures are named $M, M^{\prime}, M^{*}, \bar{M}, M_{0}, M_{i}$ or occasionally $N$.

For Hodges, structures are $A, B, C$, and so forth; he refers to their universes as domains and denotes these by $\operatorname{dom}(A)$ and so forth. This practice is convenient if one is using a typewriter (as in the preparation of another of Hodges's books [5], from 1985). In 2002, David Marker [9] uses 'calligraphic' letters for structures, so that $M$ is the universe of $\mathcal{M}$. I still prefer the Fraktur letters:

| $\mathfrak{A}$ | $\mathfrak{B}$ | $\mathfrak{C}$ | $\mathfrak{D}$ | $\mathfrak{E}$ | $\mathfrak{F}$ | $\mathfrak{G}$ | $\mathfrak{H}$ | $\mathfrak{I}$ |  | $\mathfrak{a}$ | $\mathfrak{b}$ | $\mathfrak{c}$ | $\mathfrak{d}$ | $\mathfrak{e}$ | $\mathfrak{f}$ | $\mathfrak{g}$ | $\mathfrak{h}$ | $\mathfrak{i}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathfrak{J}$ | $\mathfrak{K}$ | $\mathfrak{L}$ | $\mathfrak{M}$ | $\mathfrak{N}$ | $\mathfrak{O}$ | $\mathfrak{P}$ | $\mathfrak{Q}$ | $\mathfrak{R}$ | $\mathfrak{j}$ | $\mathfrak{k}$ | $\mathfrak{l}$ | $\mathfrak{m}$ | $\mathfrak{n}$ | $\mathfrak{o}$ | $\mathfrak{p}$ | $\mathfrak{q}$ | $\mathfrak{r}$ |  |
| $\mathfrak{S}$ | $\mathfrak{T}$ | $\mathfrak{U}$ | $\mathfrak{V}$ | $\mathfrak{W}$ | $\mathfrak{X}$ | $\mathfrak{Y}$ | $\mathfrak{Z}$ |  | $\mathfrak{s}$ | $\mathfrak{t}$ | $\mathfrak{u}$ | $\mathfrak{v}$ | $\mathfrak{w}$ | $\mathfrak{x}$ | $\mathfrak{y}$ | $\mathfrak{z}$ |  |  |

A way to write these by hand is seen in a textbook of German from 1931 [3]:




## Appendix B. Group-actions

The following is partially inspired by an expository article [12] by Serre. Suppose a group $G$ acts on a set $A$ by $(g, x) \mapsto g x$. Just as, for an element $a$ of $A$, we define

$$
G_{a}=\{g \in G: g a=a\},
$$

so, for an element $g$ of $G$, we may define

$$
A^{g}=\{x \in A: g x=x\}:
$$

this is the set of fixed points of $g$. The orbit of $a$ under the action of $G$ is defined by

$$
G a=\{g a: g \in G\} .
$$

Then $g a=h a \Longleftrightarrow g G_{a}=h G_{a}$, and therefore

$$
|G a|=\left[G: G_{a}\right],
$$

and the sets $G a$ partition $G$. We may define

$$
A / G=\{G x: x \in A\} .
$$

Assume $G$ is finite. For any function $\varphi$ from $G$ to $\mathbb{R}$ and subset $X$ of $G$, we define

$$
\int_{X} \varphi=\sum_{g \in X} \frac{\varphi(g)}{|G|}, \quad \int \varphi=\int_{G} \varphi
$$

Assume $A$ is also finite, and let $\chi$ be the function

$$
g \mapsto\left|A^{g}\right|
$$

from $G$ to $\omega$.
Lemma 34 (Burnside). $|A / G|=\int \chi$.
Proof. Letting $R=\{(g, x) \in G \times A: g x=x\}$, we define $\pi_{G}$ as $(g, x) \mapsto g$ from $R$ to $G$, and $\pi_{A}$ as $(g, x) \mapsto x$ from $R$ to $A$. Then

$$
|R|=\sum_{g \in G}\left|\pi_{G}^{-1}(g)\right|=\sum_{g \in G} \chi(g),
$$

but also

$$
|R|=\sum_{x \in A}\left|G_{x}\right|=\sum_{C \in A / G} \sum_{x \in C}\left|G_{x}\right| .
$$

But if $C \in A / G$ and $a \in C$, then $C=\left[G: G_{a}\right]$. Hence

$$
\sum_{C \in A / G} \sum_{x \in C}\left|G_{x}\right|=\sum_{C \in A / G} \sum_{x \in C} \frac{|G|}{|C|}=\sum_{C \in A / G}|G|=|A / G| \cdot|G| .
$$

Now define

$$
G_{0}=\left\{g \in G: A^{g}=\varnothing\right\},
$$

the set of elements of $G$ with no fixed points.
Theorem 147 (Jordan). If $|A / G|=1$ and $|A| \geqslant 2$, then

$$
G_{0} \neq \varnothing .
$$

Proof. By the Burnside Lemma, the average size of $A^{g}$ is 1 . Since $A^{1}=A$, and $|A| \geqslant 2$, we must have $|A|^{g}<1$ for some $g$ in $G$.

