

# Generic Multiple Transitivity in the Finite Morley Rank Setting

Ayşe Berkman

Mimar Sinan Güzel Sanatlar Üniversitesi  
<http://math.msgsu.edu.tr/~ayse>

January 18, 2014

---

Groups and Topological Groups, Istanbul

- 1 Some Model Theory (Morley rank and genericity)
- 2 Some Group Theory (Multiple transitivity)
- 3 A Merging (Generic multiple transitivity)

## Groups

A group is a structure of the form  $\langle G, \cdot, {}^{-1}, e \rangle$ .

## Groups

A group is a structure of the form  $\langle G, \cdot, {}^{-1}, e \rangle$ .

## Fields

A field is a structure of the form  $\langle F, +, -, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$ .

# PART I: Some Model Theory

## Groups

A group is a structure of the form  $\langle G, \cdot, {}^{-1}, e \rangle$ .

## Fields

A field is a structure of the form  $\langle F, +, -, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$ .

## Examples

$\langle \mathbb{Z}, +, -, 0 \rangle$ ,  $\langle \mathbb{C}^*, \cdot, {}^{-1}, 1 \rangle$   $\langle \mathbb{R}, +, -, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$

# Definability in a Group

A *definable subset* of a group

$$\langle G, \cdot, {}^{-1}, e \rangle$$

is a subset of  $G^n$

# Definability in a Group

A *definable subset* of a group

$$\langle G, \cdot, {}^{-1}, e \rangle$$

is a subset of  $G^n$  which can be expressed by formulas of first order logic,

# Definability in a Group

A *definable subset* of a group

$$\langle G, \cdot, {}^{-1}, e \rangle$$

is a subset of  $G^n$  which can be expressed by formulas of first order logic, i.e. formulas of finite length that contain only

- logical symbols  $=, \wedge, \vee, \neg, \exists, \forall, (, )$
- variables,



# Definability in a Group

A *definable subset* of a group

$$\langle G, \cdot, {}^{-1}, e \rangle$$

is a subset of  $G^n$  which can be expressed by formulas of first order logic, i.e. formulas of finite length that contain only

- logical symbols  $=, \wedge, \vee, \neg, \exists, \forall, (, )$
- variables,
- elements of  $G$ ,
- $\cdot, {}^{-1}, e$ .

# Definability in a Group

A *definable subset* of a group

$$\langle G, \cdot, {}^{-1}, e \rangle$$

is a subset of  $G^n$  which can be expressed by formulas of first order logic, i.e. formulas of finite length that contain only

- logical symbols  $=, \wedge, \vee, \neg, \exists, \forall, (, )$
- variables,
- elements of  $G$ ,
- $\cdot, {}^{-1}, e$ .

The quotient of a definable set with a definable relation is also definable.

# Definability in a Field

A *definable subset* of a field

$$\langle F, +, -, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$$

is a subset of  $F^n$  which can be expressed by formulas of first order logic, i.e. formulas of finite length that contain only

- logical symbols  $=, \wedge, \vee, \neg, \exists, \forall, (, )$
- variables,
- elements of  $F$ ,
- $+, -, \cdot, 0, 1$ .

- Finite and co-finite subsets are always definable via the formula  $x = a_1 \vee x = a_2 \vee \dots \vee x = a_n$  and its negation.

# Examples

- Finite and co-finite subsets are always definable via the formula  $x = a_1 \vee x = a_2 \vee \dots \vee x = a_n$  and its negation.
- If we view  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  as an additive group, then there is no other definable subset.

- Finite and co-finite subsets are always definable via the formula  $x = a_1 \vee x = a_2 \vee \dots \vee x = a_n$  and its negation.
- If we view  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  as an additive group, then there is no other definable subset.
- If we view  $\mathbb{R}$  as a field, then

$$\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid (\exists y)(x = y \cdot y)\}$$

is definable. Therefore, all intervals are definable.

- Finite and co-finite subsets are always definable via the formula  $x = a_1 \vee x = a_2 \vee \dots \vee x = a_n$  and its negation.
- If we view  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  as an additive group, then there is no other definable subset.
- If we view  $\mathbb{R}$  as a field, then

$$\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid (\exists y)(x = y \cdot y)\}$$

is definable. Therefore, all intervals are definable.

- (Tarski) If  $F$  is an algebraically closed field, then finite and co-finite subsets are the only definable subsets.

In a group  $\langle G, \cdot, {}^{-1}, e \rangle$ , the center of the group

$$\{g \in G \mid (\forall x)(x \cdot g = g \cdot x)\},$$



In a group  $\langle G, \cdot, {}^{-1}, e \rangle$ , the center of the group

$$\{g \in G \mid (\forall x)(x \cdot g = g \cdot x)\},$$

and conjugacy classes

$$\{g \in G \mid (\exists x)(g = x^{-1} \cdot a \cdot x)\}$$

are definable.

Infinite conjunctions and disjunctions are not first order formulas.

Infinite conjunctions and disjunctions are not first order formulas.  
For example, in general, the set of torsion elements

$$\{g \in G \mid (\exists m \in \mathbb{Z}^+)(g^m = e)\}$$

is not definable.

Infinite conjunctions and disjunctions are not first order formulas.  
For example, in general, the set of torsion elements

$$\{g \in G \mid (\exists m \in \mathbb{Z}^+)(g^m = e)\}$$

is not definable.

Variables must be over elements, not over sets.

Infinite conjunctions and disjunctions are not first order formulas.  
For example, in general, the set of torsion elements

$$\{g \in G \mid (\exists m \in \mathbb{Z}^+)(g^m = e)\}$$

is not definable.

Variables must be over elements, not over sets.

$\mathbb{R}$  is not definable in  $\langle \mathbb{C}, +, -, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$ .

Let  $\langle M, \dots \rangle$  be a group or a field,  $A \subseteq M^n$  a definable set. The *Morley rank* of  $A$  is defined as:

- 1  $\text{rk}(A) \geq 0$  iff  $A$  is nonempty.
- 2  $\text{rk}(A) \geq n + 1$  iff there exists a sequence of non-empty definable sets  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $A_i \subseteq A$ ,  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$  and  $\text{rk}(A_i) \geq n$ .

Let  $\langle M, \dots \rangle$  be a group or a field,  $A \subseteq M^n$  a definable set. The *Morley rank* of  $A$  is defined as:

- 1  $\text{rk}(A) \geq 0$  iff  $A$  is nonempty.
- 2  $\text{rk}(A) \geq n + 1$  iff there exists a sequence of non-empty definable sets  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $A_i \subseteq A$ ,  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$  and  $\text{rk}(A_i) \geq n$ .

## Examples

- $\text{rk}(\mathbb{C}, +) = \text{rk}(\mathbb{R}, +) = 1$ .

# Morley Rank

Let  $\langle M, \dots \rangle$  be a group or a field,  $A \subseteq M^n$  a definable set. The *Morley rank* of  $A$  is defined as:

- 1  $\text{rk}(A) \geq 0$  iff  $A$  is nonempty.
- 2  $\text{rk}(A) \geq n + 1$  iff there exists a sequence of non-empty definable sets  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $A_i \subseteq A$ ,  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$  and  $\text{rk}(A_i) \geq n$ .

## Examples

- $\text{rk}(\mathbb{C}, +) = \text{rk}(\mathbb{R}, +) = 1$ .
- $\text{rk}(\mathbb{C}, +, \cdot) = 1$ ,



Let  $\langle M, \dots \rangle$  be a group or a field,  $A \subseteq M^n$  a definable set. The *Morley rank* of  $A$  is defined as:

- 1  $\text{rk}(A) \geq 0$  iff  $A$  is nonempty.
- 2  $\text{rk}(A) \geq n + 1$  iff there exists a sequence of non-empty definable sets  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $A_i \subseteq A$ ,  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$  and  $\text{rk}(A_i) \geq n$ .

## Examples

- $\text{rk}(\mathbb{C}, +) = \text{rk}(\mathbb{R}, +) = 1$ .
- $\text{rk}(\mathbb{C}, +, \cdot) = 1$ ,  $\text{rk}(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot) = \infty$ .

## Observations

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be definable subsets in a structure of finite Morley rank.

- If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\text{rk}(A) \leq \text{rk}(B)$ .