A stronger result is the following:
Theorem 148 (Cameron-Cohen). If $|A / G|=1$ and $|A| \geqslant 2$, then

$$
\left|G_{0}\right| \cdot|A| \geqslant|G| .
$$

Proof. The action of $G$ on $A$ induces an action on $A \times A$, and $\left|(A \times A)^{g}\right|=\chi(g)^{2}$. Now, $(A \times A) / G$ contains the diagonal $G(1,1)$ and at least one other element, so

$$
\int \chi^{2} \geqslant 2
$$

by Burnside's Lemma. Let $n=|A|$. Then for all $g$ in $G \backslash G_{0}$, we have $1 \leqslant \chi(g) \leqslant n$ and therefore

$$
(\chi(g)-1)(\chi(g)-n) \leqslant 0
$$

but $(\chi(g)-1)(\chi(g)-n)=n$ when $g \in G_{0}$. Consequently,

$$
\frac{\left|G_{0}\right| \cdot|A|}{|G|}=n \int_{G_{0}} 1=\int_{G_{0}}(\chi-1)(\chi-n) \geqslant \int_{G}(\chi-1)(\chi-n)=\int_{G}\left(\chi^{2}-1\right) \geqslant 1
$$

Serre's article gives applications to topology and number-theory.
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abelian, 11
action, 44
addition, 5, 11
algorithm
Division A—, 71
alternating, 26
arrow, 36
ascending central series, 50
associates, 62
associative, 5, 57
automorphism, 42
automorphism tower, 42
binary, 3,8
Boolean, 61
Burnside Lemma, 76
Butterfly Lemma, 54
Cameron-Cohen Theorem, 77
cancellation, 5
canonical

$$
\text { - injection, } 38
$$

- projection, 22, 38
cartesian product, 3
category, 36
dual -, $3^{8}$
Cayley's Theorem, 10
center, 42, 45
centerless, 42
centralizer, 45
characteristic, 58
Chinese Remainder Theorem, 62
class equation, 45
classify, 40
commutative, 5,58
commutative diagram, 37
commutative ring, 14
commutator, 49
- subgroup, $5^{1}$
commutes, 22
complete group of symmetries, 9
complete ring of endomorphisms, 14
composite, 37
composition, 9
composition series, 53
concrete, 37
congruenc-relation, 16
conjugacy class, 45
conjugation, 42
coset, 19
cycle, 24
cyclic group, 18
degree, 71
derivation, 57
derived subgroup, $5^{2}$
diagram, 37
commutative - , 37
dihedral group, 30
direct product, 16, 31
direct sum, 16, 32
directed graph, 36
disjoint, 24
distributive, 5
divides, 62
Division Algorithm, 71
division ring, 59
divisor, 62
greatest common -, 66
zero -, 58
domain
Euclidean -, 67
integral -, 59
principal ideal -, 60
unique factorization -, 66
domains, 75
dual category, $3^{8}$
embedding, 8
endomorphism, 14
epimorphism, 17
equivalent, 54
Euclidean domain, 67
Euler's Theorem, 21
even, 26
expands, 11
exponentiation, 5
factor, 53
field, 15
quotient -, 69
finite $p$-group, 45
finitely generated, 18
fixed point, 76
formal sum, 34
free
- group, $3^{8}$
free abelian group, 34
free group, 34
free product, 35
function, 3
Gaussian integer, 67
general linear group, 15
generated, 18
generator, 39
generators, 18
greatest common divisor, 66
group, 9
alternating -, 26
cyclic -, 18
free,$- 3^{8}$
quotient -, 21
simple -, 26
group of symmetries, 9
group ring, 59
head, $3^{6}$
homomorphism, 4,8
ideal
left —, 59
principal-, 6o
right -, 59
two-sided -, 59
identity, 9, 10
image, 17
index, 19
induction, 4
infinity, 7
initial element, 3
injection, $3^{8}$
inner automorphism, 42
integral domain, 59
internal semidirect product, 22
internal weak direct product, 34
inverse, 37
inversion, 9
invertible, 15
irreducible, 63
irreflexive, 6
isomorphism, 8, 37
I- Theorems, 22-23
iterative, 3
Jacobi identity, 57
Jordan Theorem, 76
Jordan-Hölder Theorem, 56
kernel, 17
Klein four group, 16
Lagrange's Theorem, 20
leading coefficient, 71
left
- coset, 19
- action, 44
- ideal, 59
lemma, see also theorem
length, 24
Lie ring, 57
linear combination, 59
linear combination, 60
local