## Observations

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be definable subsets in a structure of finite Morley rank.

- If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\text{rk}(A) \leq \text{rk}(B)$ .
- $\text{rk}(A \cup B) = \max\{\text{rk}(A), \text{rk}(B)\}$ .

## Observations

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be definable subsets in a structure of finite Morley rank.

- If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\text{rk}(A) \leq \text{rk}(B)$ .
- $\text{rk}(A \cup B) = \max\{\text{rk}(A), \text{rk}(B)\}$ .
- $\text{rk}(A \times B) = \text{rk}(A) + \text{rk}(B)$ .

## Observations

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be definable subsets in a structure of finite Morley rank.

- If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\text{rk}(A) \leq \text{rk}(B)$ .
- $\text{rk}(A \cup B) = \max\{\text{rk}(A), \text{rk}(B)\}$ .
- $\text{rk}(A \times B) = \text{rk}(A) + \text{rk}(B)$ .
- If  $A$  is a group and  $B$  a normal subgroup, then  $\text{rk}(A/B) = \text{rk}(A) - \text{rk}(B)$ .

## Examples

Finite groups, algebraic groups over algebraically closed fields,  $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$ ,  $(\mathbb{C}_{p^\infty}, \cdot)$  are of finite Morley rank.

# Structures of FMR

## Examples

Finite groups, algebraic groups over algebraically closed fields,  $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$ ,  $(\mathbb{C}_{p^\infty}, \cdot)$  are of finite Morley rank.

## Non-Examples

Free groups (e.g.  $\mathbb{Z}$ ),  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  etc. are not of finite Morley rank.

# Structures of FMR

## Examples

Finite groups, algebraic groups over algebraically closed fields,  $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$ ,  $(\mathbb{C}_{p^\infty}, \cdot)$  are of finite Morley rank.

## Non-Examples

Free groups (e.g.  $\mathbb{Z}$ ),  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  etc. are not of finite Morley rank.

## Theorem (Macintyre)

An infinite field is of finite Morley rank iff it is algebraically closed.



# Structures of FMR

## Examples

Finite groups, algebraic groups over algebraically closed fields,  $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$ ,  $(\mathbb{C}_{p^\infty}, \cdot)$  are of finite Morley rank.

## Non-Examples

Free groups (e.g.  $\mathbb{Z}$ ),  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  etc. are not of finite Morley rank.

## Theorem (Macintyre)

An infinite field is of finite Morley rank iff it is algebraically closed.

## Conjecture. (Cherlin–Zilber)

Infinite simple groups of finite Morley rank are algebraic groups over algebraically closed fields.

## Definition

Let  $A$  be a non-empty set such that for every definable non-empty subset  $B \subseteq A$ , either  $\text{rk}(B) < \text{rk}(A)$  or  $\text{rk}(A \setminus B) < \text{rk}(A)$ . Then we say  $A$  has (Morley) degree 1.

## Definition

Let  $A$  be a non-empty set such that for every definable non-empty subset  $B \subseteq A$ , either  $\text{rk}(B) < \text{rk}(A)$  or  $\text{rk}(A \setminus B) < \text{rk}(A)$ . Then we say  $A$  has (Morley) degree 1.

If  $A$  is a disjoint union of  $d$  definable sets of degree 1, then we say  $A$  is of (Morley) degree  $d$ .

## Observation

Let  $G$  be a group of finite Morley rank, and  $H$  a definable subgroup in  $G$ . The index of  $H$  in  $G$  is finite iff  $\text{rk}(G) = \text{rk}(H)$ .

## Observation

Let  $G$  be a group of finite Morley rank, and  $H$  a definable subgroup in  $G$ . The index of  $H$  in  $G$  is finite iff  $\text{rk}(G) = \text{rk}(H)$ . Moreover, in this case,  $\text{deg}(G) = [G : H]\text{deg}(H)$ .

## Observation

Let  $G$  be a group of finite Morley rank, and  $H$  a definable subgroup in  $G$ . The index of  $H$  in  $G$  is finite iff  $\text{rk}(G) = \text{rk}(H)$ . Moreover, in this case,  $\text{deg}(G) = [G : H]\text{deg}(H)$ .

## Corollary

A group of finite Morley rank satisfies the DCC on its definable subgroups.

# Rank and Degree Computations

## Observation

Let  $G$  be a group of finite Morley rank, and  $H$  a definable subgroup in  $G$ . The index of  $H$  in  $G$  is finite iff  $\text{rk}(G) = \text{rk}(H)$ . Moreover, in this case,  $\text{deg}(G) = [G : H]\text{deg}(H)$ .

## Corollary

A group of finite Morley rank satisfies the DCC on its definable subgroups.

## Proof.

At each step, either the degree or the rank decreases. □

# Connected Component of a Group

## Definition

When  $G$  is a group of finite Morley rank, the smallest definable subgroup of finite index in  $G$  is called the connected component of  $G$ , and it is denoted by  $G^\circ$ .



# Connected Component of a Group

## Definition

When  $G$  is a group of finite Morley rank, the smallest definable subgroup of finite index in  $G$  is called the connected component of  $G$ , and it is denoted by  $G^\circ$ .

Thanks to the DCC, connected components exist. Moreover,  $\text{rk}(G) = \text{rk}(G^\circ)$ , and  $G^\circ$  is of Morley degree 1.

# Connected Component of a Group

## Definition

When  $G$  is a group of finite Morley rank, the smallest definable subgroup of finite index in  $G$  is called the connected component of  $G$ , and it is denoted by  $G^\circ$ .

Thanks to the DCC, connected components exist. Moreover,  $\text{rk}(G) = \text{rk}(G^\circ)$ , and  $G^\circ$  is of Morley degree 1.

## Definition

If  $G = G^\circ$ , then we say  $G$  is connected.

# Connected Component of a Group

## Definition

When  $G$  is a group of finite Morley rank, the smallest definable subgroup of finite index in  $G$  is called the connected component of  $G$ , and it is denoted by  $G^\circ$ .

Thanks to the DCC, connected components exist. Moreover,  $\text{rk}(G) = \text{rk}(G^\circ)$ , and  $G^\circ$  is of Morley degree 1.

## Definition

If  $G = G^\circ$ , then we say  $G$  is connected.

## Theorem

A group is connected iff it has Morley degree 1.

# Connected Component of a Group

## Definition

When  $G$  is a group of finite Morley rank, the smallest definable subgroup of finite index in  $G$  is called the connected component of  $G$ , and it is denoted by  $G^\circ$ .

Thanks to the DCC, connected components exist. Moreover,  $\text{rk}(G) = \text{rk}(G^\circ)$ , and  $G^\circ$  is of Morley degree 1.

## Definition

If  $G = G^\circ$ , then we say  $G$  is connected.

## Theorem

A group is connected iff it has Morley degree 1.

Examples.  $\text{GL}_n(K)$  and  $\text{SL}_n(K)$  are connected.

$[\text{O}_n(K) : \text{SO}_n(K)] = 2$ , so  $\text{O}_n(K)$  is not connected.

### Definition

Let  $G$  be a group acting on a set  $X$ . If for every pair  $x, y \in X$  there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $gx = y$ , then we say the action is transitive.

### Definition

Let  $G$  be a group acting on a set  $X$ . If for every pair  $x, y \in X$  there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $gx = y$ , then we say the action is transitive. Moreover, if  $g \in G$  is uniquely determined for each pair, then we say the action is sharply transitive.

### Definition

Let  $G$  be a group acting on a set  $X$ . If for every pair  $x, y \in X$  there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $gx = y$ , then we say the action is transitive. Moreover, if  $g \in G$  is uniquely determined for each pair, then we say the action is sharply transitive.

### Examples

Every group acts on itself sharply transitively by left multiplication.

### Definition

Let  $G$  be a group acting on a set  $X$ . If for every pair  $x, y \in X$  there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $gx = y$ , then we say the action is transitive. Moreover, if  $g \in G$  is uniquely determined for each pair, then we say the action is sharply transitive.

### Examples

Every group acts on itself sharply transitively by left multiplication.  $S_n$  acts on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  transitively for all  $n \geq 1$ . This action is sharply transitive iff  $n \leq 2$ .