$$
\text { — ring, } 69
$$

$$
\text { -ization, } 69
$$

map, 3
matrix, 15
maximal, 61
monoid, 10
monomorphism, 17
morphism, 37
multiplication, 5, 57
multiplicative, 68
$n$-ary, 8
neutral, 10
nilpotent, 50
node, 36
Noetherian ring, 66
normal

- series, 53
- subgroup, 21
normalizer, 47
nullary, 8
octonion, 57
odd, 26
operation, 8
orbit, 45
order, 6
- of a group, 18
- of an element, 18
ordered abelian semigroup, 12
ordered pair, 3
ordered triple, 3
p-group, 45
Peano, 4
permutation, 9
polynomial ring, 59
presentation, 39
prime, 61,63
principal
— ideal, 60
- ideal domain, 6o
product, 37,60
co-, $3^{8}$
projection, 22, $3^{8}$
quaternion, 18
quaternion group, 18
quotient, 16
- field, 69
- group, 21
quotient map, 22
reduced, 34
reduction, 35
refinement, 54
relation, 8, 39
Remainder Theorem, 71
right
- coset, 19
- ideal, 59
ring, 14,57 , see also domain
local -, 69
ring of endomorphisms, 14
Schreier Theorem, 55
semidirect product, 43
semigroup, 10
series
composition —, 53
soluble -, 53
subnormal -, 53
signature, 8
signum, 26
simple group, 26
singulary, 3,8
soluble, 52
- series, 53
soluble series, 53
stabilizer, 45
strict, 6
structure, 8
subgroup, 17
subnormal series, 53
substructure, 8
succession, successor, 3
sum, 38,60
Sylow
- Theorems, 48
- subgroup, 47
symmetric difference, 62
symmetry, 9
tail, $3^{6}$
theorem
Burnside Lemma, 76
Butterfly Lemma, 54
Cayley's Th-, 10
Chinese Remainder Th-, 62
Division Algorithm, 71
Euler's Th-, 21
Isomorphism Th-s, 22-23
Jordan Th-, 76
Jordan-Hölder Th-, 56
Lagrange's Th-, 20
Remainder Th-, 71

Schreier Th-, 55
Sylow Th-s, 48
Zassenhaus Lemma, 54
total, 6
transitive, 6
transposition, 25
two-sided ideal, 59
unary, 3
unique factorization domain, 66
unit, 15
unitriangular, 52
universe, 3,8
upper triangular, 52
weak direct product, $3^{2}$
well ordered, 7
word, 34
Zassenhaus Lemma, 54
zero, 3
zero-divisor, 58
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The word unary is more common, but less etymologically correct.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ It is a nullary operation on $\operatorname{Sym}(A)$, but a singulary operation on $A$.
    ${ }^{3}$ This is not the usual definition, but it is equivalent, by Cayley's Theorem below.

[^2]:    4Some writers do not require a ring as such to have a multiplicative identity.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ One might write $H \leqslant G$, if one wants to reserve $H<G$ for the case where $H$ is a proper subgroup of $G$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ One can define commutative diagrams formally. A diagram is a homomorphism from a directed graph to a category. One then thinks of the diagram as the graph with its nodes and arrows labelled with their images in the category. The diagram is commutative if every path in the graph with the same tail and head is sent to the same arrow in the category.

[^5]:    7 Walther von Dyck (1856-1934) gave an early (1882-3) definition of abstract groups [7, ch. 49, p. 1141].

[^6]:    ${ }^{8} \mathrm{An}$ alternative formulation of the center of a group is given and generalized in $\S 27$.

[^7]:    ${ }^{9}$ More generally, if $H<G$, then $\mathrm{C}_{H}(g)=\left\{h \in H: h g h^{-1}=g\right\}$.

[^8]:    ${ }^{10}$ More generally, if also $K<G$, then $\mathrm{N}_{K}(H)=\left\{k \in K: k H k^{-1}=H\right\}$.

[^9]:    ${ }^{11}$ In general, a regular ring need not be commutative; see [6, IX.3, ex. 5, p. 442].