## Definition

Let  $G$  be a group acting on a set  $X$ . If for every pair  $x, y \in X$  there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $gx = y$ , then we say the action is transitive. Moreover, if  $g \in G$  is uniquely determined for each pair, then we say the action is sharply transitive.

## Examples

Every group acts on itself sharply transitively by left multiplication.  $S_n$  acts on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  transitively for all  $n \geq 1$ . This action is sharply transitive iff  $n \leq 2$ . Rotations of a cube act transitively on the vertices of the cube, but not sharply transitively.

## Definition

Let  $G$  be a group acting on a set  $X$ . If for every pair  $x, y \in X$  there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $gx = y$ , then we say the action is transitive. Moreover, if  $g \in G$  is uniquely determined for each pair, then we say the action is sharply transitive.

## Examples

Every group acts on itself sharply transitively by left multiplication.  $S_n$  acts on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  transitively for all  $n \geq 1$ . This action is sharply transitive iff  $n \leq 2$ . Rotations of a cube act transitively on the vertices of the cube, but not sharply transitively. Rotations of a regular  $n$ -gon act sharply transitively on the vertices of the regular  $n$ -gon, for  $n \geq 3$ .

# Sharp Multiple Transitivity

## Definition

Let  $G$  act on  $X$ . If for every pairwise distinct  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$  and pairwise distinct  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in X$ , there exists a (unique)  $g \in G$  such that  $gx_i = y_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then we say  $G$  acts (sharply)  $n$ -transitively on  $X$ .

# Sharp Multiple Transitivity

## Definition

Let  $G$  act on  $X$ . If for every pairwise distinct  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$  and pairwise distinct  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in X$ , there exists a (unique)  $g \in G$  such that  $gx_i = y_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then we say  $G$  acts (sharply)  $n$ -transitively on  $X$ .

## Examples

For all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $S_n$  acts sharply  $n$ -transitively (also sharply  $(n-1)$ -transitively) on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . For all  $n \geq 3$ ,  $A_n$  acts sharply  $(n-2)$ -transitively on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .

# Sharp Multiple Transitivity

## Definition

Let  $G$  act on  $X$ . If for every pairwise distinct  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$  and pairwise distinct  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in X$ , there exists a (unique)  $g \in G$  such that  $gx_i = y_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then we say  $G$  acts (sharply)  $n$ -transitively on  $X$ .

## Examples

For all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $S_n$  acts sharply  $n$ -transitively (also sharply  $(n-1)$ -transitively) on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . For all  $n \geq 3$ ,  $A_n$  acts sharply  $(n-2)$ -transitively on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .

## Non-examples

$K^*$  on  $K^+$ ,  $GL_2(K)$  on  $K^2$ , where  $K$  is a field.

## Example

For any field  $K$ ,  $K^* \times K^+$  acts sharply 2-transitively on  $K$ , and  $PGL_2(K)$  acts sharply 3-transitively on  $\mathcal{P}_1(K)$ .

## Example

For any field  $K$ ,  $K^* \ltimes K^+$  acts sharply 2-transitively on  $K$ , and  $PGL_2(K)$  acts sharply 3-transitively on  $\mathcal{P}_1(K)$ .

Sharply 2 or 3-transitive finite groups were classified by Zassenhaus in 1936.

The problem for infinite groups is still open.

Finite groups with a sharp multiple-transitive action are rare.

Theorem (Jordan, 1872)

*For  $n = 4$ ;  $S_4$ ,  $S_5$ ,  $A_6$ ,  $M_{11}$ .*



Finite groups with a sharp multiple-transitive action are rare.

Theorem (Jordan, 1872)

*For  $n = 4$ ;  $S_4$ ,  $S_5$ ,  $A_6$ ,  $M_{11}$ .*

*For  $n = 5$ ;  $S_5$ ,  $S_6$ ,  $A_7$ ,  $M_{12}$ .*

Finite groups with a sharp multiple-transitive action are rare.

## Theorem (Jordan, 1872)

*For  $n = 4$ ;  $S_4, S_5, A_6, M_{11}$ .*

*For  $n = 5$ ;  $S_5, S_6, A_7, M_{12}$ .*

*For  $n \geq 6$ ;  $S_n, S_{n+1}, A_{n+2}$ .*

# Higher Transitivity

Finite groups with a sharp multiple-transitive action are rare.

Theorem (Jordan, 1872)

*For  $n = 4$ ;  $S_4$ ,  $S_5$ ,  $A_6$ ,  $M_{11}$ .*

*For  $n = 5$ ;  $S_5$ ,  $S_6$ ,  $A_7$ ,  $M_{12}$ .*

*For  $n \geq 6$ ;  $S_n$ ,  $S_{n+1}$ ,  $A_{n+2}$ .*

Theorem (Tits, 1952, and Hall, 1954)

*There is no infinite group with a sharp  $n$ -transitive action, for  $n \geq 4$ .*

## Theorem (Knop, 1983)

*Let  $G$  be a reductive algebraic group acting algebraically on an irreducible variety  $V$ .*

## Theorem (Knop, 1983)

*Let  $G$  be a reductive algebraic group acting algebraically on an irreducible variety  $V$ . For  $n \geq 2$ , if  $G$  acts  $n$ -transitively on  $V$ , then either  $n = 2$ , and the action is  $\mathrm{PGL}_{m+1}$  on  $\mathcal{P}_m$ ; or  $n = 3$  and the action is  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$  on  $\mathcal{P}_1$ .*

# An Alternative Definition for $n$ -transitivity

## Observation

Let  $G$  be a group acting on a set  $X$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $G$  acts  $n$ -transitively on  $X$  iff  $G$  acts transitively on  $X^n \setminus E$ , where  $E = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i = x_j \text{ for some } i \neq j\}$ .

# An Alternative Definition for $n$ -transitivity

## Observation

Let  $G$  be a group acting on a set  $X$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $G$  acts  $n$ -transitively on  $X$  iff  $G$  acts transitively on  $X^n \setminus E$ , where  $E = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i = x_j \text{ for some } i \neq j\}$ .

Note that  $E$  is 'small', hence  $X^n \setminus E$  is 'large' or 'generic'.

# An Alternative Definition for $n$ -transitivity

## Observation

Let  $G$  be a group acting on a set  $X$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $G$  acts  $n$ -transitively on  $X$  iff  $G$  acts transitively on  $X^n \setminus E$ , where  $E = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i = x_j \text{ for some } i \neq j\}$ .

Note that  $E$  is 'small', hence  $X^n \setminus E$  is 'large' or 'generic'. Therefore, we can relax the condition on  $X^n \setminus E$  while keeping it large, and obtain new and natural examples.



Let  $G$  be a reductive algebraic group acting algebraically on an irreducible variety  $V$ .

# Algebraic Actions

Let  $G$  be a reductive algebraic group acting algebraically on an irreducible variety  $V$ .

Recall (Knop, 1983)

For  $n \geq 2$ , if  $G$  acts  $n$ -transitively on  $V$ , then either  $n = 2$ , and the action is  $\mathrm{PGL}_{m+1}$  on  $\mathcal{P}_m$ ; or  $n = 3$  and the action is  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$  on  $\mathcal{P}_1$ .

# Algebraic Actions

Let  $G$  be a reductive algebraic group acting algebraically on an irreducible variety  $V$ .

Recall (Knop, 1983)

For  $n \geq 2$ , if  $G$  acts  $n$ -transitively on  $V$ , then either  $n = 2$ , and the action is  $\mathrm{PGL}_{m+1}$  on  $\mathcal{P}_m$ ; or  $n = 3$  and the action is  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$  on  $\mathcal{P}_1$ .

If the induced action of  $G$  on  $V^n$  is transitive on an open subset, then Popov calls it a generically  $n$ -transitive action.

Theorem (Popov, 2007)

*If characteristic is 0, among simple algebraic groups, only those of type  $A_n$  have generically 5-transitive or higher actions.*

## Definition

A definable subset  $Y$  of a set  $X$  is called generic, if  $\text{rk}(X \setminus Y) < \text{rk}(X)$ .

## Definition

A definable subset  $Y$  of a set  $X$  is called generic, if  $\text{rk}(X \setminus Y) < \text{rk}(X)$ .

If  $X$  is a connected group, then  $Y$  is generic in  $X$  iff  $\text{rk}(X) = \text{rk}(Y)$ .

## Definition

A definable subset  $Y$  of a set  $X$  is called generic, if  $\text{rk}(X \setminus Y) < \text{rk}(X)$ .

If  $X$  is a connected group, then  $Y$  is generic in  $X$  iff  $\text{rk}(X) = \text{rk}(Y)$ .

## Examples

All cofinite sets are generic in an infinite set. The set of linearly independent pairs of vectors is generic in  $K^2 \times K^2$ .

## Definition

Assume that  $G$  acts on  $X$ . If  $G$  is (sharply) transitive on a generic subset of  $X$ , then we say  $G$  acts generically (sharply) transitively on  $X$ .

## Definition

Assume that  $G$  acts on  $X$ . If  $G$  is (sharply) transitive on a generic subset of  $X$ , then we say  $G$  acts generically (sharply) transitively on  $X$ .

## Example

Let  $K$  be a field, then  $K^*$  acts generically sharply transitively on  $K^+$ , but not sharply transitively.



## Definition

Similarly, if the induced action of  $G$  on  $X^n$  is generically sharply transitive, then we say  $G$  acts generically sharply  $n$ -transitively on  $X$ .

## Definition

Similarly, if the induced action of  $G$  on  $X^n$  is generically sharply transitive, then we say  $G$  acts generically sharply  $n$ -transitively on  $X$ .

Examples. For every  $n \geq 1$ , the natural action of:

- $GL_n(K)$  on  $K^n$  is generically sharply  $n$ -transitive.

## Definition

Similarly, if the induced action of  $G$  on  $X^n$  is generically sharply transitive, then we say  $G$  acts generically sharply  $n$ -transitively on  $X$ .

Examples. For every  $n \geq 1$ , the natural action of:

- $GL_n(K)$  on  $K^n$  is generically sharply  $n$ -transitive.
- $AGL_n(K)$  on  $K^n$  is generically sharply  $(n + 1)$ -transitive.

## Definition

Similarly, if the induced action of  $G$  on  $X^n$  is generically sharply transitive, then we say  $G$  acts generically sharply  $n$ -transitively on  $X$ .

Examples. For every  $n \geq 1$ , the natural action of:

- $GL_n(K)$  on  $K^n$  is generically sharply  $n$ -transitive.
- $AGL_n(K)$  on  $K^n$  is generically sharply  $(n + 1)$ -transitive.
- $PGL_{n+1}(K)$  on  $\mathcal{P}_n(K)$  is generically sharply  $(n + 2)$ -transitive.

## Motivating Question (Borovik-Cherlin, 2008)

Let  $G$  be a connected group acting on a connected abelian group  $V$  definably, faithfully and generically sharply  $n$ -transitively. If  $n = \text{rk}(V)$ , then is it true that  $V$  has a vector space structure of dimension  $n$  over an algebraically closed field  $K$  and  $G \cong \text{GL}_n(K)$ ?

# A Possible Solution

Ongoing work with Alexandre Borovik.

## Setting

Let  $G$  be a connected group acting on a connected abelian group  $V$  definably, faithfully and generically sharply  $n$ -transitively such that  $n = \text{rk}(V)$  and  $V$  is not a 2-group.

# A Possible Solution

Ongoing work with Alexandre Borovik.

## Setting

Let  $G$  be a connected group acting on a connected abelian group  $V$  definably, faithfully and generically sharply  $n$ -transitively such that  $n = \text{rk}(V)$  and  $V$  is not a 2-group.

## Then

- $\text{rk}(G) = n^2$ ,

# A Possible Solution

Ongoing work with Alexandre Borovik.

## Setting

Let  $G$  be a connected group acting on a connected abelian group  $V$  definably, faithfully and generically sharply  $n$ -transitively such that  $n = \text{rk}(V)$  and  $V$  is not a 2-group.

## Then

- $\text{rk}(G) = n^2$ ,
- $S_n \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$  lies in  $G$ ,



# A Possible Solution

Ongoing work with Alexandre Borovik.

## Setting

Let  $G$  be a connected group acting on a connected abelian group  $V$  definably, faithfully and generically sharply  $n$ -transitively such that  $n = \text{rk}(V)$  and  $V$  is not a 2-group.

## Then

- $\text{rk}(G) = n^2$ ,
- $S_n \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$  lies in  $G$ ,
- $V$  can be coordinatized, for example as  $V = \bigoplus C_V^-(e_i)$ , where  $e_i = (1, \dots, -1, \dots, 1)$ ,

# A Possible Solution

Ongoing work with Alexandre Borovik.

## Setting

Let  $G$  be a connected group acting on a connected abelian group  $V$  definably, faithfully and generically sharply  $n$ -transitively such that  $n = \text{rk}(V)$  and  $V$  is not a 2-group.

## Then

- $\text{rk}(G) = n^2$ ,
- $S_n \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$  lies in  $G$ ,
- $V$  can be coordinatized, for example as  $V = \bigoplus C_V^-(e_i)$ , where  $e_i = (1, \dots, -1, \dots, 1)$ ,
- and hence  $V \cong F^n$  for some algebraically closed field  $F$ .

## Theorem (in progress)

Let a group  $G$  act on a connected group  $V$  definably and faithfully. Assume  $V$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group (where  $p \neq 2$ ) of Morley rank  $n$ , and  $S_n \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n \leq G$ . If  $G$  is infinite, then there exists an algebraically closed field  $F$  such that  $V \cong F^n$ , and  $G$  is isomorphic to one of the following:

## Theorem (in progress)

Let a group  $G$  act on a connected group  $V$  definably and faithfully. Assume  $V$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group (where  $p \neq 2$ ) of Morley rank  $n$ , and  $S_n \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n \leq G$ . If  $G$  is infinite, then there exists an algebraically closed field  $F$  such that  $V \cong F^n$ , and  $G$  is isomorphic to one of the following:

- an extension of  $SL_n(F)$  lying in  $GL_n(F)$ , or

## Theorem (in progress)

Let a group  $G$  act on a connected group  $V$  definably and faithfully. Assume  $V$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group (where  $p \neq 2$ ) of Morley rank  $n$ , and  $S_n \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n \leq G$ . If  $G$  is infinite, then there exists an algebraically closed field  $F$  such that  $V \cong F^n$ , and  $G$  is isomorphic to one of the following:

- an extension of  $SL_n(F)$  lying in  $GL_n(F)$ , or
- a finite extension of  $O_n(F)$ , or

## Theorem (in progress)

Let a group  $G$  act on a connected group  $V$  definably and faithfully. Assume  $V$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group (where  $p \neq 2$ ) of Morley rank  $n$ , and  $S_n \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n \leq G$ . If  $G$  is infinite, then there exists an algebraically closed field  $F$  such that  $V \cong F^n$ , and  $G$  is isomorphic to one of the following:

- an extension of  $SL_n(F)$  lying in  $GL_n(F)$ , or
- a finite extension of  $O_n(F)$ , or
- a finite extension of  $T$ , for some definable  $T \leq (F^*)^n$ ,

and the action is the natural action in every case.

Note that  $\text{rk}(\text{GL}_n) = n^2$ ,  $\text{rk}(\text{SL}_n) = n^2 - 1$ ,  $\text{rk}(\text{O}_n) = n(n - 1)/2$ ,  
and  $\text{rk}(T) \leq n$ .

Note that  $\text{rk}(\text{GL}_n) = n^2$ ,  $\text{rk}(\text{SL}_n) = n^2 - 1$ ,  $\text{rk}(\text{O}_n) = n(n-1)/2$ , and  $\text{rk}(T) \leq n$ .

## Corollary

Let  $G$  be a group acting on a connected abelian group  $V$  of Morley rank  $n$ . If  $V$  is not a 2-group and the action is definable, faithful and generically sharply  $n$ -transitive, then  $G \cong \text{GL}_n(F)$  and  $V \cong F^n$  for some algebraically closed field  $F$ .



Thank you very much

Thank you very much and one more thing!

## Other Meetings Involving Group Theory in Turkey

- Models and Groups Workshop II, Istanbul, 27-29 March 2014
- Antalya Algebra Days XVI, Antalya, 9-13 May 2014